Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science Vol. 12(05), pp 088-097, May, 2022 Available online at http://www.japsonline.com DOI: 10.7324/JAPS.2022.120506 ISSN 2231-3354



# Assessing the management of patients with type 2 diabetes in Bangladesh during pre- and post-COVID-19 era and the implications: A pilot study

Farhana Akter<sup>1</sup> (D), Mainul Haque<sup>2\*</sup> (D), Sanira Akter<sup>1</sup>, Gias Uddin<sup>1</sup>, Naim Chy<sup>3</sup>, Francis Kalemeera<sup>4</sup> (D), Amanj Kurdi<sup>5,6,7,8</sup> (D), Kona Chowdhury<sup>9</sup> (D), Brian Godman<sup>5,8,10\*</sup> (D)

<sup>1</sup>Department of Endocrinology, Chittagong Medical College, Chittagong, Bangladesh.

<sup>2</sup>Unit of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine and Defence Heath, Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia (National Defence University of Malaysia) Kem Perdana Sungai Besi, 57000 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Health Economics, University of Chittagong, Chittagong, Bangladesh.

<sup>4</sup>Department of Pharmacy Practice and Policy, Faculty of Health Sciences, School of Pharmacy, University of Namibia, Windhoek, Namibia.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pharmacoepidemiology, Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Science, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK.

6Center of Research and Strategic Studies, Lebanese French University, Erbil, Iraq.

<sup>7</sup>Department of Pharmacology and Toxicology, College of Pharmacy, Hawler Medical University, Erbil, Iraq.

<sup>8</sup>Division of Public Health Pharmacy and Management, School of Pharmacy, Sefako Makgatho Health Sciences University, Pretoria, South Africa.

<sup>9</sup>Department of Pediatrics, Gonoshasthaya Samaj Vittik Medical College and Hospital, Dhaka, Bangladesh.

<sup>10</sup>Centre of Medical and Bio-Allied Health Sciences Research, Ajman University, Ajman, United Arab Emirates.

## ARTICLE INFO

Received on: 08/12/2021 Accepted on: 12/02/2022 Available Online: 05/05/2022

Key words:

Bangladesh, complications, diabetes, guidelines, health policies, type 2 diabetes.

# ABSTRACT

There is growing concern with the management of patients with type 2 diabetes (T2DM) across countries with suboptimal management increasing morbidity, mortality, and costs. In Bangladesh, the number of patients with diabetes will increase to an estimated 22.3 million by 2045, mainly T2DM, unless addressed. Alongside this, continued concerns with high rates of uncontrolled blood glucose levels as well as complication rates, including both microvascular and macrovascular complications in patients with T2DM in Bangladesh. This adds to the cost of care, which can be a concern among patients in Bangladesh with high co-payment rates. Alongside this, concerns with the impact of COVID-19 and associated lockdown measures on the care of these patients. Greater proactivity in managing these patients can help. Consequently, a need to ascertain what data is routinely collected in public hospitals in Bangladesh, including during the pandemic, to guide care. A pilot study was undertaken among eight patients in Chittagong Medical College using purposely designed case report forms to ascertain the extent of clinical information collected and their care against agreed target levels. There was typically poor control of blood glucose levels among the eight patients, which has resulted in increased prescribing of insulin. However, better control of blood pressure, lipids and urinary albumin levels. There were appreciable missing knowledge gaps, especially during the pandemic. This needs addressing.

\*Corresponding Author

#### INTRODUCTION

#### General including the costs of care

There has been a growing number of patients with diabetes, including type 2 diabetes (T2DM), in Bangladesh in recent years, which has increased morbidity, mortality and health related costs (Afroz *et al.*, 2019a). Fottrell *et al.* (2018) found that the combined prevalence of impaired glucose tolerance and fasting glucose levels,

© 2022 Farhana Akter *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

Brian Godman, Department of Pharmacoepidemiology, Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Science, University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK. E-mail: brian.godman @ strath.ac.uk

Mainul Haque, Unit of Pharmacology, Faculty of Medicine and Defence Heath, Universiti Pertahanan Nasional Malaysia (National Defence University of Malaysia) Kem Perdana Sungai Besi, 57000 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia. E-mail:runurono @ gmail.com, mainul @ upnm.edu.my

as well as diabetes among adults in rural Bangladesh, was 26.1% and 34.9% among men and women, respectively, and prevalence rates in both genders increased with age. Among the elderly in Bangladesh, it was estimated that nearly 60% of those surveyed had at least one non-communicable disease including diabetes, with just under onequarter had multiple co-morbidities (Mistry et al., 2021). Overall, it is estimated by Afroz et al. (2019a) that the number of patients with diabetes in Bangladesh will increase to 13.7 million by 2045 (Afroz et al., 2019a), which may well be an under-estimate given appreciable under-diagnosis of patients with diabetes in Southeast Asia including Bangladesh exacerbated by the recent COVID-19 pandemic (Kluge et al., 2020; Mistry et al., 2021; Shariful Islam et al., 2017). More recently, the International Diabetes Federation stated that there were already 13.1 million adults with diabetes in Bangladesh in 2021, with the number of people with diabetes in South East Asia expected to rise by 69% between 2021 and 2045 (IDF, 2021). This will equate to 22.3 million people in Bangladesh with diabetes by 2045 unless addressed, resulting in Bangladesh having the seventh highest prevalence rate for diabetes globally by then (IDF, 2021).

Alongside this, there are concerns with current management of patients with T2DM in Bangladesh in terms of a general lack of facilities and equipment to manage them (Rawal et al., 2019). There are also concerns with high rates of misdiagnosis, exacerbated by a lack of facilities in Bangladesh (Shariful Islam et al., 2017). Access to specialist diabetes services is also currently hindered in Bangladesh by time considerations including distances to travel to available clinics as well as often crowded conditions in clinics once patients arrive adding to the time taken to be seen. There are also concerns with associated costs of care with high co-payment levels in Bangladesh (Jennings et al., 2021). Alongside this, while locally available services are more accessible, they currently lack appropriate infrastructure as well as expertise to comprehensively manage patients (Jennings et al., 2021). Overall, even in specialist clinics in Bangladesh, there can be high rates of uncontrolled T2DM, especially among females and those with lower levels of education (Akter et al., 2019). These combined factors are a concern with high rates of complications seen among patients with T2DM, especially if uncontrolled (Afroz et al., 2019a, 2019b, 2019c; Akhter et al., 2013). Afroz et al. (2019c) in their study found prevalence rates of 10.1% and 30.5% for strokes and coronary artery disease, respectively, among patients with T2DM in Bangladesh, and 12.0%, 34.2%, 25.1%, and 5.8% of for diabetic foot alterations, nephropathy, retinopathy, and neuropathy, respectively.

These high complication rates increase the costs of care (Afroz *et al.*, 2019b; Chan *et al.*, 2021), which is important in Bangladesh due to high rates of patient co-payments (Haque *et al.*, 2021a, 2021b; Khan *et al.*, 2017; Rahman *et al.*, 2020). Shariful Islam *et al.* (2017) estimated that in Bangladesh the total annual per capita expenditure on medical care was 6.1 times higher for patients with diabetes versus those without diabetes. The costs of medicines contribute most to the overall costs of treating patients with diabetes in Bangladesh (60.7%) followed by hospitalization costs (27.7%) (Afroz *et al.*, 2019a). This can be an issue for patients as there are no national insurance programs in Bangladesh unlike among European and other countries providing universal healthcare, or discounted prices for medicines to treat diabetes mellitus and associated

complications (Afroz *et al.*, 2019a; Hamid *et al.*, 2021). As a result, marginalized communities may struggle to fund their medication as well as pay to see a physician (Jennings *et al.*, 2021).

However, greater proactivity in managing these patients in Bangladesh, enhanced by ongoing activities by the Government of Bangladesh, should bring considerable improvement in patient outcomes alongside net economic benefits given the current high costs of managing these patients (Afroz *et al.*, 2019a). There are concerns though with the extent of monitoring and data routinely collected in public clinics in Bangladesh to adequately monitor patients with T2DM to reduce the extent of complications (Rawal *et al.*, 2019).

