Immunomodulatory potential of bioactive compounds of betel leaf extract targeting COVID-19 immunological human host proteins: An in silico study
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ABSTRACT

Forests contain nearly all of the natural resources required by humans. Apart from food, the community makes use of forest products for medicinal purposes. Betel (Piper betle L.) is one of the numerous forest plants that thrive in the forests of North Sulawesi. The leaves and fruits are used by indigenous people as anti-inflammatory medications, deodorizing body odors, and for maintaining health. Natural medicine has recently been included in clinical trials as immunomodulators in COVID-19 patients. This study aimed to identify novel immunomodulatory compounds derived from betel leaf for the treatment of COVID-19 symptoms, particularly proinflammatory cytokines (tumor necrosis factor-alpha, interleukin-1beta, interleukin-6, and nuclear factor kappa B). These cytokines are critical in modulating immune responses. Bioactive compounds from betel leaves were extracted and identified using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry. These compounds were used as ligands for PyRx-based molecular docking. The admetSAR and SwissADME were used to predict ADMET (absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity) and Lipinski’s rule of five parameters of the studied compounds. This study discovered that 17 compounds exhibited higher binding energy than the control immunomodulatory agents (β-glucan and thiopurine). Only one of the compounds violated Lipinski’s rule of five. ADMET predictions indicated that the compounds possess favorable and safe pharmacokinetic properties, making them suitable for development as drug candidates. The research findings suggest that bioactive compounds derived from betel leaf may prove beneficial in the treatment of COVID-19, particularly in the context of cytokine storms.

INTRODUCTION

Immunomodulatory agents derived from natural materials have emerged as promising candidates for treating COVID-19, which is caused by a highly pathogenic novel virus known as severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) (Tallei et al., 2021a). SARS-CoV-2 is rapidly spreading and has become the deadliest pandemic, posing significant global health challenges (Gates, 2020; Rakib et al., 2020). Because of a lack of therapeutic options, mortality rates in intensive care units reached as high as 20% in certain population subsets (Goyal et al., 2020). Globally, as of August 23, 2021, there have been 211,730,035 confirmed cases of COVID-19, including 4,430,697 deaths, reported by the WHO. This figure is growing rapidly in South East Asia, with a reported 47% increase. India, Indonesia, and Sri Lanka had the highest rates of new cases, while Indonesia, India, and Nepal had the highest rates of new deaths. This is exacerbated by the emergence of diverse variants as a result of SARS-CoV-2 mutations that affect infectivity, disease severity, and interactions with host immunity (Harvey et al., 2021).

The symptoms of a deadly viral infection can be treated by suppressing the cytokine storm (Ye et al., 2020). Viral infections
created an overactivation of adaptive and innate immune responses such as mitogen-activated protein kinase and nuclear factor kappa B (NF-κB), causing excessive cytokine, a proinflammatory substance, being released along with chemokines that provoke severe lung alteration (Hariharan et al., 2021; Li et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2020). The overproduction of tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF-α), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and interleukin-1beta (IL-1β), as proinflammatory cytokines, created the cytokine storm, prompting a serious problem of multiorgan failure and vascular hyperpermeability (Hu et al., 2021; Jafarzadeh et al., 2020; Sun et al., 2020). There is a crucial need for immunomodulatory agents to overcome this issue by targeting these COVID-19 immunological human host proteins (Henderson et al., 2020; Mehta et al., 2020).

The use of natural components to save lives is required due to the time and cost constraints for which new drug approvals are required during a pandemic. Plants are used indefinitely as a source of medicine due to the presence of specific chemicals/active ingredients that have been empirically demonstrated by indigenous people (Aziz et al., 2018; Pan et al., 2014; Tallei et al., 2019). Plants also contain active compounds that have been scientifically and clinically proven to be used in the treatment of a variety of diseases, both infectious and metabolic (Eddouks et al., 2021; Graf et al., 2010; Kwofie et al., 2021; Silva and Júnior, 2010; Wahab et al., 2021). They are sources of novel drug compounds for antiviral (Khaire et al., 2021; Rakib et al., 2020; Singh et al., 2020; Tallei et al., 2020), as well as immunomodulatory agents (Chakraborty et al., 2021; Jantan et al., 2015; Wen et al., 2012).

