Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science Vol. 11(11), pp 082-086, November, 2021 Available online at http://www.japsonline.com DOI: 10.7324/JAPS.2021.1101111 ISSN 2231-3354



Conductometric method development and validation to estimate acamprosate calcium in API and marketed formulation

Rahul K. Yadav¹ (D), Meenaxi M. Maste¹* (D), Shailendra S. Surywanshi¹ (D), Utkarsh Shastri²

¹Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, KLE College of Pharmacy, KLE Academy of Higher Education and Research, Belagavi, India. ²Department of Pharmaceutics, KLE College of Pharmacy, KLE Academy of Higher Education and Research, Belagavi, India.

ARTICLE INFO

Received on: 08/04/2021 Accepted on: 22/06/2021 Available online: 03/11/2021

Key words:

Acamprosate calcium, alcohol dependence, electrical conductivity, ICH guidelines, quality control.

ABSTRACT

A simple, precise, rapid, low-cost conductometric method was developed and validated for the estimation of acamprosate calcium in pure and pharmaceutical formulation. The present research work utilized distilled water as a vehicle to prepare different concentrations of acamprosate calcium. The electrical conductivity of resulting solutions was measured by using an electrical conductometer ELICO CM 183EC-TDS analyzer version 2.3. The validation parameters were carried out according to the International Conference on Harmonization Guidelines. The developed method showed a linear response between concentration ranges of 50 and 250 μ g/ml. The limit of detection and limit of quantification values were found to be 6.05 and 18.34 μ g/ml, respectively. The relative standard deviation observed in precision, ruggedness, and robustness was in the acceptable range. The recovery of the proposed method was in the range of 98%–105%. The newly developed and validated conductometric method can be applied for the routine analysis of acamprosate calcium in pure and pharmaceutical formulation.

INTRODUCTION

Acamprosate calcium (calcium 3-acetamidopropane-1sulfonate; Figure 1) is a calcium salt of acetylhomotaurine which is used for the treatment of alcohol dependence, which acts by stabilizing the chemical balance in the central nervous system, by blocking glutaminergic N-methyl-D-aspartate receptors and activation of gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABA) type A receptors (Rhee *et al.*, 2008a; Saivin *et al.*, 1998; Zornoza *et al.*, 2003). This drug is available since 1989 in the tablet strength of 333 mg (Lipha Pharmaceuticals Inc., 2002). It is approved by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) as an antidipsotropic agent and is used to decrease alcohol hankering (Espino and Cruz, 2005). The chemical formula of acamprosate calcium is $C_{10}H_{20}N_2O_8S_2$ Ca with molecular weight 400.48 g/mol. The physical properties of



Meenaxi M. Maste, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, KLE College of Pharmacy, KLE Academy of Higher Education and Research, Belagavi, India. E-mail: menaimm @ gmail.com

H₃C NH O Ca²⁺ H₃C NH O H₃C Ca²⁺

^{© 2021} Rahul K. Yadav *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

this drug are white and odorless powder, which is freely soluble in water and insoluble in organic solvents like ethanol and dimethylformamide.

The literature survey reports on the capillary zone electrophoresis method (Blanchin *et al.*, 2000; Fabre *et al.*, 1999). The Liquid Chromatography mass spectrometry (LCMS) method for bioanalytical analysis, LC-fluorometric, and electrochemical detection was reported in human plasma, dog plasma, and urine (Chabenat *et al.*, 1987, 1989; Ghosh *et al.*, 2011; Girault *et al.*, 1990; Hammarberg *et al.*, 2010; Kanala *et al.*, 2013; Rhee *et al.*, 2008a; Rhee *et al.*, 2008b; Xu *et al.*, 2009). A few methods are developed and validated for organic acids by conductometric titration method (Umarov *et al.*, 2020). Hence, no work has been reported on the electrical conductivity method for the quantitative estimation of acamprosate calcium. In this research, a new cost-effective, precise, and regression co-efficient on electrical conductivity method on acamprosate calcium in pure and marketed formulation is reported.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Instruments and apparatus

An electrical conductivity meter (ELICO CM 183EC-TDS analyzer version 2.3) was used for measuring electrical conductivity of solutions. An electronic analytical weighing balance (SARTORIUS) was used for weighing the analyte.

Reagents and chemicals

The active pharmaceutical ingredient of 98% purity of acamprosate calcium was procured from BMR Pharma and Chemicals, Hyderabad; tablet formulation was purchased from a local market of Belgaum, India. Distilled water was collected from Direct-Q UV water purification system from KLE College of Pharmacy.