#### **Current management**

The management of patients with diabetes in Bangladesh is increasingly orchestrated by the Diabetic Association of Bangladesh (BADAS), which was established in 1956. BADAS arranges diabetic healthcare via a number of establishments throughout Bangladesh incorporating a number of organizations. These include the Bangladesh Institute of Research and Rehabilitation in Diabetes, Endocrine and Metabolic Disorders, the National Health Care Network, Bangladesh University of Health Sciences, and the Health Care Development Projects as well as an appreciable number of affiliated associations in almost all the districts throughout Bangladesh alongside a few subdistricts (Azad, 2015; Khan et al., 2015). These organizations are typically heavily funded by the Government but operate as private organizations (Banglapedia, 2021). In addition, diabetic patients attending public hospitals do receive medicines, including traditional insulins, free of charge so long as supplies exists; however, this does not include long-acting insulin analogues (Godman et al., 2021a, 2021b; Hague et al., 2021b).

However, there are concerns with the routine availability of medicines to treat patients with diabetes, as well as routine laboratory and reporting systems, among clinics in Bangladesh (Biswas et al., 2018). These issues and concerns have been exacerbated by the instigation of lockdown and other measures to help control the spread of COVID-19, which impacts on the running of clinics as well as regular access and availability of medicines (Ahmed et al., 2020; Haque et al., 2020; Kluge et al., 2020; Mistry et al., 2021). Changes in lifestyles and diet through lockdown and other measures, coupled with reduced ability to visit clinics and pharmacies, have resulted in the worsening of glycemic values and outcomes in patients with T2DM (Eberle and Stichling, 2021; Hartmann-Boyce et al., 2020; Mohseni et al., 2021; Tewari et al., 2021). This though is not always the case with some studies showing no change in HbA1c levels or body weight pre- and post-lockdown (Sankar et al., 2020). To address concerns with patient management in Bangladesh, BADAS has published guidelines on aspects of care for patients with diabetes during the pandemic, including lifestyle advice (Diabetic Association of Bangladesh, 2020).

## Study aims

In view of the current controversies and concerns, we sought to ascertain what data is routinely collected among patients with T2DM attending ambulatory clinics within public hospitals in Bangladesh. Secondly what impact, if any, did the COVID-19 pandemic have on the management of these patients building on published studies.

Most published studies regarding the management of patients with T2DM in Bangladesh have been prospective studies, including interview-based studies, rather than retrospective studies (Afroz et al., 2019b, 2019c; Rahman et al., 2020; Rawal et al., 2019; Shariful Islam et al., 2017). Consequently, such studies may not always fully capture the extent of care currently being provided to patients with T2DM attending public clinics in Bangladesh. These concerns are exacerbated by patients attending public clinics usually carrying their notes, including investigation reports, with them rather than these being stored, including electronically, within hospitals (Akter et al., 2021). Alongside this, COVID-19 itself may increase the potential for patients to develop T2DM (Maestre-Muñiz et al., 2021; Steenblock et al., 2021). Physicians may also only record advice, including lifestyle and dietary advice, if the patient is not complying. As a result, there could be concerns if patients see different physicians on different occasions and key activities are not recorded.

We were particularly interested in public hospitals due to high patient co-payment levels in Bangladesh (Khan *et al.*, 2017; Rahman *et al.*, 2020). Having said this, there is growing prescribing of long-acting insulin analogues in patients with diabetes in Bangladesh attending both public and private hospitals unlike the situation among patients in Africa attending public clinics (Godman *et al.*, 2021a, 2021c; Haque *et al.*, 2021a). This has been helped in Bangladesh by increased prescribing of lower cost long-acting insulin biosimilars, with increased competition helping to lower prices (Haque *et al.*, 2021b).

The findings from this pilot study can subsequently be used to suggest improvements in the monitoring of patients with T2DM within public hospitals in Bangladesh to improve future care. In addition, potentially help with suggestions to improve the care of patients with T2DM among similar low- and middleincome countries (LMICs) with high co-payment levels.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

This was a retrospective pilot study of patients attending the outpatient clinic at Department of Endocrinology, Chittagong Medical College, Bangladesh. Chittagong Medical College is seen as a representative tertiary care hospital among the leading public hospitals in Bangladesh. This study builds on an earlier study in Chittagong documenting high prevalence rates of uncontrolled diabetes among those attending outpatient clinics (Akter *et al.*, 2019).

A case report form (CRF) was developed to assess the level of clinical data usually captured during out-patient visits as well as any subsequent impact of COVID-19 lockdown measures on key outcome measures. Key measures include HbA1c, fasting plasma glucose (FPG), postprandial blood glucose (PPG), lipid levels (total cholesterol, HDL, LDL, and triglycerides), BP, serum creatinine, and urinary albumin as well as medicines prescribed for treating T2DM including insulins. In addition, the extent of micro- and macrovascular complications and other relevant complications including thyroid disorders. The final content of the CRF was based on the knowledge of the co-authors combined with published papers including a previous study conducted in Chittagong Medical College (Afroz et al., 2019c; Akter et al., 2019; Health Improvement Scotland, 2017; Mwita et al., 2019; Park and Pastakia, 2018). There was no contact with patients to clarify certain aspects of care including ongoing dietary and lifestyle changes incorporating exercise levels.

Current values of key parameters were also assessed against published targets (Table 1) to evaluate the level of care provided and the impact. Table 1 also contains details of the extent of complications and other key measures contained in the CRFs for this pilot study as well as any target levels where pertinent.

Just the names of the medicines prescribed for managing patients with T2DM, including those for complications incorporating cardiovascular medicines and statins, were recorded for this pilot study. There was no documentation of actual doses as this was outside the objectives of the study. There was also no recording whether the patients had any other co-morbidities in addition to the targeted comorbidities (Table 1).

The retrospective data collection started in December 2019, i.e., before the current COVID-19 pandemic and associated lockdown activities in Bangladesh (Godman *et al.*, 2020a; Haque *et al.*, 2020), and continued at 6-monthly intervals until November 2021. A 6-monthly interval was seen as optimal to monitor changes in key clinical measures (Table 1).

A pilot study was conducted among eight patients to assess the practicality of use of the CRF, with suggestions subsequently considered for improving the CRF before a full retrospective study would be undertaken in this and other clinics in Bangladesh.

## **Ethical approval**

Ethical approval was obtained (CMC/PG/2021/232), and all patients gave verbal informed consent before starting the study.

## RESULTS

Table 2 contains the characteristics of the eight patients with T2DM taking part in the pilot study. The employment status of patients was not included as this characteristic is typically not included within the patient's notes.

Table 3 contains details of key clinical parameters and treatments during their last visit (November 2021).

Table 4 contains a history of known complications in November 2021 building on Table 1.

Table 5 contains details of typical data sets available in the patient's notes in the studied period in accordance with Tables 3 and 4. Data sets not recorded are left out, which was typically clinical parameters including details of glycemic control, BP, and kidney function. The rationale for the visit was also variably recorded.

## DISCUSSION

There was variable control of blood glucose levels among the eight patients with T2DM in November 2021 as measured by HbA1c, FPG, and PPG levels (Table 3). None of the eight patients had their PPG levels within target levels. Similarly, six out of seven patients had their FPG levels outside target levels (Table 1), and in one patient FPG levels were not recorded (Table 3). HbA1c levels was also above target levels in the majority of studied patients (Tables 1 and 3). This is similar to the findings of Akter *et al.*(2019) where 72% of studied patients with T2DM did not reach target HbA1c levels (Akter *et al.*, 2019), and Afroz *et al.* (2019a) where 62.0% of patients with T2DM in their study had poor control and only 18.2% good control as measured by HbA1c levels. In addition, similar to concerns with

| n | n | 1 |
|---|---|---|
| υ | 9 | I |

| Table 1. Key clinical data sets included in the CRFs and | potential targets. |
|--|--------------------|
|--|--------------------|