To identify potential immunomodulatory agents, preliminary screening of various pharmacological agents derived from medicinal plants is required. Because of its efficiency, the in silico approach is a promising method for discovering novel compounds as COVID-19 drug candidates (Noor et al., 2021; Pinzi and Rastelli, 2019). In this research, we analyzed medicinal compounds of betel (Piper betle L.) leaves using a molecular docking method to obtain promising immunomodulatory agents that could be further utilized for COVID-19 management.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Ligands’ preparations

Our previous study used gas chromatography-mass spectrometry to identify bioactive compounds in betel leaves (Fatemawali et al., 2021). These compounds are used in present studies as ligands. PubChem (http://pubchem.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov) was used to find the ligand structures. The three-dimensional (3D) structures of the ligands were saved in .sdf format. The 3D conformations were generated using Avogadro 1.2.0 (Hanwell et al., 2012) and further assembled using AutoDock tools (Morris et al., 2009). Ligands’ energy was minimized, and the structures were geometrically optimized. The optimized ligand structures were saved in .pdbqt format and then used for molecular docking.

RECEPTOR PREPARATIONS

The 3D structures of the targeted proteins TNF-α (PDB ID: 2AZ5), IL-1β ((PDB ID: 2NVH), IL-6 (PDB ID: 1ALU), and NF-kB p65 (PDB ID: 1OY3) (Fig. 1) determined by X-ray crystallography were retrieved from Protein Data Bank (http://www.rcsb.org/pdb) and used as receptors in this study. The protein structures were optimized with Biovia Discovery Studio Visualizer 2020. Native ligand and water molecules attached to the proteins were removed and then saved in .pdb format.

![Figure 1.](image-url) (A) TNF-α (PDB ID: 2AZ5); (B) IL-1β ((PDB ID: 2NVH); (C) IL-6 (PDB ID: 1ALU); and (D) NF-kB p65 (PDB ID: 1OY3) protein structures.
The proteins were modified by adding polar hydrogen using AutoDock tools. The optimized proteins were saved in .pdbqt format and then further used as receptors for molecular docking.

**RECEPTOR–LIGAND DOCKING**

The docking procedure was based on our previous research (Sailah et al., 2021; Tumilaar et al., 2021). PyRx 0.8 (Dallakyan and Olson, 2015) was used to carry out molecular docking. Previously optimized ligands and receptors were selected and docked with the Vina wizard feature by using the Run Vina option. Table 1 shows the grid box dimensions and center used in the docking protocol.

**ANALYSIS AND VISUALIZATION**

Ligand–receptor interactions were visualized in both 2D and 3D structures obtained from the molecular docking output file. The output file was split using the command prompt with command: vina_split–input (output file name) .pdbqt. Analysis and visualization of the ligand and receptor interaction were carried out using Biovia Discovery Studio Visualizer 2020. Interactions of ligand and receptor with the highest binding affinity score were visualized and saved as image files.

**DOCKING PROTOCOL VALIDATION**

The validation was conducted according to Gupta et al. (2021). The control immunomodulatory agents were redocked using AutoDock Vina. The resulting poses were obtained and further analyzed using Pymol. The root mean square error (RMSD) was determined.

**ADMET PROPERTIES AND LIPINSKI’S RULE OF FIVE**

Ligands with greater binding energy compared to the control were further calculated for their pharmacokinetic characteristic. AdmetSAR 2.0 (Yang et al., 2019) was used to predict the absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity properties of the compounds, and the number of violations of Lipinski’s rule of five was observed using SwissADME (Daina et al., 2017).

![Figure 2. β-Glucan docking validation poses of (A) TNF-α, (B) IL-1β, (C) IL-6, and (D) NF-κB p65. The light blue color is the docked result with PyRx, and the yellow color is the redocked result pose using AutoDock Vina.](image-url)
RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

COVID-19 continues to spread throughout the world, despite unprecedented social isolation and restrictions. Additionally, various variants of SARS-CoV-2 have begun to emerge, prompting researchers to become even more aggressive in their search for an antitode to this virus. According to Feuillet et al. (2021), effective treatments for COVID-19 patients should include a combination of antivirals and immunomodulators. Traditional medicinal plants have been identified as a potentially beneficial source of immunomodulators against COVID-19 (Dutta et al., 2021; Nagraha et al., 2020).