Method development

The method was developed using an electrical conductivity meter using distilled water as a solvent. Various concentrations of acamprosate calcium in distilled water were prepared and electrical conductivity was measured. Different concentrations of analyte were observed and showed different values of electrical conductivity. In order to test the suitability of the developed method, the commercial tablet formulation of acamprosate calcium was analyzed.

Preparation of stock solution and working standard solution

Fifty milligram of the active pharmaceutical ingredient was dissolved in 50 ml of a volumetric flask and the final volume was made with distilled water (stock solution = 1,000 μ g/ml); from the above solution, 0.5–2.5 ml was pipetted and transferred in 10 ml of a volumetric flask and the final volume was made with distilled water to obtain 50–250 μ g/ml of pure acamprosate calcium.

Method validation

As per the International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) Guidelines Q2 (R1), the method was validated for various parameters like specificity, linearity, limit of detection (LOD), limit of quantification (LOQ), precision, ruggedness, accuracy, and assay.

SPECIFICITY

The specificity of the method was studied by measuring the conductance of a solvent as blank and the prepared standard solution of acamprosate calcium (50 μ g/ml).

LINEARITY

The linearity method was developed by measuring the electrical conductivity of different concentrations of acamprosate calcium. Dilutions containing 50–250 µg/ml acamprosate calcium were prepared and electrical conductivity was measured using electrical conductometer. The calibration curve was obtained by plotting the concentration versus electrical conductivity (µs), and the correlation coefficient (r^2) was found to be 0.9999.

LIMIT OF DETECTION/LIMIT OF QUANTIFICATION

LOD is the lowest amount of analyte which can be detected but not quantified. It can be determined using following formula:

$$LOD = 3.3 \frac{\sigma}{S}$$
.

LOQ is the lowest amount of analyte which can be quantitatively determined as precision using the following formula:

$$LOQ = 10 \frac{\sigma}{S}$$
.

where σ = standard deviation; S = Slope of the calibration curve.

PRECISION

The precision was carried out in terms of intraday and interday. The electrical conductivity of three replicate solutions of acamprosate calcium at three different concentrations was measured, and % Relative Standard Deviation (RSD) values of each solution for its electrical conductivity were measured.

RUGGEDNESS

Ruggedness was carried out by changing the analyst to check the reproducibility of standard results and the %RSD was found to be less than 2%.

ACCURACY

Accuracy was found by means of recovery experiments, by the determination of %mean recovery of sample at three different levels: 50%, 100%, and 150%. At each level, three determinations were carried out and %mean recovery was calculated.

ASSAY

Twenty tablets of acamprosate calcium (333 mg label claim) were taken and powdered. A measure equivalent to 73.19 mg was weighed and added to a 50 ml volumetric flask, and the final volume was made up to 50 ml with diluents and shaken for 5 minutes. The solution was filtered by Whatman filter paper. From the filtered solution, 0.5–2.5 ml solution was added to 10 ml volumetric flask to make a final volume up to 10 ml using distilled water.



Figure 2. Standard calibration curve of acamprosate calcium at 250 V.

RESULT

Specificity

The proposed method is specific as the conductance of water as a blank was found to be 1.75 μ s and acamprosate calcium (50 μ g/ml) solution showed a conductance of 25.28 μ s. The results of the analysis were found to be specific and selective.

Linearity

The drug shows a linear relationship between electrical conductivity (μ s) verses drug concentration level. The results are shown in Figure 2. The equation of the straight line for acamprosate calcium is y = 0.4359x + 3.409 and the regression coefficient is $R^2 = 0.9999$.

LIMIT OF DETECTION (LOD)/LIMIT OF QUANTIFICATION (LOQ)

The LOD value obtained was 6.05 μ g/ml and LOQ value was found to be 18.34 μ g/ml. Both results are in the acceptance range.

PRECISION

The precision indicating the value was precise and low, and %RSD is <2%. The results are given in Tables 1 and 2.

Table 1. Intraday precision results of acamprosate call	cium.
---	-------

Concentration (µg/ml)	Conductance (μs) (x̄)		Standard deviation	% Relative standard deviation
	1 hour	2477	0.12	0.48
50	4 hours	24.8	0.12	0.48
	8 hours	25.31	0.21	0.82
	1 hour	67.77	0.605	0.89
150	4 hours	68.03	0.68	0.99
	8 hours	69.92	0.18	0.25
250	1 hour	109.67	0.865	0.78
	4 hours	109.73	0.165	0.15
	8 hours	111.47	0.435	0.39

 \bar{x} = Mean conductance of three replicates.