| Clinical data   | Nature and targets   |  |  |  |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Glycaemic control   | Extent of control of T2DM including hypoglycemic episodes  |  |  |  |
|   | • An HbA1c target of 7.0% (53 mmol/mol) up to 7.9% among people with T2DM will reduce the risk of macrovascular and microvascular disease, with a target of 6.5% to 6.03% (48 mmol/mol) potentially more appropriate at diagnosis (Afroz <i>et al.</i> , 2019b; Kaur <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Mwita <i>et al.</i> , 2019; Park and Pastakia, 2018; Rwegerera <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2011).      |  |  |  |
|   | • Alternatively, FPG levels ≥ 126 mg/dl down to 104 mg/dl for early diagnosis, which the use of FPG seen to identify more cases of patients with T2DM than HbA1c (Kaur <i>et al.</i> , 2020; Wang <i>et al.</i> , 2011)  |  |  |  |
|   | • Identified target levels for PPG are < 10.0 mol/l, with clinical studies showing that targeting PPG can further improve glycemic control (Blevins, 2011; NICE, 2018; Rwegerera <i>et al.</i> , 2021)   |  |  |  |
| Medicines prescribed for glycemic control<br>(Godman <i>et al.</i> , 2020b; Haque <i>et al.</i> , 2021b; Health   | Oral treatments for diabetes including metformin and sulphonyl ureas   |  |  |  |
| Improvement Scotland, 2017; Mwita <i>et al.</i> , 2019;<br>Rwegerera <i>et al.</i> , 2019)  | Insulins including pre-mixed insulins and long-acting insulin analogues  |  |  |  |
| Blood pressure (Grossman and Grossman, 2017;<br>Mwita <i>et al.</i> , 2019, 2020; NICE, 2019; Perkovic<br><i>et al.</i> , 2016; Rwegerera <i>et al.</i> , 2018) | Targets of BP in guidelines vary between $<\!130/80$ and $<\!140/90$ mmHg, with $<\!140/90$ mmHg typically predominant   |  |  |  |
| Lipid profiles (Begum et al., 2019; Jellinger et al.,   | • Moderate risk - $\leq$ 2 risk factors and 10-year risk <100 mg/dl for LDL and <130 mg/dl for no  |  |  |  |
| 2017; Mwita et al., 2019; Rwegerera et al., 2021)   | <ul> <li>Lipid profiles in Bangladesh are usually expressed in mg/dl. Target Total Cholesterol &lt; 200 mg/dl, Target HDL &gt; 40 mg/dl in males and &gt;50 mg/dl in females, Target LDL &lt; 100 mg/dl, Target TG &lt; 150 mg/dl. Alternatively; LDL-C &lt; 1.8 mmol/l, HDL-C &lt; 1.0 for males and &lt;1.3 mmol/l for female; triglycerides &lt; 1.7 mmol/l, and total cholesterol &lt; 4.5 mmol/l</li> </ul> |  |  |  |
| Serum creatinine and urinary albumin (Aziz, 2015;<br>Hasanato, 2016; Idowu <i>et al.</i> , 2017; Norris <i>et al.</i> ,   | Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is one of the complications of patients with T2DM increasing card vascular and cerebrovascular disease and overall mortality unless addressed   |  |  |  |
| 2018; Perkovic <i>et al.</i> , 2016; Rwegerera <i>et al.</i> , 2018;<br>Yokoyama <i>et al.</i> , 2013)  | • Urinary albumin: creatinine ratio:3–30 mg/mmol OR 30–300 mg/g in a spot urine sample preferably in early morning sample.   |  |  |  |
|   | • Albuminuria is present when UACR is greater than 30 mg/g and is a marker for CKD   |  |  |  |
|   | • If albumin is present in routine and microscopic examination of urine (typically expressed as + or ++ or +++) this is considered as macroalbuminuria   |  |  |  |
| Microvascular complications (Afroz et al., 2019b;   | Retinopathy, Nephropathy and Neuropathy  |  |  |  |
| Akhter <i>et al.</i> , 2013; Chan <i>et al.</i> , 2021; Hoque <i>et al.</i> , 2017; Jelinek <i>et al.</i> , 2017)   | Assessments based on clinical experience   |  |  |  |
| 2017, sonnek er un., 2017)  | • These complications are usually written in the patient's notes. If not explicitly written, investigation reports are often used to help with a diagnosis   |  |  |  |
| Macrovascular complications (Akhter et al., 2013;   | • Cardiovascular disease, cerebrovascular disease, peripheral arterial disease, diabetic foot ulcerations  |  |  |  |
| Chan et al., 2021; Jelinek et al., 2017)  | Assessments based on clinical experience and again if investigations are included in the notes   |  |  |  |
| Hospitalizations (Afroz et al., 2019b)  | History of hospitalizations  |  |  |  |
|   | Rationale—recorded in patients' discharge notes from the hospital  |  |  |  |
| Any other co-morbidities/ complications (Gläser <i>et al.</i> , 2015; Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2021)   | This could include hypertension, bronchial asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), hypothyroidism, and hyperthyroidism   |  |  |  |

FPG = Fasting plasma glucose; HDL = High-density lipoprotein; LDL = Low-density lipoprotein; PPG = Postprandial plasma glucose; T2DM = Type 2 diabetes; UACR = Urine albumin-to-creatinine ratio.

increasing blood glucose levels among patients with T2DM as a result of the pandemic (Eberle and Stichling, 2021; Tewari *et al.*, 2021). In a separate study, Afroz *et al.* (2019c) found key factors associated with complications of T2DM included being female, older, having a low level of education, living in an urban area, having poor dietary habits and poor adherence to prescribed treatments, physical inactivity and a longer duration of diabetes. There appeared though to be better control of BP, lipid levels, and urinary albumin levels among the eight studied patients (Table 3). However, there was no recording of lipid levels in the notes of an appreciable number of patients.

Table 2. Key patient characteristics among the eight patients.

| Patient   | Gender | Marital status |
|-----------|--------|----------------|
| Patient 1 | Male   | Married        |
| Patient 2 | Male   | Married        |
| Patient 3 | Female | Widowed        |
| Patient 4 | Male   | Married        |
| Patient 5 | Female | Married        |
| Patient 6 | Female | Married        |
| Patient 7 | Male   | Married        |
| Patient 8 | Male   | Married        |

|                               | Patient 1  | Patient 2                    | Patient 3                               | Patient 4  | Patient 5                           | Patient 6                 | Patient 7                              | Patient 8  |
|-------------------------------|--|------------------------------|---|--|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| Rationale for the visit       | Routine FU;<br>infection                         | Routine FU;<br>uncont DM     | Uncont DM;<br>hypo                      | Routine FU;<br>uncont DM,                          | Routine FU                          | Routine<br>FU             | Regular FU;<br>uncont DM;<br>Infection | NR   |
| Other illnesses               | Not present                                      | CVD                          | Not present                             | Peptic ulcer<br>disease                            | Multiple<br>abscesses               | Not present               | Left renal stone                       | IHD;<br>hyperthyroidism                                    |
| <b>Clinical parameters</b>    |  |                              |   |  |                                     |                           |  |  |
| BMI kg/m2                     | NR   | 22.4                         | 20.0                                    | 23.3   | 22.9                                | 29.2                      | 22                                     | NR   |
| FPG mmol/l                    | 21.1   | 18.72                        | 18.8                                    | NR   | 8.3                                 | 15.5                      | 6.6                                    | 9.5  |
| PPG mmol/l                    | 27.2   | 26.22                        | 27.5                                    | NR   | 12.6                                | 23.0                      | 13.7                                   | 11.1   |
| HbA1c%                        | NR   | 14.4%                        | 16.2%                                   | 7.3%   | NR                                  | 11.1                      | 8.1                                    | 8.5  |
| TC mg/dl                      | 255  | 185                          | NR                                      | NR   | NR                                  | 211                       | 119                                    | NR   |
| HDL mg/dl                     | 32   | 41                           | NR                                      | NR   | NR                                  | 30                        | 41                                     | NR   |
| LDL mg/dl                     | 156  | 139                          | NR                                      | NR   | NR                                  | 136                       | 79                                     | NR   |
| TG mg/dl                      | 342  | 141                          | NR                                      | NR   | NR                                  | 325                       | 100                                    | NR   |
| BP (mmHg)                     | 160/110  | 130/86                       | 110/70                                  | 120/80   | 160/100                             | 120/100                   | NR                                     | 110/80   |
| Serum creatinine mg/dl        | 1.27   | 0.88                         | 0.9                                     | 0.8  | 1.2                                 | NR                        | 1.4                                    | 1.3  |
| Urinary albumin               | ++   | Absent<br>urinary<br>albumin | NR                                      | NR   | NR                                  | NR                        | Absent albumin                         | NR   |
| Treatment                     |  |                              |   |  |                                     |                           |  |  |
| Oral anti-diabetic medication | Metformin,<br>SGLT2i                             | Metformin                    | Metformin                               | None prescribed                                    | Metformin                           | Metformin                 | Metformin;<br>Gliclazide               | Metformin  |
| Insulin                       | Regular and<br>Intermediate<br>acting<br>insulin | Regular and intermediate     | Regular and<br>long-acting<br>analogues | Regular and<br>long-acting<br>insulin<br>analogues | Regular                             | Regular,<br>intermediate  | Regular;<br>intermediate               | Not prescribed   |
| CV medicines                  | Olmesartan,<br>aspirin                           | Aspirin                      | Antiplatelets<br>aspirin                | Spironolactone<br>propranolol                      | Losartan,<br>bisoprolol,<br>aspirin | Olmesartan;<br>bisoprolol | Bisoprolol                             | Bisoprolol;<br>aspirin;<br>clopidogrel;<br>nitro-glycerine |
| Statins                       | Yes  | Yes                          | Yes                                     | Not prescribed                                     | Yes                                 | Yes                       | Yes                                    | Yes  |