Using a molecular docking approach, we searched for immunomodulatory candidates from betel leaves that have the potential of being developed for COVID-19 management. PyRx was used to carry out the docking. By redocking the control immunomodulatory agents with AutoDock Vina, the docking protocol was validated. The RMSD value of poses was measured to calculate the similarity of poses and coordinates between two molecules. Docking validation poses of β-glucan of TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and NF-kB p65 are shown in Figure 2. Docking poses resulting from PyRx (light blue color) were superimposed by the docking poses resulting from Vina (yellow color), and then the RMSD value was calculated. These results showed the similarity of docking pose results from both applications. RMSD value calculates the similarity of the poses quantitatively. The closer the value to zero, the more similar the poses. RMSD value of less than 2 Å is acceptable and suggests that the docking protocol used in this study has been carried out successfully (Xiao et al., 2018). The RMSD values of the poses were 1.040, 1.032, 1.310, and 1.930 Å in interactions with receptor TNF-α (PDB ID: 2AZS), IL-1β (PDB ID: 2NVH), IL-6 (PDB ID: 1ALU), and NF-kB p65 (PDB ID: 1OY3), respectively. This indicated that RMSD values were in the acceptable range. Therefore, the present research’s docking protocol is valid, and the result is reliable.

Betel leaf bioactive compounds together with β-glucan and thiopurine as control immunomodulatory agents were docked to TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and NF-kB. The binding energy results are presented in Table 2. Molecular docking has been widely used to design novel components or repurposing drugs (Pinzi and Rastelli, 2019). Molecular docking analyzes binding energy and poses of interactions between ligands and a specific receptor (Ahmed et al., 2019). There are 17 compounds that show lower binding free energy compared to both β-glucan and thiopurine. It suggests that the ligands bind to the proteins with better and stable interactions and are potential as immunomodulatory agents. Androstan-17-one,3-ethyl-3-hydroxy-(5.alpha.) has the binding free energy of −8.7, −7.1, −7, and −6.8 kcal/mol for TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and NF-kB, respectively. A lower binding free energy indicates that the ligand binds strongly to the receptor and may be capable of inhibiting the protein target’s activities.

When compared to the control immunomodulatory agents, 17 compounds had higher binding free energy, particularly with TNF-α. It suggests that most betel leaf bioactive compounds have better immunomodulatory activity than β-glucan and thiopurine due to lower binding free energy and thus can inhibit the targeted proteins more steadily and strongly. TNF-α, which was initially identified as an endotoxin-induced glycoprotein, is required for cell proliferation, migration, differentiation, and death (Ganeshpurkar and Saluja, 2018; Mercogliano et al., 2020). The inflammatory disease may be treated by the use of TNF-α-blocking drugs (Silva et al., 2010).

Various interactions with inflammatory and immune cells are arbitrated by a class of proteins termed interleukins. Interleukins are proteins that help cells grow, differentiate, and activate their functions. IL-1β (human leukocyte pyrogen/lymphocyte mitogen) and IL-6 are two important interleukins. They are produced by macrophages, T-cells, and bone marrow stromal cells. IL-1β is an important mediator to evoke an immune response. IL-1β contributes towards the progression of pain, inflammation, and cell apoptosis (Marchand et al., 2005).

The NF-κB signaling pathway is regarded as the most commonly involved proinflammation pathway (Liu et al., 2017). Several studies have found that NF-κB regulates a wide range of genes that produce proinflammatory cytokines, adhesion molecules, chemokines, growth factors, and inductive enzymes such as cyclooxygenase-2 (COX-2) and inducible nitric oxide synthase (iNOS) (Shih et al., 2015).

Amino acid residues that are involved in interactions between proteins and androstan-17-one,3-ethyl-3-hydroxy-(5.alpha.) are shown in 2D images. The ligands’ binding poses are shown in 3D images (Fig. 3). The interactions are shown to form van der Waals, conventional hydrogen, pi-sigma, alkyl, and pi-alkyl bonds. Binding interactions of the control immunomodulatory agents are shown in 3D and 2D interaction images in Figure 4.