Concentration (µg/ml)	Conductance (μs) (x̄)		Standard deviation	% Relative standard deviation
	1st day	24.68	0.11	0.44
50	2st day	24.36	0.14	0.57
	3rd day	24.30	0.09	0.37
150	1st day	69.01	0.265	0.38
	2st day	68.01	0.83	1.22
	3rd day	66.96	0.245	0.36
250	1st day	108.06	0.17	0.15
	2st day	107.9	0.1	0.09
	3rd day	106.83	0.465	0.43

 \bar{x} = Mean conductance of three replicates.

RUGGEDNESS

Ruggedness was carried out at different conditions to check the expected values from one analyst to another and the %RSD shows less than 2%. The data of ruggedness are recorded in Table 3.

ACCURACY

Accuracy and recovery studies were carried out and the %mean recovery of the sample was recorded at three different levels: 50%, 100%, and 150%. Individual recovery and %mean recovery were found to be greater than 98%, which indicates that the method development is accurate and recovery values were in the range. The %mean recovery values are shown in Table 4.

ASSAY

The assay was carried out by using tablet formulation of label claim 333 mg (ACAMPROL) and the values were obtained in an acceptable range. The results were in the range of 102%–104%.

DISCUSSION

In the present research work, an attempt has been made to develop a simple conductometric method. This method was found to be economic, simple, and rugged for estimation of

Table 3. Results of ruggedness for acamprosate calcium.

Concentration (µg/ml)	Conductance (μs) (x̄)		Standard deviation	% Relative standard deviation
50	Analyst 1	24.30	0.09	0.37
50	Analyst 2	24.49	0.02	0.08
150	Analyst 1	66.96	0.245	0.36
	Analyst 2	65.22	0.03	0.04
250	Analyst 1	106.83	0.465	0.43
	Analyst 2	102.7	0.1	0.09

 \bar{x} = Mean conductance of three replicates.

	T / 1		1.	C	. 1	
ahle 2	Interday	precision	results	of acam	prosate cal	cuum
	, interau y	precision	results	or acam	prosure cui	orunn.

Table 4. Results of accuracy studies for acamprosate

Total Standard		Sample	Conductance (µs)		Concentration	Sample conc.	%
conc. co	conc.	conc. (µg/ml)	Standard	Sample	(µg/ml)	different (µg/ml)	Recovery
75 (50%) 70 (μg/ml)			36.95	37.25	75.60	5.60	112.17
	5 (µg/ml)	36.84	37.11	75.54	5.54	110.9	
(3070)	(3070)		36.99	36.95	74.91	4.91	98.37
			68.10	68.18	150.17	80.17	100.22
$\frac{150}{(100\%)}$ 70 (µg/ml)	80 (µg/ml)	68.17	69.50	152.17	82.92	103.65	
(10070)	(10070)		67.93	69.17	152.73	82.73	103.42
225 (150%) 70 (µg/1			100.6	101.2	226.34	156.34	100.86
	70 (µg/ml)	155 (µg/ml)	100.3	102.6	230.15	158.15	102.03
			100.8	102.3	228.34	158.34	102.16

acamprosate calcium. The proposed method results and outcomes are compared with other research works published earlier on acamprosate calcium.

The literature survey reveals that acamprosate calcium can be analyzed by few chromatographic methods (Chabenat *et al.*, 1987; Girault *et al.*, 1990; Hammarberg *et al.*, 2010). The published work has some limitation like use of costly solvents, hazardous chemicals, costly instruments, non-robust method, and longer time of analysis. Hence, in our proposed method, we have used water as a solvent which is universal and cheaper and the instrument cost is low. It is very simple in carrying out and is costeffective for the method developed and analysis.

All the values obtained in the method were found to be within the acceptance range as per the ICH norms.

UV-spectrophotometric trials were carried out with different solvents such as menthol, water, etc., but they did not get the peaks at the acceptable range and it showed less than 205 nm because the acamprosate calcium drug does not contain chromophore. Furthermore, it was carried on electrical conductometric meter and the linear regression coefficient value was found to be 0.9999, Acamprosate calcium contains a cation charge and the solvent used was the distilled water. The method was developed on an electrical conductometric meter as this method is cheap, cost-effective, and no work has been reported on acamprosate calcium. The current method development and validation represent an alternative method in the laboratory which can be employed for routine analysis in the pharmaceutical industry.

CONCLUSION

The present research is a cost-effective, simple, and accurate electrical conductivity method for quantity estimation of acamprosate calcium in tablet dosage form developed as per the ICH guidelines. The method is economic, rapid, and does not need any sophisticated instruments. Hence, it may be employed for routine quality control analysis of acamprosate calcium in Active Pharmaceutical Ingrident (API) and marketed formulation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are thankful to Principal Dr. S. S. Jalalpure for his support and guidance and to the Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, KLE College of Pharmacy. The

authors are also thankful to Mr. Nikhil Gawas, Mr. Onkar S. Supe, and Ms. Sushmita Hiremath for help during the research work.