Table 3. Key clinical parameters and treatments among the eight patients in November 2021.

Routine FU = routine follow-up visit; uncon DM = uncontrolled T2DM; NR = not recorded.

Not surprisingly in view of concerns with blood glucose levels, there was increased prescribing of insulin in the majority of the studied patients alongside the prescribing of a sensitizer such as metformin. In addition, the routine prescribing of cardiovascular medicines including statins (Table 3) to help reduce the extent of macrovascular complications. Encouragingly as well, there appeared to be greater availability and prescribing of medicines to help control blood glucose levels as well as prevent cardiovascular complications compared with the situation in many other LMICs (Flood et al., 2021; Manne-Goehler et al., 2019; Mwita et al., 2020). Despite this, microvascular and macrovascular complications did develop in a number of the studied patients (Table 4) although this was not always recorded. This is a concern given the costs associated with such complications, adding to the overall costs of managing patients with diabetes in Bangladesh (Afroz et al., 2019a; Chan et al., 2021; Moucheraud et al., 2019; Shariful Islam et al., 2017), and should be the target for future quality improvement programs. Key target areas include

increasing the percentage of patients reaching target blood glucose

and other targets (Table 1) to prevent subsequent complications.

However, this will require changes in the way data is routinely collected in public hospitals in Bangladesh given current concerns (Tables 3–5) to reduce diabetes related complications (Chamnan, 2021; Manne-Goehler *et al.*, 2019). In addition, the development of country specific guidelines with regular updates, cognizant of the situation within a country, especially with issues of availability and affordability of medicines as well as pharmacogenetic issues as seen in the case of managing hypertension in certain groups of people (Jellinger *et al.*, 2017; Mbui *et al.*, 2017; Owolabi *et al.*, 2018). There are also concerns regarding the dissemination, publication of regular updates, and follow-up of adherence to guidelines among physicians treating patients with T2DM in Bangladesh, with adherence to guidelines known to improve the quality of care provided (Campbell *et al.*, 2021; Niaz *et al.*, 2019; Owolabi *et al.*, 2018). This needs to be addressed going forward.

It did appear as if the current COVID-19 pandemic interfered with out-patient clinics as seen by large gaps in patient follow-up, which needs to be improved going forward (Tables 3 and 5). This is starting to be addressed as seen by the increase in patient data being collected in November 2021 versus

|                                  | Patient 1                  | Patient 2                  | Patient 3                                     | Patient 4                   | Patient 5                 | Patient 6                                 | Patient 7                       | Patient 8                |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|---|-----------------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| Microvascular                    | Nephropathy,<br>Neuropathy | No                         | No  | No                          | NR                        | Nephropathy                               | Nephropathy                     | No                       |
| Macrovascular complications      | No                         | Stroke                     | No  | No                          | Stroke                    | NR  | No                              | CVD                      |
| Others (included listed Table 1) | No                         | No                         | COPD; Fibroid<br>uterus; left renal<br>cyst   | Cholelithiasis              | N0                        | Fibroid uterus;<br>ovarian cyst;<br>NAFLD | UTI                             | COPD;<br>hyperthyroidism |
| Hospitalisations                 | No                         | Yes—<br>uncontrolled<br>DM | Yes—DM and<br>adenocarcinoma<br>of Right Lung | Yes—Peptic<br>ulcer disease | Yes—multiple<br>abscesses | Yes—<br>uncontrolled<br>DM                | Yes—<br>uncontrolled<br>DM, UTI | Yes—<br>hyperthyroidism  |
| Other                            |                            |                            |   |                             |                           |   |                                 |                          |

Table 4. Noted complications in November 2021 among the eight patients.

CVD = cardiovascular disease; COPD = Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease; DM = diabetes mellitus; NAFLD = Non-alcoholic fatty liver disease; NR = Not recorded; PVD = peripheral vascular disease; UTI = Urinary tract infection.

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

Yes

| Table 5. Key data actually recorded an | d available to track patient care December 2019 to June 2021. |
|--|---|
|--|---|

| Patient   | Dec 2019   | June 2020  | Dec 2020  | June 2021  |
|-----------|--|--|---|--|
| Patient 1 | Rationale for the visit; metformin<br>and gliclazide; history of<br>hospitalizations                               | Rationale for the visit; metformin and gliclazide; history of hospitalizations; complications                              | Rationale for the visit; metformin<br>and gliclazide; history of<br>hospitalizations, complications             | Rationale for the visit;<br>metformin and gliclazide;<br>history of hospitalizations,<br>complications                           |
| Patient 2 | Rationale for visit; metformin<br>and insulins; history of<br>hospitalization; complications<br>and co-morbidities | Rationale for visit; metformin and<br>insulins; history of hospitalization;<br>complications and co-morbidities            | Rationale for visit; metformin and<br>insulins; history of hospitalization;<br>complications and co-morbidities | Rationale for visit; metformin<br>and insulins; history of<br>hospitalization; complications<br>and co-morbidities               |
| Patient 3 | Metformin, gliclazide and<br>DPP4i; aspirin; hospitalizations;<br>complications                                    | Metformin, gliclazide and DPP4i;<br>aspirin prescribed; hospitalizations;<br>complications                                 | Metformin, gliclazide and<br>DPP4i; aspirin; hospitalizations;<br>complications                                 | Metformin, gliclazide<br>and DPP4i; aspirin;<br>hospitalizations; complications  |
| Patient 4 | Metformin; spironolactone and<br>propranolol; hospitalizations and<br>complications                                | Metformin prescribed; rationale<br>for the visit; spironolactone and<br>propranolol; hospitalizations and<br>complications | Metformin; spironolactone and<br>propranolol; hospitalizations and<br>complications                             | Rationale for the visit;<br>spironolactone and<br>propranolol; hospitalizations<br>and complications                             |
| Patient 5 | Metformin; atenolol;<br>hospitalizations; complications<br>(some)  | Metformin; atenolol, hospitalizations; complications (some)  | Blood pressure; metformin;<br>atenolol; hospitalizations;<br>complications (some)                               | Other illnesses; blood<br>pressure; metformin and<br>insulin; atenolol and aspirin,<br>prescribed                                |
| Patient 6 | Metformin; amlodipine;<br>hospitalizations; complications<br>and co-morbidities                                    | Rationale for the visit; BMI;<br>metformin; amlodipine;<br>hospitalizations; complications and<br>co-morbidities           | Metformin; amlodipine;<br>complications; hospitalizations;<br>complications and co-morbidities                  | Rationale for the visit; BP;<br>metformin and insulin;<br>amlodipine; hospitalizations;<br>complications and co-<br>morbidities  |
| Patient 7 | Rationale for the visit; metformin<br>and gliclazide; bisoprolol;<br>complications;                                | Rationale for the visit; metformin and gliclazide; bisoprolol; complications   | Rationale for the visit; metformin<br>and gliclazide; bisoprolol;<br>complications                              | Rationale for the visit;<br>metformin and gliclazide;<br>bisoprolol; complications;  |
| Patient 8 | Hospitalization; complications   | Hospitalization; complications   | Hospitalization; complications  | Rationale for the visit;<br>metformin; bisoprolol, aspirin,<br>clopidogrel and nitroglycerine;<br>hospitalization; complications |

previous studied periods following the start of the pandemic (Table 3). The instigation of electronic data sets is one way forward, building on the situation in other countries (Kerkenbush and Lasome, 2003; Kaufman, 2010). In the meantime, re-looking at the current situation to improve patient monitoring and adherence to prescribed medicines including those to prevent cardiovascular diseases and lifestyle advice (Godman *et al.*, 2020b). This

complications/

comorbidities

Yes

Yes

Yes

could include greater use of mobile technologies to improve the education of patients with T2DM, establishing digital support groups, as well as routine collection of key clinical parameters when clinic visits are a concern (Ranscombe, 2020).