The formation of ligand–receptor complexes is mediated by molecular interactions such as hydrogen bonds, electrostatic interactions, and hydrophobic interactions (Tallei et al., 2021b). Figure 3 shows that androstan-17-one,3-ethyl-3-hydroxy-(5.alpha.) forms 10 van der Waals interactions and 2 H-bonds on TNF-α; 6 van der Waals interactions, 1 pi-sigma bond, 2 pi-alkyl bonds, and 1 H-bond on IL-1β; 3 van der Waals interactions, 1 pi-sigma bond, and 3 H-bonds on IL-6; and 6 van der Waals interactions and 1 pi-alkyl bond on NF-kB p65.

Androstan-17-one,3-ethyl-3-hydroxy-(5.alpha.) forms H-bonds with Tyr-151 and Gly-121 on the active site of TNF-α, Leu-80 on polar site of IL-1β, and Gln-75, Ser-76, and Ser-176 of IL-6. In biological systems, hydrogen bonds have significant roles, particularly in the stabilization of protein structures (Glowacki et al., 2013). Hydrophobic interactions, such as pi-sigma and pi-alkyl bonds, exist in addition to hydrogen bonds (Dai et al., 2019). Pi-sigma bonds are formed with amino acids Phe-133 of IL-1β and Phe-74 of IL-6, while pi-alkyl bonds are formed with Pro-131 of IL-1β and Cys-240 of NF-kB p65. Van der Waals interactions can form in greater numbers on all target proteins than other bonds. Van der Waals interaction, in combination with hydrogen bonds, contributes to the stability of the formed ligand–protein complexes (He et al., 2005).

TNF-α is a powerful proinflammatory molecule that controls a variety of macrophage functions (Parameswaran and Patial, 2010). Trauma and infection cause a continuous release of TNF-α, resulting in its highest bioavailability in the early stages of tissue inflammation. One of the most important functions is to regulate the production of the proinflammatory cytokine cascade (Ye et al., 2020). TNF-α regulates the formation of a proinflammatory cytokine cascade through molecular interactions (Liu et al., 2016). Tyr-59, Tyr-151, and Tyr-119 are active amino acids that play a...
Figure 3. 3D and 2D configuration of interaction between androstan-17-one,3-ethyl-3-hydroxy-(5,α) and (A) TNF-α, (B) IL-1β, (C) IL-6, and (D) NF-κB p65.
crucial role in TNF-α function. By binding to this amino acid, the inhibitor can significantly impair its function (Parves et al., 2021). In addition to the active residue of tyrosine, the TNF-α binding site is composed of Leu-57, Ser-60, Gln-61, Leu-120, Gly-121, and Gly-122, so that binding to these amino acids can cause inhibition of their biological activity (He et al., 2005). The binding free energy of androstan-17-one,3-ethyl-3-hydroxy-.(5.alpha.) is low, implying the formation of a stable complex with TNF-α. This compound has a van der Waals interaction with the amino acids Tyr-59, Tyr-151, and Tyr-119, which are active amino acids of TNF-α, so that this binding will cause the potential for TNF-α inactivation. Interactions also occur with the amino acids Leu-57, Ser-60, Gln-61, Leu-120, Gly-121, Gly-122, and Ile-155, which indicates that this compound binds to the binding site or around the active side of TNF-α. Wang et al. (2020) used the Molecular Operating Environment (MOE)-site Finder in determining the TNF-α binding site (PDB ID: 2AZ5) and found that the binding site was located around the amino acids Tyr-119, Leu-57, Tyr-59, Ser-60, Leu-120, Gly-121, Gly-122, and Tyr151. These findings corroborate the findings of this investigation and may attest to the validity of the binding sites chosen for molecular docking. Apart from functioning as proinflammatory cytokines, TNF-α also enhances the signaling of mediators of lipid transduction, such as prostaglandins and platelet-activating factors (Vassalli, 1992).