ETHICAL APPROVAL

Not applicable.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interests.

FUNDING

This work did not receive any funds to declare from any national and international agency.

REFERENCES

Blanchin MD, Baalbaki B, Bosc N, Fabre H. Short-end injection technique in capillary electrophoresis for dissolution testing of tablets. Anal Chim Acta, 2000; 415(12):67–73.

Chabenat C, Ladure P, Blanc-Continsouza D, Boismare F, Boucly P. Determination of calcium acetylhomotaurinate by liquid chromatography with fluorimetric and electrochemical detection. J Chromatogr, 1987; 414:417–22.

Chabenat C, Ladure P, Moore N, Boucly P, Boismare F. Application of an analytical method `to calcium acetylhomotaurinate determination in urine. Arzneimittelforschung, 1989; 39(11):1413–4.

Espino D, Cruz MP. Acamprosate calcium (Campral®): an effective treatment for maintaining abstinence in alcohol-dependent patients in combination with psychosocial support. Pharm Ther, 2005; 30(1):497–505.

Fabre H, Perrin C, Bosc N. Determination of homotaurine as impurity in calcium acamprosate by capillary zone electrophoresis. J Chromatogr A, 1999; 853(1–2):421–30.

Ghosh C, Jha V, Shinde CP, Chakraborty BS. A LC-MS analysis of acamprosate from humanplasma: pharmacokinetic application. Drug Test Anal, 2011; 3(10):735–42.

Girault J, Gobin P, Fourtillan JB. Determination of calcium acetyl homotaurinate in human plasma and urine by combined gas chromatography-negative-ion chemical ionization mass spectrometry. J Chromatogr, 1990; 530:295–305.

Hammarberg A, Beck O, Eksborg S, Jayaram-Lindström N, Lindefeldt A, Andersson M, Brundin L, Reid MS, Franck J. Acamprosate determinations in plasma and cerebrospinal fluid after multiple dosing measured by liquid chromatography–mass spectroscopy: a pharmacokinetic study in healthy volunteers. Ther Drug Monit, 2010; 32(4):489–96.

ICH Guidelines. Validation of analytical procedures: text and methodology. International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) Q2 (R1), Geneva, Switzerland, p 10, vol. 11, 2005. Kanala KM, Chandu BR, Hwisa NT, Khagga M, Katakam P, Challa BR. Quantification of acamprosate in human plasma By LC-ESI-MS/MS with solid phase extraction: application to a bioequivalence study. J Pharm Res, 2013; 7(5):389–96.

Lipha Pharmaceuticals Inc. Briefing focument for acamprosate 333 mg tablets. Food and Drug Administration, Washington, DC, pp 1–311, 2002.

Rhee YS, Park JH, Park S, Park CW, Ha JM, Jeong KW, Lee DS, Park ES. Analysis of acamprosate in beagle dog plasma By LC-MS-MS. Arch Pharm Res, 2008a; 31(8):1035–39.

Rhee YS, Park S, Lee TW, Park CW, Nam TY, Oh TO, Jeon JW, Lee DS, Park ES. Investigation of the relationship between *in vitro* and *in vivo* release behaviors of acamprosate from enteric-coated tablets. Arch Pharm Res, 2008b; 31(6):798–804.

Saivin S, Hulot T, Chabac S, Potgieter A, Durbin P, Houin G. Clinical pharmacokinetics of acamprosate. Clin Pharmacokinet, 1998; 35(5):331–45.

Umarov UA, Maslov OY, Kolisnyk SV, Fathullaeva M. Development and validation of the conductometric titration method of

quantitative determination of free organic acids in the anise fruits. Eur J Mol Clin Med, 2020; 7(3):3874–83.

Xu F, Qing Y, Shang B, Liang M, Zou Y, Xu G. Pharmacokinetics of acamprosate calcium in healthy Chinese subjects after oral administration of three dosage levels. Arzneimittelforschung, 2009; 59(12):631–4.Zornoza T, Cano MJ, Polache A, Granero L. Pharmacology of acamprosate: an overview. CNS Drug Rev, 2003; 9(4):359–74.

How to cite this article:

Yadav RK, Maste MM, Surywanshi SS, Shastri U. Conductometric method development and validation to estimate acamprosate calcium in API and marketed formulation. J Appl Pharm Sci, 2021; 11(11):082–086.