We are aware of developments such as continuous glucose monitoring and the use of insulin pumps to further improve the care of patients with T2DM (Edelman *et al.*, 2018; Janapala *et al.*, 2019; Mardare *et al.*, 2021; Wright and Hirsch, 2017). However, there are issues with affordability across LMICs (Mardare *et al.*, 2021). In the meantime, the focus in LMICs should be on improving the care of patients with T2DM to reduce the potential for insulin in the first place as well as macrovascular complications (Godman *et al.*, 2021c). This includes re-looking at issues such as data collection and analysis through electronic systems.

We are aware of a number of limitations with this study. This includes the fact that this is only a pilot study. In addition, it is a retrospective study subject to the typical data collection limitations of retrospective studies. Nevertheless, we believe our findings give guidance regarding potential ways to improve the care of patients with T2DM in the public health system in Bangladesh, and we will be taking this forward in future studies.

# CONCLUSION

There are concerns with the management of patients with T2DM in Bangladesh; however, the outcomes of care of patients with T2DM in this pilot study appear to be better compared with a number of LMICs. However, there is considerable room for improvement to reduce future complications, including both microvascular and macrovascular complications. Improved data collection and monitoring of patients can improve the future care of patients with T2DM in the public system in Bangladesh and reduce subsequent complications in a cost-effective way. Enhancing the availability of clinics and services, including earlier diagnosis, in the public system should help to further improve the care of patients with T2DM in Bangladesh. This should be a priority, especially as we are celebrating 100 years of insulin discovery.

#### **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

#### FUNDING

There was no funding for this study or the write up of the study.

# AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

All authors made substantial contributions to the content of the paper including conception, acquisition and interpretation of the data, critically reviewing successive drafts; agreed to submit to the current journal and approving the version to be published. In addition, FA, MH and BG were accountable for all aspects of the work. All the authors are eligible to be an author as per the international committee of medical journal editors (ICMJE) requirements/guidelines.

## ETHICAL APPROVALS

Ethical approval was obtained (CMC/PG/2021/232), and all patients gave verbal informed consent before starting the study.

# DATA AVAILABILITY

All data generated and analyzed are included within this research article.

#### **PUBLISHER'S NOTE**

This journal remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published institutional affiliation.

## REFERENCES

Afroz A, Alam K, Ali L, Karim A, Alramadan MJ, Habib SH, Magliano DJ, Billah B. Type 2 diabetes mellitus in Bangladesh: a prevalence based cost-of-illness study. BMC Health Serv Res, 2019a; 19(1):601; doi:10.1186/s12913-019-4440-3

Afroz A, Alam K, Hossain Mn, Biswas A, Ali L, Magliano Dj, Billah B. Burden of macro- and micro-vascular complications of type 2 diabetes in Bangladesh. Diabetes Metab Syndr, 2019b; 13:1615–22; doi:10.1016/j.dsx.2019.03.001

Afroz A, Zhang W, Wei Loh AJ, Jie Lee DX, Billah B. Macroand micro-vascular complications and their determinants among people with type 2 diabetes in Bangladesh. Diabetes Metab Syndr, 2019c; 13(5):2939– 46; doi:10.1016/j.dsx.2019.07.046

Ahmed SAKS, Ajisola M, Azeem K, Bakibinga P, Chen YF, Choudhury NN, Fayehun O, Griffiths F, Harris B, Kibe P, Lilford RJ, Omigbodun A, Rizvi N, Sartori J, Smith S, Watson SI, Wilson R, Yeboah G, Aujla N, Azam SI, Diggle PJ, Gill P, Iqbal R, Kabaria C, Kisia L, Kyobutungi C, Madan JJ, Mberu B, Mohamed SF, Nazish A, Odubanjo O, Osuh ME, Owoaje E, Oyebode O, Porto de Albuquerque J, Rahman O, Tabani K, Taiwo OJ, Tregonning G, Uthman OA, Yusuf R, Improving Health in Slums Collaborative. Impact of the societal response to COVID-19 on access to healthcare for non-COVID-19 health issues in slum communities of Bangladesh, Kenya, Nigeria and Pakistan: results of pre-COVID and COVID-19 lockdown stakeholder engagements. BMJ Glob Health, 2020; 5(8):e003042; doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2020-003042

Akhter A, Fatema K, Ahmed SF, Afroz A, Ali L, Hussain A. Prevalence and associated risk indicators of retinopathy in a rural Bangladeshi population with and without diabetes. Ophthalmic Epidemiol, 2013; 20:220–7; doi:10.3109/09286586.2013.8097704

Akter F, Alam SMMU, Hasan M, Rana M, Chowdhury NA, Karim M, Mannan A. The prevalence of uncontrolled type 2 diabetus mellitus and its associated factors among people within southern part of Bangladesh. JCMCTA, 2019; 30(2):92–8.

Akter F, Haque M, Kalemeera F, Kurdi A, Godman B. Key issues surrounding the management of non-communicable diseases including the management of diabetes post COVID-19 among developing countries with a specific focus on Bangladesh. J Appl Pharm Sci, 2021; 11(10):i–vi; doi:10.7324/JAPS.2021.11012ed

Azad K. Type 1 diabetes: the Bangladesh perspective. Indian J Endocrinol Metab, 2015; 19:S9–11; doi:10.4103/2230-8210.155344

Aziz KM. Correlation of urine biomarkers: microalbuminuria and spot urine protein among diabetic patients. Application of spot urine protein in diabetic kidney disease, nephropathy, proteinuria estimation, diagnosing and monitoring. Recent Pat Endocr Metab Immune Drug Discov, 2015; 9:121–33; doi:10.2174/1872214809666150708111022

Banglapedia. Banglapedia. 2021. Available via https:// en.banglapedia.org/index.php/BIRDEM (Accessed 5 January 2022).

Begum A, Irfan SR, Hoque MR, Habib SH, Parvin S, Malek R, Akhter S, Sattar S, Sarkar S. Relationship between HbA1c and lipid profile seen in Bangladeshi type 2 diabetes mellitus patients attending BIRDEM hospital: a cross-sectional study. Mymensingh Med J, 2019; 28:91–5.

Biswas T, Haider MM, Das Gupta R, Uddin J. Assessing the readiness of health facilities for diabetes and cardiovascular services in Bangladesh: a cross-sectional survey. BMJ Open, 2018; 8:e022817; doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2018-022817

Blevins T. Control of postprandial glucose levels with insulin in type 2 diabetes. Postgrad Med, 2011; 123:135–47; doi:10.3810/ pgm.2011.07.2313 Campbell S, Meyer JC, Godman B. Why compliance to national prescribing guidelines is important especially across sub-Saharan Africa and suggestions for the future. J Biomed Pharm Sci, 2021; 4(6):1–7.

Chamnan P. Achieving sensible targets for a diabetes care cascade in LMICs. Lancet Glob Health, 2021; 9:e1481–2; doi:10.1016/S2214-109X(21)00403-4

Chan JCN, Lim LL, Wareham NJ, Shaw JE, Orchard TJ, Zhang P, Lau ESH, Eliasson B, Kong APS, Ezzati M, Aguilar-Salinas CA, McGill M, Levitt NS, Ning G, So WY, Adams J, Bracco P, Forouhi NG, Gregory GA, Guo J, Hua X, Klatman EL, Magliano DJ, Ng BP, Ogilvie D, Panter J, Pavkov M, Shao H, Unwin N, White M, Wou C, Ma RCW, Schmidt MI, Ramachandran A, Seino Y, Bennett PH, Oldenburg B, Gagliardino JJ, Luk AOY, Clarke PM, Ogle GD, Davies MJ, Holman RR, Gregg EW. The Lancet Commission on diabetes: using data to transform diabetes care and patient lives. Lancet, 2021; 396(10267):2019–82; doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20)32374-6

Diabetic Association of Bangladesh. COVID-19 and diabetes— BADAS guide for healthcare professionals. 2020. Available via https:// drive.google.com/file/d/1F2hHB11MjeX-KHcyHYnvHuqYzoj00Xnj/view (Accessed 5 January 2022).