IL-1 is a proinflammatory cytokine that is released when the innate pathogen-sensing pathway is activated during microbial infection (Browne, 2015). Excessive release of proinflammatory cytokines, on the other hand, causes a cytokine storm, which causes...
widespread tissue damage and multiorgan failure, which is fatal, resulting in death. In COVID-19 patients, targeting cytokines can improve survival rates and reduce mortality (Ragab et al., 2020). The poses of interaction between betel fruit bioactive compounds and IL-1β were analyzed. The interaction occurs with the amino acids Glu-25, Thr-79, Leu-80, Ser-125, Pro-131, Val-132, Phe-133, Leu-134, and Asp-142 of IL-1β. Quillien et al. (2006) found cavity 4 and cavity 5 of IL-1β, with amino acids in cavity 4 being Lys-16, Ala-127, Met-130, Ser-125, Thr-124, Pro-131, and Ala-28, and in cavity 5 being Leu-10, Val-132, Leu-18, Leu-26, Phe-42, Leu-69, and Ile-122. Cavity 4 is the more polar side and has a small volume (16 Å³), while the cavity is the central apolar side and has a volume of 39 Å³. These amino acids also play a role in the kaurenoic acid–IL-1β and ibuprofen–IL-1β complexes (Karmakar et al., 2019). IL-1β is an inflammatory mediator that causes various biological responses ranging from the central nervous system, hematologically and metabolically (Dinarello, 2011). An excessive inflammatory response, on the other hand, can result in tissue damage and disease (Wallach et al., 2014).

IL-6 is the most important immune response and inflammatory mediator induced by infection or injury. More than half of the COVID-19 patients have elevated IL-6 levels (Zhang et al., 2020). In COVID-19 patients, IL-6 levels are linked to an inflammatory response, respiratory failure, and mortality (Herold et al., 2020; Zhang et al., 2020). According to Grifoni et al. (2020), anti-IL-6 drugs can be used to manage cytokine storms caused by SARS-CoV-2, which could be a treatment option for COVID-19. In its interaction with IL-6, androstan-17-one,3-ethyl-3-hydroxy-(5.alpha.) molecule binds to Met-67 which is a hydrophobic side chain, with Phe-74, which is part of the disulfide (Cys73-Cys83), with Gln-75 and Ser-76m, which are part of type II β turn (Gln75–Phe78), and with Glu-172, Ser-176, and Arg-179, which are part of the D helix (Gln156–Arg182) of IL-6. Previous studies have shown that changes to Arg-179, Gln-175, Phe-74, and Gly-35 could significantly reduce IL-6 activity. On IL-6, the compound interacts with amino acids on sites 1 and 2, which play a role in mediating IL-6 binding to IL-6r and gp130, respectively. Androstan-17-one,3-ethyl-3-hydroxy-, (5.alpha.), which binds to IL-6 in this study, is predicted to decrease or stop its activity by blocking IL-6 binding to IL-6r and gp130 in signal transduction.

NF-κB proteins are critical regulators of innate and adaptive immune responses, including proliferation, apoptosis inhibition, cell migration and invasion, and angiogenesis and metastasis (Park and Hong, 2016). NF-κB is activated continuously or transiently in response to viral and bacterial infections, necrotic cell products, DNA damage, oxidative stress, and proinflammatory cytokines (Karin and Greten, 2005; Taniguchi and Karin, 2018). NF-κB p65 (along with p50 in the heterodimer form) is the most commonly occurring form of NF-κB activated through the recognized pathway concerning pathological stimuli. The principal point for the discovery and development of new drugs was the NF-κB p65 signaling path (Grancieri et al., 2019). On the basis of a docking study of NF-κB p65, Grancieri et al. (2019) found that the active site was around the amino acid Lys-221, His-245, Arg-246, Gly-273, Gly-274, Arg-275, Leu-282, Leu-283, Pro-285, and Gly-304. The present study also found that androstan-17-one, 3-ethyl-3-hydroxy-, (5.alpha.) interacts with NF-κB p65 in the same binding region, namely the amino acids Phe-213, Cys-240, Asp-243, His-245, Arg-246, Val-251, and Gly-273. This binding site contains amino acids that are involved in the pharmacological activity; thus, binding to or inhibiting this site can result in a decrease in NF-κB p65 activity. NF-κB is a transcription factor that regulates a variety of functions of the innate and adaptive immune systems and plays a critical role in inflammatory responses. NF-κB promotes the expression of a wide range of proinflammatory genes, among them, are those encoding cytokines and chemokines, both of which are involved in the regulation of inflammation. Inhibition of this protein is predicted to reduce cytokine production and alleviate cytokine storms (Liu et al., 2017).

The present study demonstrates that bioactive compounds from betel leaves have a significantly higher binding free energy to all of the targeted proteins compared to controls. A study conducted by Johnson et al. (2020) docked a total of 43 compounds from Phyllanthus nivous leaves on TNF-α using PyRx. The binding free energies were found to range from the highest ~2 kcal/mol to the lowest 6.3 kcal/mol. This is a significantly lower value than the one obtained in the current study. Kaempferol and chlorogenic acid were studied for their binding free energy against IL-1β and IL-6 using PyRx by Karmakar et al. (2019). The binding free energies of ~7.0 and ~6.8 kcal/mol were found in chlorogenic acid and kaempferol, respectively, to IL-1β, while the binding with IL-6 showed ~7.1 and ~7.7 kcal/mol.

A total of 17 compounds were subjected to additional pharmacokinetic analysis. Lipinski’s Ro5 criteria of β-glucan, thiopurine, and several of the betel leaf bioactive compounds are presented in Table 3. β-Glucan was found to violate five out of six criteria, suggesting that there will be a major drug system permeability and subcellular localization were used to characterize the compounds’ distribution. A high blood–brain barrier’s permeability demonstrated that the ligands could penetrate and distribute throughout the brain. The subcellular localization of the compounds predicted their ability to distribute and penetrate at the subcellular level (Daneman and Prat, 2015; Soni et al., 2016). The results of the present study showed that betel leaf bioactive compounds can be distributed and penetrate in mitochondria, lysosomes, and plasma membrane. All of the compounds were not CYP2D6 substrates, indicating that they are poorly metabolized in the body. However, metabolism has the potential to change a drug’s effectiveness in the body (Lee et al., 2019).
Hepatotoxicity and acute oral toxicity were chosen as the toxicity parameters for this study. Hepatotoxicity is a proxy for organ toxicity, whereas acute oral toxicity is a proxy for the maximum dose of the studied compounds that the body can tolerate. The findings that the selected compounds are hypothetically nontoxic to the liver imply that the bioactive compounds in betel leaf are unlikely to cause liver damage. The compounds were classified as toxicity class III (500 < LD₅₀ ≤ 5,000 mg/kg), implying that the body can tolerate a dose of up to 500 mg/kg. As a result, it is recommended that these compounds be developed as drugs within that range (Cheng et al., 2011; Gadaleta et al., 2019; Li et al., 2014; Yang et al., 2017).