Eberle C, Stichling S. Impact of COVID-19 lockdown on glycemic control in patients with type 1 and type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. Diabetol Metab Syndr, 2021; 13(1):95; doi:10.1186/s13098-021-00705-9

Edelman SV, Argento NB, Pettus J, Hirsch IB. Clinical implications of real-time and intermittently scanned continuous glucose monitoring. Diabetes Care, 2018; 41:2265–74; doi:10.2337/dc18-1150

Flood D, Seiglie JA, Dunn M, Tschida S, Theilmann M, Marcus ME, Brian G, Norov B, Mayige MT, Gurung MS, Aryal KK, Labadarios D, Dorobantu M, Silver BK, Bovet P, Jorgensen JMA, Guwatudde D, Houehanou C, Andall-Brereton G, Quesnel-Crooks S, Sturua L, Farzadfar F, Moghaddam SS, Atun R, Vollmer S, Bärnighausen TW, Davies JI, Wexler DJ, Geldsetzer P, Rohloff P, Ramírez-Zea M, Heisler M, Manne-Goehler J. The state of diabetes treatment coverage in 55 low-income and middle-income countries: a cross-sectional study of nationally representative, individual-level data in 680,102 adults. Lancet, 2021; 2(6):E340–51; doi:10.1016/S2666-7568(21)00089-1

Fottrell E, Ahmed N, Shaha SK, Jennings H, Kuddus A, Morrison J, Akter K, Nahar B, Nahar T, Haghparast-Bidgoli H, Khan AKA, Costello A, Azad K. Distribution of diabetes, hypertension and noncommunicable disease risk factors among adults in rural Bangladesh: a cross-sectional survey. BMJ Glob Health, 2018; 3:e000787; doi:10.1136/ bmjgh-2018-000787

Gläser S, Krüger S, Merkel M, Bramlage P, Herth FJ. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and diabetes mellitus: a systematic review of the literature. Respiration, 2015; 89:253–64; doi:10.1159/000369863

Godman B, Haque M, Islam S, Iqbal S, Urmi UL, Kamal ZM, Shuvo SA, Rahman A, Kamal M, Haque M, Jahan I, Islam MZ, Hossain MM, Murshid ME, Kumar S, Charan J, Bhatt R, Dutta S, Abhayanand JP, Sharma Y, Saleem Z, Phuong TNT, Kwon HY, Kurdi A, Wale J, Sefah I. Rapid assessment of price instability and paucity of medicines and protection for COVID-19 Across Asia: findings and public health implications for the future. Frontiers in Public Health, 2020a; 8:585832; doi:10.3389/fpubh.2020.585832

Godman B, Basu D, Pillay Y, Mwita JC, Rwegerera GM, Anand Paramadhas BD, Tiroyakgosi C, Okwen PM, Niba LL, Nonvignon J, Sefah I, Oluka M, Guantai AN, Kibuule D, Kalemeera F, Mubita M, Fadare J, Ogunleye OO, Distiller LA, Rampamba EM, Wing J, Mueller D, Alfadl A, Amu AA, Matsebula Z, Kalungia A, Zaranyika T, Masuka N, Wale J, Hill R, Kurdi A, Timoney A, Campbell S, Meyer JC. Review of ongoing activities and challenges to improve the care of patients with type 2 diabetes across Africa and the implications for the future. Front Pharmacol, 2020b; 11:108; doi:10.3389/fphar.2020.00108

Godman B, Haque M, Leong T, Allocati E, Kumar S, Islam S, Charan J, Akter F, Kurdi A, Vassalo C, Bakar MA, Rahim SA, Sultana N, Deeba F, Khan MAH, Alam ABMM, Jahan I, Kamal ZM, Hasin H, Murshid ME, Nahar S, Haque M, Dutta S, Abhayanand JP, Kaur RJ, Rwegerera GM, do Nascimento RCRM, Dias Godói IP, Irfan M, Amu AA, Matowa P, Acolatse J, Incoom R, Sefah IA, Acharya J, Opanga S, Njeri LW, Kimonge D, Kwon HY, Bae S, Khuan KKP, Abubakar AR, Sani IH, Khan TA, Hussain S, Saleem Z, Malande OO, Piloya-Were T, Gambogi R, Hernandez Ortiz C, Alutuli L, Kalungia AC, Hoxha I, Marković-Peković V, Tubic B, Petrova G, Tachkov K, Laius O, Harsanyi A, Inotai A, Jakupi A, Henkuzens S, Garuoliene K, Gulbinovič J, Wladysiuk M, Rutkowski J, Mardare I, Fürst J, McTaggart S, MacBride-Stewart S, Pontes C, Zara C, Tagoe ET, Banzi R, Wale J, Jakovljevic M. The current situation regarding long-acting insulin analogues including biosimilars among African, Asian, European, and South American Countries; findings and implications for the future. Front Public Health, 2021a; 9:671961; doi:10.3389/fpubh.2021.671961

Godman B, Haque M, Kumar S, Islam S, Charan J, Akter F, Kurdi A, Allocati E, Bakar MA, Rahim SA, Sultana N, Deeba F, Halim Khan MA, Alam ABMM, Jahan I, Kamal ZM, Hasin H, Murshid ME, Nahar S, Haque M, Dutta S, Abhayanand JP, Kaur RJ, Acharya J, Sugahara T, Kwon HY, Bae S, Khuan KKP, Khan TA, Hussain S, Saleem Z, Pisana A, Wale J, Jakovljevic M. Current utilization patterns for long-acting insulin analogues including biosimilars among selected Asian countries and the implications for the future. Curr Med Res Opin, 2021b; 37(9):1529–45; doi:10.1080/03007995.2021.1946024.

Godman B, Leong T, Abubakar AR, Kurdi A, Kalemeera F, Rwegerera GM, Patrick O, Niba LL, Ibrahim K, Amu AA, Matowa P, Acolatse J, Incoom R, Sefah IA, Opanga S, Njeri LW, Kimonge D, Oluka M, Chikowe I, Khuluza F, Phiri H, Kibuule D, Hango E, Sani IH, Malande OO, Piloya-Were T, Alutuli L, Kalungia AC, Chaibva BV, Zaranyika T, Haque M, Allocati E, Campbell S, Adwubi ET, Ogunleye OO. Availability and use of long-acting insulin analogues including their biosimilars across Africa: findings and implications. Intern Med, 2021c; 11:343.

Grossman A, Grossman E. Blood pressure control in type 2 diabetic patients. Cardiovasc Diabetol, 2017; 16(1):3; doi:10.1186/s12933-016-0485-3

Hamid SA, Khanam M, Azim MR, Islam MS. Health insurance for university students in Bangladesh: a novel experiment. Health Sci Rep, 2021; 4(4):e382; doi:10.1002/hsr2.382

Haque M, Islam S, Iqbal S, Urmi UL, Kamal ZM, Shuvo SA, Rahman A, Kamal M, Haque M, Jahan I, Islam Z, Hossain MM, Murshid ME, Sefah I, Kurdi A, Godman B. Availability and price changes of potential medicines and equipment for the prevention and treatment of COVID-19 among pharmacy and drug stores in Bangladesh; findings and implications. Bangladesh J Med Sci, 2020; 19:S36–50; doi:10.3329/bjms.v19i0.48106

Haque M, Islam S, Abubakar AR, Sani IH, Opanga S, Kamal ZM, Akter F, Godman B. Utilization and expenditure on long-acting insulin analogs among selected middle-income countries with high patient copayment levels: findings and implications for the future. J Appl Pharm Sci, 2021a; 11(07):172–82; doi:10.7324/ JAPS.2021.110720