Table 2. β-Glucan, thiopurine, and betel leaf bioactive compounds binding free energy.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligands</th>
<th>Molecular formula</th>
<th>PubChem CID</th>
<th>2AZ5 (TNF-α)</th>
<th>2NVH (IL-1β)</th>
<th>1ALU (IL-6)</th>
<th>1OY3 (NF-κB p65)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>β-Glucan</td>
<td>C₆₆H₁₉O₁₉</td>
<td>439262</td>
<td>−6</td>
<td>−5.1</td>
<td>−3.3</td>
<td>−7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thiopurine</td>
<td>C₉H₇N₅S</td>
<td>3015569</td>
<td>−4.7</td>
<td>−4.1</td>
<td>−3.6</td>
<td>−4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Androstane-17-one, 3-ethyl-3-hydroxy-(5.α.)</td>
<td>C₆₆H₁₉O₂</td>
<td>14681481</td>
<td>−8.7</td>
<td>−7.1</td>
<td>−7.3</td>
<td>−6.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alpha-Longipinene</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₁O₂</td>
<td>520957</td>
<td>−8.1</td>
<td>−5.3</td>
<td>−5.3</td>
<td>−5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alpha-Cadinol</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₂O</td>
<td>6431302</td>
<td>−7.3</td>
<td>−6</td>
<td>−5.4</td>
<td>−5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t-Muurolool</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₂O</td>
<td>3084331</td>
<td>−7.2</td>
<td>−5.4</td>
<td>−5.2</td>
<td>−5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delta-Cadinene</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₄</td>
<td>441005</td>
<td>−7.2</td>
<td>−6</td>
<td>−5.6</td>
<td>−5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epiglobulol</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₂O</td>
<td>11858788</td>
<td>−7.2</td>
<td>−5.3</td>
<td>−5.3</td>
<td>−5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juniper camphor</td>
<td>C₆₆H₁₉O</td>
<td>521214</td>
<td>−7.2</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>−5</td>
<td>−5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Naphthalene, 1,2,3,4-tetrahydro-1,6-dimethyl-4-(1-methylthyl)-(1S-cis)-(calamenene)</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₂</td>
<td>6429077</td>
<td>−7.1</td>
<td>−5.8</td>
<td>−5.8</td>
<td>−5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beta-Cadinene</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₆</td>
<td>10657</td>
<td>−7.1</td>
<td>−5.6</td>
<td>−5.6</td>
<td>−5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cedrene</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₆</td>
<td>521207</td>
<td>−7.1</td>
<td>−5.1</td>
<td>−5.6</td>
<td>−5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>delta-Cadinol</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₆</td>
<td>6431456</td>
<td>−7</td>
<td>−5.2</td>
<td>−5</td>
<td>−5.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>alpha-Amorphene</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₄</td>
<td>12306052</td>
<td>−6.9</td>
<td>−5.1</td>
<td>−5.4</td>
<td>−5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longipinocarveol, trans</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₂O</td>
<td>534645</td>
<td>−6.9</td>
<td>−5.3</td>
<td>−5</td>
<td>−5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germacrene D</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₆</td>
<td>91723653</td>
<td>−6.8</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>−5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol, 2,4-bis(1,1-dimethylethyl)</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₄O₂</td>
<td>7311</td>
<td>−6.4</td>
<td>−5.6</td>
<td>−5.2</td>
<td>−5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7,10,13-Hexadecatrienoic acid, methyl ester</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₆O₂</td>
<td>5367325</td>
<td>−6.1</td>
<td>−4.5</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>−4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11,14,17-Eicosatrienoic acid, methyl ester</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₆O₂</td>
<td>5367326</td>
<td>−6.1</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>−4.8</td>
<td>−4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzoic acid, 2,4-dimethyl</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₈O₂</td>
<td>11897</td>
<td>−6</td>
<td>−4.8</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>−5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol, 2-methoxy-4-(2-propenyl)-acetate (acetylygenol)</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₈O₂</td>
<td>7130</td>
<td>−6</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>−5</td>
<td>−4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-allyl-1,6-methoxyphenol</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₈O₂</td>
<td>596375</td>
<td>−6</td>
<td>−4.6</td>
<td>−4.7</td>
<td>−4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzoic acid, 3,5-dimethyl</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₈O₂</td>
<td>10356</td>
<td>−6</td>
<td>−5</td>
<td>−4.8</td>
<td>−5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol, 2-methoxy-4-(2-propenyl)</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₈O₂</td>
<td>3314</td>
<td>−5.9</td>
<td>−4.7</td>
<td>−4.4</td>
<td>−5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzoic acid, 2,6-dimethyl</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₈O₂</td>
<td>12439</td>
<td>−5.8</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>−3.8</td>
<td>−5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phytol</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₄O</td>
<td>5280435</td>
<td>−5.7</td>