Haque M, Islam S, Kamal ZM, Akter F, Jahan I, Rahim MSA, Sultana N, Alam AM, Murshid ME, Halim-Khan MA, Deeba F, Bakar MA, Nahar S, Mozaffor M, Urmi UL, Saikat TR, Islam MZ, Haque M, Iqbal S, Hossain MM, Naher N, Allocati E, Godman B. Ongoing efforts to improve the management of patients with diabetes in Bangladesh and the implications. Hosp Pract, 2021b; 49(4):266–72; doi:10.1080/21548331.2021.1906083

Hartmann-Boyce J, Morris E, Goyder C, Kinton J, Perring J, Nunan D, Mahtani K, Buse JB, Del Prato S, Ji L, Roussel R, Khunti K. Diabetes and COVID-19: risks, management, and learnings from other national disasters. Diabetes Care, 2020; 43(8):1695–703; doi:10.2337/dc20-1192

Hasanato RM. Diagnostic efficacy of random albumin creatinine ratio for detection of micro and macro-albuminuria in type 2 diabetes mellitus. Saudi Med J, 2016; 37(3):268–73; doi:10.15537/smj.2016.3.13567

Health Improvement Scotland. SIGN 154—Pharmacological management of glycaemic control in people with type 2 diabetes. 2017. Available via https://www.sign.ac.uk/media/1090/sign154.pdf

Hoque S, Muttalib M, Islam MI, Happy TA. Evaluation of different HbA1c levels to assess the risk of peripheral neuropathy among type 2 diabetic patients along with other conventional risk factors. Bangladesh Med Res Counc Bull, 2017; 42(2):95e103.

IDF. IDF diabetes atlas 2021. Available via https://diabetesatlas. org/idfawp/resource-files/2021/07/IDF\_Atlas\_10th\_Edition\_2021.pdf

Idowu AA, Ajose AO, Adedeji AT, Adegoke AO, Jimoh KA. Microalbuminuria, other markers of nephropathy and biochemical derangements in type 2 diabetes mellitus: relationships and determinants. Ghana Med J, 2017; 51(2):56–63.

Janapala RN, Jayaraj JS, Fathima N, Kashif T, Usman N, Dasari A, Jahan N, Sachmechi I. Continuous glucose monitoring versus selfmonitoring of blood glucose in type 2 diabetes mellitus: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Cureus, 2019; 11(9):e5634; doi:10.7759/cureus.5634

Jelinek HF, Osman WM, Khandoker AH, Khalaf K, Lee S, Almahmeed W, Alsafar HS. Clinical profiles, comorbidities and complications of type 2 diabetes mellitus in patients from United Arab Emirates. BMJ Open Diabetes Res Care, 2017; 5(1):e000427; doi:10.1136/bmjdrc-2017-00042

Jellinger PS, Handelsman Y, Rosenblit PD, Bloomgarden ZT, Fonseca VA, Garber AJ, Grunberger G, Guerin CK, Bell DSH, Mechanick JI, Pessah-Pollack R, Wyne K, Smith D, Brinton EA, Fazio S, Davidson M. American Association of Clinical Endocrinologists and American College of Endocrinology guidelines for management of dyslipidemia and prevention of cardiovascular disease. Endocr Pract, 2017; 23(Suppl 2):1– 87; doi:10.4158/EP171764.APPG

Jennings HM, Morrison J, Akter K, Haghparast-Bidgoli H, King C, Ahmed N, Kuddus A, Shaha SK, Nahar T, Azad K, Fottrell E. Careseeking and managing diabetes in rural Bangladesh: a mixed methods study. BMC Public Health, 2021; 21(1):1445; doi:10.1186/s12889-021-11395-3

Kaufman N. Internet and information technology use in treatment of diabetes. Int J Clin Pract Suppl, 2010; (166):41–6; doi:10.1111/j.1742-1241.2009.02277.x

Kaur G, Lakshmi PVM, Rastogi A, Bhansali A, Jain S, Teerawattananon Y, Bano H, Prinja S. Diagnostic accuracy of tests for type 2 diabetes and prediabetes: a systematic review and meta-analysis. PLoS One, 2020; 15(11):e0242415; doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0242415

Kerkenbush NL, Lasome CE. The emerging role of electronic diaries in the management of diabetes mellitus. AACN Clin Issues, 2003; 14(3):371–8; doi:10.1097/00044067-200308000-00012

Khan AKA, Mahtab H, Ahmed T, Ashrafuzzaman S, Bhowmik B, Ahmed T, Afsana F, Ilondo M, Stevens D. Increasing diabetes healthcare capacity in Bangladesh through a distance learning project. J Diabetol, 2015; 6:1.

Khan JAM, Ahmed S, Evans TG. Catastrophic healthcare expenditure and poverty related to out-of-pocket payments for healthcare in Bangladesh-an estimation of financial risk protection of universal health coverage. Health Policy Plan, 2017; 32(8):1102–10; doi:10.1093/heapol/czx048.

Kluge HHP, Wickramasinghe K, Rippin HL, Mendes R, Peters DH, Kontsevaya A, Breda J. Prevention and control of non-communicable diseases in the COVID-19 response. Lancet, 2020; 395(10238):1678–80; doi:10.1016/S0140-6736(20) 31067-9

Mardare I, Campbell SM, Meyer JC, Sefah IA, Massele A, Godman B. Enhancing choices regarding the administration of insulin among patients with diabetes across countries and implications for future care. Front Pharmacol, 2021. Available via https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fphar.2021.794363/abstract

Maestre-Muñiz MM, Arias Á, Mata-Vázquez E, Martín-Toledano M, López-Larramona G, Ruiz-Chicote AM, Nieto-Sandoval B, Lucendo AJ. Long-term outcomes of patients with coronavirus disease 2019 at one year after hospital discharge. J Clin Med, 2021; 10(13):2945; doi:10.3390/jcm10132945

Manne-Goehler J, Geldsetzer P, Agoudavi K, Andall-Brereton G, Aryal KK, Bicaba BW, Bovet P, Brian G, Dorobantu M, Gathecha G, Singh Gurung M, Guwatudde D, Msaidie M, Houehanou C, Houinato D, Jorgensen JMA, Kagaruki GB, Karki KB, Labadarios D, Martins JS, Mayige MT, McClure RW, Mwalim O, Mwangi JK, Norov B, Quesnel-Crooks S, Silver BK, Sturua L, Tsabedze L, Wesseh CS, Stokes A, Marcus M, Ebert

C, Davies JI, Vollmer S, Atun R, Bärnighausen TW, Jaacks LM. Health system performance for people with diabetes in 28 low- and middle-income countries: a cross-sectional study of nationally representative surveys. PLoS Med, 2019; 16(3):e1002751; doi:10.1371/journal.pmed.1002751

Mbui JM, Oluka MN, Guantai EM, Sinei KA, Achieng L, Baker A, Jande M, Massele A, Godman B. Prescription patterns and adequacy of blood pressure control among adult hypertensive patients in Kenya; findings and implications. Expert Rev Clin Pharmacol, 2017; 10(11):1263–71; doi:1 0.1080/17512433.2017.1371590

Mistry SK, Ali ARMM, Yadav UN, Ghimire S, Hossain MB, Das Shuvo S, Saha M, Sarwar S, Nirob MMH, Sekaran VC, Harris M. Older adults with non-communicable chronic conditions and their health care access amid COVID-19 pandemic in Bangladesh: findings from a cross-sectional study. PLoS One, 2021; 16(7):e0255534; doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0255534

Mohseni M, Ahmadi S, Azami-Aghdash S, Mousavi Isfahani H, Moosavi A, Fardid M, Etemadi M, Ghazanfari F. Challenges of routine diabetes care during COVID-19 era: a systematic search and narrative review. Prim Care Diabetes, 2021; 15(6):918–22; doi:10.1016/j. pcd.2021.07.017

Moucheraud C, Lenz C, Latkovic M, Wirtz VJ. The costs of diabetes treatment in low- and middle-income countries: a systematic review. BMJ Glob Health, 2019; 4(1):e001258; doi:10.1136/bmjgh-2018-001258

Mwita JC, Francis JM, Omech B, Botsile E, Oyewo A, Mokgwathi M, Molefe-Baikai OJ, Godman B, Tshikuka JG. Glycaemic, blood pressure and low-density lipoprotein-cholesterol control among patients with diabetes mellitus in a specialised clinic in Botswana: a cross-sectional study. BMJ Open, 2019; 9(7):e026807; doi:10.1136/ bmjopen-2018-026807

Mwita JC, Godman B, Esterhuizen TM. Statin prescription among patients with type 2 diabetes in Botswana: findings and implications. BMC Endocr Disord, 2020; 20(1):36; doi:10.1186/s12902-020-0516-7

Niaz Q, Godman B, Massele A, Campbell S, Kurdi A, Kagoya HR, Kibuule D. Validity of World Health Organisation prescribing indicators in Namibia's primary healthcare: findings and implications. Int J Qual Health Care, 2019; 31(5):338–45; doi:10.1093/intqhc/mzy172

NICE. NICE recommended target blood glucose level ranges. 2018. Available via https://www.diabetes.co.uk/downloads/factsheets/ bloodsugar.pdf (Accessed 4 January 2022).

NICE. Hypertension in adults: diagnosis and management (NG136). 2019. Available via https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ng136/resources/hypertension-in-adults-diagnosis-and-management-pdf-66141722710213

Norris KC, Smoyer KE, Rolland C, Van Der Vaart J, Grubb EB. Albuminuria, serum creatinine, and estimated glomerular filtration rate as predictors of cardio-renal outcomes in patients with type 2 diabetes mellitus and kidney disease: a systematic literature review. BMC Nephrol, 2018; 19(1):36; doi:10.1186/s12882-018-0821-9

Owolabi MO, Yaria JO, Daivadanam M, Makanjuola AI, Parker G, Oldenburg B, Vedanthan R, Norris S, Oguntoye AR, Osundina MA, Herasme O, Lakoh S, Ogunjimi LO, Abraham SE, Olowoyo P, Jenkins C, Feng W, Bayona H, Mohan S, Joshi R, Webster R, Kengne AP, Trofor A, Lotrean LM, Praveen D, Zafra-Tanaka JH, Lazo-Porras M, Bobrow K, Riddell MA, Makrilakis K, Manios Y, Ovbiagele B, COUNCIL Initiative. Gaps in guidelines for the management of diabetes in low- and middle-income versus high-income countries—a systematic review. Diabetes Care, 2018; 41(5):1097–105; doi:10.2337/dc17-1795

Park PH, Pastakia SD. Access to hemoglobin A1c in Rural Africa: a difficult reality with severe consequences. J Diabetes Res, 2018; 2018:6093595; doi:10.1155/2018/6093595

Perkovic V, Agarwal R, Fioretto P, Hemmelgarn BR, Levin A, Thomas MC, Wanner C, Kasiske BL, Wheeler DC, Groop PH, Conference Participants. Management of patients with diabetes and CKD: conclusions from a "Kidney Disease: improving Global Outcomes" (KDIGO) Controversies Conference. Kidney Int, 2016; 90(6):1175–83; doi:10.1016/j.kint.2016.09.010 Rahman MM, Zhang C, Swe KT, Rahman MS, Islam MR, Kamrujjaman M, Sultana P, Hassan MZ, Alam MS, Rahman MM. Disease-specific out-of-pocket healthcare expenditure in urban Bangladesh: a Bayesian analysis. PLoS One, 2020; 15(1):e0227565; doi:10.1371/journal. pone.0227565

Ranscombe P. How diabetes management is adapting amid the COVID-19 pandemic. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol, 2020; 8(7):571; doi:10.1016/S2213-8587(20)30181-9

Rawal LB, Kanda K, Biswas T, Tanim MI, Poudel P, Renzaho AMN, Abdullah AS, Shariful Islam SM, Ahmed SM. Non-communicable disease (NCD) corners in public sector health facilities in Bangladesh: a qualitative study assessing challenges and opportunities for improving NCD services at the primary healthcare level. BMJ Open, 2019; 9(10):e029562; doi:10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029562

Rwegerera GM, Molefe-Baikai OJ, Masaka A, Shimwela M, Rivera YP, Oyewo TA, Godman, BB, Massele A, Habte D. Prevalence of chronic kidney disease using estimated glomerular filtration rate among diabetes patients attending a tertiary clinic in Botswana. Hosp Pract, 2018; 46(4):214–20; doi:10.1080/21548331.2018.1506674

Rwegerera GM, Masaka A, Pina-Rivera Y, Moshomo T, Gaenamong M, Godman B, Oyewo TA, Massele A, Habte D. Determinants of glycemic control among diabetes mellitus patients in a tertiary clinic in Gaborone, Botswana: findings and implications. Hosp Pract, 2019; 47(1):34–41; doi:10.1080/21548331.2018.1535977

Rwegerera GM, Shailemo DHP, Pina Rivera Y, Mokgosi KO, Bale P, Oyewo TA, Luis BD, Habte D, Godman B. Metabolic control and determinants among HIV-infected type 2 diabetes mellitus patients attending a tertiary clinic in Botswana. Diabetes Metab Syndr Obes, 2021; 14:85–97; doi:10.2147/DMSO.S28572

Sankar P, Ahmed WN, Mariam Koshy V, Jacob R, Sasidharan S. Effects of COVID-19 lockdown on type 2 diabetes, lifestyle and psychosocial health: a hospital-based cross-sectional survey from South India. Diabetes Metab Syndr, 2020; 14(6):1815–9; doi:10.1016/j.dsx.2020.09.005

Shariful Islam SM, Lechner A, Ferrari U, Laxy M, Seissler J, Brown J, Niessen LW, Holle R. Healthcare use and expenditure for diabetes in Bangladesh. BMJ Glob Health, 2017; 2(1):e000033; doi:10.1136/ bmjgh-2016-000033

Steenblock C, Schwarz PEH, Ludwig B, Linkermann A, Zimmet P, Kulebyakin K, Tkachuk VA, Markov AG, Lehnert H, de Angelis MH, Rietzsch H, Rodionov RN, Khunti K, Hopkins D, Birkenfeld AL, Boehm B, Holt RIG, Skyler JS, DeVries JH, Renard E, Eckel RH, Alberti KGMM, Geloneze B, Chan JC, Mbanya JC, Onyegbutulem HC, Ramachandran A, Basit A, Hassanein M, Bewick G, Spinas GA, Beuschlein F, Landgraf R, Rubino F, Mingrone G, Bornstein SR. COVID-19 and metabolic disease: mechanisms and clinical management. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol, 2021; 9(11):786–98; doi:10.1016/S2213-8587(21)00244-8

Tewari A, Tewari V, Tewari J. Effect of COVID 19 lockdown on glycemic parameters in people with type 2 diabetes. J Family Med Prim Care, 2021; 10(7):2529–32; doi:10.4103/jfmpc.jfmpc\_1896\_20

Wang W, Lee ET, Howard BV, Fabsitz RR, Devereux RB, Welty TK. Fasting plasma glucose and hemoglobin A1c in identifying and predicting diabetes: the strong heart study. Diabetes Care, 2011; 34(2):363–8; doi:10.2337/dc10-1680

Wright LA, Hirsch IB. Metrics beyond hemoglobin A1C in diabetes management: time in range, hypoglycemia, and other parameters. Diabetes Technol Ther, 2017; 19(S2):S16–26; doi:10.1089/dia.2017.0029

Yokoyama H, Arakis, Honjo J, Okizaki S, Yamada D, Shudo R, Shimizu H, Sone H, Moriya T, Haneda M. Association between remission of macroalbuminuria and preservation of renal function in patients with type 2 diabetes with overt proteinuria. Diabetes Care, 2013; 36(10):3227–33; doi:10.2337/dc13-0281

Zhang P, Lopez R, Attaway AH, Georas SN, Khatri SB, Abi-Saleh S, Zein JG. Diabetes mellitus is associated with worse outcome in patients hospitalized for asthma. J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract, 2021; 9(4):1562–9.e1; doi:10.1016/j.jaip.2020.10.054

#### How to cite this article:

Akter F, Haque M, Akter S, Uddin G, Chy N, Kalemeera F, Kurdi A, Chowdhury K, Godman B. Assessing the management of patients with type 2 diabetes in Bangladesh during pre- and post-COVID-19 era and the implications: A pilot study. J Appl Pharm Sci, 2022; 12(05):088–097.