<td>−4.1</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>−4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8,11-Octadecadienoic acid, methyl ester</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₆O₂</td>
<td>5319737</td>
<td>−5.6</td>
<td>−4.8</td>
<td>−5</td>
<td>−4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phenol, 2-methoxy-3-(2-propenyl)</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₆O₂</td>
<td>596373</td>
<td>−5.6</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>−4.3</td>
<td>−5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4,7-Octadecadienoic acid, methyl ester</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₆O₂</td>
<td>569159</td>
<td>−5.5</td>
<td>−4.3</td>
<td>−3.8</td>
<td>−4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tricosane</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₄</td>
<td>12534</td>
<td>−5.5</td>
<td>−4.4</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>−3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl oleate</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₄O₂</td>
<td>5363269</td>
<td>−5.5</td>
<td>−4.3</td>
<td>−4.5</td>
<td>−3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9,12-Octadecadienoic acid (Z,Z)-, methyl ester</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₆O₂</td>
<td>5284421</td>
<td>−5.4</td>
<td>−4.1</td>
<td>−4.8</td>
<td>−4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexadecanoic acid, ethyl ester</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₆O₂</td>
<td>12366</td>
<td>−5.4</td>
<td>−4.1</td>
<td>−4.1</td>
<td>−4.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ligands</th>
<th>Molecular formula</th>
<th>PubChem CID</th>
<th>Binding free energy (kcal/mol)</th>
<th>2AZ5 (TNF-a)</th>
<th>2NVH (IL-1β)</th>
<th>1ALU (IL-6)</th>
<th>1OY3 (NF-κB p65)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methyl linolenate</td>
<td>C₁₉H₃₂O₂</td>
<td>5319706</td>
<td></td>
<td>−5.3</td>
<td>−4.6</td>
<td>−4.7</td>
<td>−4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pentadecanoic acid, 14-methyl-, methyl ester</td>
<td>C₁₉H₃₂O₂</td>
<td>21205</td>
<td>−5.3</td>
<td>−4.1</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>−3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl linolate</td>
<td>C₁₀H₂₀O₂</td>
<td>5282184</td>
<td></td>
<td>−5.2</td>
<td>−4.4</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexacosane</td>
<td>C₃₆H₇₄</td>
<td>12407</td>
<td></td>
<td>−5.2</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>−3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-Octadecenoic acid, methyl ester</td>
<td>C₁₀H₂₀O₂</td>
<td>5364432</td>
<td>−5.2</td>
<td>−4.2</td>
<td>−4.7</td>
<td>−3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-Octadecenoic acid, methyl ester</td>
<td>C₁₀H₂₀O₂</td>
<td>5364422</td>
<td>−5.1</td>
<td>−4.7</td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P + B14:B50pentadecanoic acid, ethyl ester</td>
<td>C₁₀H₂₀O₂</td>
<td>38762</td>
<td>−5.1</td>
<td>−4.1</td>
<td>−4.4</td>
<td>−4.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl octadec-9-enoate</td>
<td>C₁₀H₂₀O₂</td>
<td>8123</td>
<td></td>
<td>−5</td>
<td>−4.1</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>−3.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-Octadecenoic acid, methyl ester</td>
<td>C₁₀H₂₀O₂</td>
<td>5280590</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>−4.2</td>
<td>−3.8</td>
<td>−3.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tetradecanoic acid, ethyl ester</td>
<td>C₁₀H₂₀O₂</td>
<td>31283</td>
<td>−4.9</td>
<td>−4.5</td>
<td>−4.5</td>
<td>−3.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hexadecanoic acid, methyl ester</td>
<td>C₁₀H₂₀O₂</td>
<td>8181</td>
<td>−4.6</td>
<td>−4.3</td>
<td>−4.4</td>
<td>−3.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Torreyol</td>
<td>C₆H₁₂O₂</td>
<td>3084311</td>
<td></td>
<td>−4.2</td>
<td>−6.1</td>
<td>−5.5</td>
<td>−6.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>d-Nerolidol (peruviol)</td>
<td>C₁₅H₂₆O₃</td>
<td>5356544</td>
<td></td>
<td>−4</td>
<td>−4.8</td>
<td>−5.2</td>
<td>−4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Analysis of Lipinski’s Ro5 of β-glucan, thiopurine, and some of the betel leaf bioactive compounds.
CONCLUSION

The betel leaf bioactive compounds have the potential to inhibit the COVID-19 host immunological proteins due to their high affinity with all the targeted proteins. The compounds’ binding free energies ranged from −4 to −8.7 kcal/mol, −4 to −7.1 kcal/mol, −3.8 to −7.3 kcal/mol, and −3.6 to −6.8 kcal/mol for TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and NF-κB, respectively. Seventeen ligands showed higher binding energy than the control immunological agents. Androstan-17-one,3-ethyl-3-hydroxy-,(5.alpha.) has the binding free energy of −8.7, −7.1, −7, and −6.8 kcal/mol for TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, and NF-κB p65, respectively. When compared to previous studies, this study discovered that the betel leaf bioactive compounds have a significantly higher binding free energy to all of the targeted proteins. Lipinski’s Ro5 analysis demonstrates that majority of the compounds comply with the criteria and are predicted to be active when administered orally as drugs. The ADMET prediction of the compounds indicates that the ligands have favorable pharmacokinetic properties, implying that they have the potential to be developed as drugs. Hence, betel leaf bioactive compounds have the potential to treat COVID-19 because of having immunomodulatory effects and suppressing the cytokine storm, and they have the potential to be developed as drugs because of their good and safe ADMET profile.
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