Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science Vol. 10(03), pp 119-127, March, 2020 Available online at http://www.japsonline.com DOI: 10.7324/JAPS.2020.103016 ISSN 2231-3354



Fabrication of potential gastroretentive microspheres of itraconazole for stomach-specific delivery: Statistical optimization and *in vitro* evaluation

Surbhi Rohilla*, Dinesh Chander Bhatt, Shaveta Ahalwat

Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Guru Jambheshwar University of Science and Technology, Hisar, Haryana 125001, India.

Al	КТ	IC	LE	IN.	FO
----	----	----	----	-----	----

Received on: 26/04/2019 Accepted on: 27/01/2020 Available online: 05/03/2020

Key words: Hollow microspheres, Eudragit RS100, ethyl cellulose, optimization,

central composite design.

ABSTRACT

The premise of the study was to develop and optimize multiple unit gastroretentive microspheres of itraconazole to prolong its localization in the stomach and analyzed using response surface methodology. The emulsion solvent diffusion evaporation method was used to prepare hollow microsphere of ethyl cellulose and Eudragit RS100 as low-density shell-forming polymers. The experimental design matrix was prepared using a central composite design to study the effect of various process parameters over response variables. The optimized microspheres showed a particle size of 285.1 µm, drug entrapment efficiency of 86.8%, buoyancy of 51.1%, and cumulative drug release of 77.80%. The experimental responses were in good harmony with the predicted values. The compatibility between drug and excipients was determined by Fourier-transform infrared and differential scanning calorimetry analysis. The results signify that gastroretentive hollow microspheres are a promising vehicle to extend the retention time of itraconazole in the upper GI tract, and it can be floated in an acidic medium for a prolonged period.

INTRODUCTION

Candida spp. are harmless commensals or normal occupants of the mucosal surface and become opportunistic pathogens in those individuals who are immunologically weak and immunocompromised (Rohilla *et al.*, 2018). Since the 1980s, the incidence of invasive fungal infection due to *Candida* spp. increases by nearly 500% (Gajra *et al.*, 2015).

Itraconazole comes under triazole category of an antifungal agent having broad-spectrum activity used for the treatment of superficial and systemic fungal infections. Many fungal infections require systemic antifungal therapy for their successful treatment (Boogaerts *et al.*, 2001; De Beule and Van Gestel, 2001).

Surbhi Rohilla, Department of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Guru Jambheshwar University of Science and Technology, Hisar, Haryana 125001, India. E-mail: surbhiraman.rohilla22 @ gmail.com As per the Biopharmaceutics Classification System, itraconazole is having low solubility and high permeability, i.e., it belongs to class II, a highly lipophilic molecule. It is a weakly basic drug (pKa value = 3.7) having pH-dependent dissolution (Abuhelwa *et al.*, 2015). Thus, it has a narrow absorption window and has a need of an acidic environment for adequate dissolution and sufficient absorption of drug. These characteristics make oral absorption of itraconazole difficult (Dominguez-Gil Hurle *et al.*, 2006).

The oral route is most convenient, well-known, and commonly used route for drug delivery. The development of stomach-specific oral drug delivery is a challenging work as rapid gastrointestinal transit can decrease the drug absorption at its absorption site which reduces the efficiency of the administered dose (Tadros, 2010). A site-specific drug delivery is a recent focus of research efforts for drug release in a certain region. Therefore, gastric retention time can be lengthen by gastroretentive drug delivery systems which retain it in the gastric region for a prolonged period, to improve the oral bioavailability of the basic drugs (Farooq *et al.*, 2017; Nila *et al.*, 2014). Above all the various gastroretentive techniques, floating drug delivery system

^{*}Corresponding Author

^{© 2020} Surbhi Rohilla *et al.* This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

is the most important to maintain the drug in the gastric region for a longer period. Hollow microspheres, a type of floating drug delivery systems, with properties such as high specific surface area, low density, and good flowability, have attracted attention toward it (Wang *et al.*, 2007).

The response surface methodology is renowned approach in support of experimental designing and optimization of pharmaceutical formulations with minimum experimentation. Based on the design of experiments, it generates significant polynomial equations to validate the statistical design (Mishra *et al.*, 2016; Shah and Pathak, 2010). The polynomial models can be used to envisage the correlation between input data and response variables by summarizing experiential data (Chaibva and Walker, 2012). Central composite design (CCD) is one of the techniques in response surface methodology, which allows the minimum number of experimental runs and picks the optimal composition for accomplishing the desired goal (Hao *et al.*, 2012).

In this study, gastroretentive hollow microspheres were formulated for itraconazole, a poorly water-soluble drug having a narrow absorption window by employing emulsion solvent diffusion evaporation method for prolonged buoyancy to retain the delivery system at its absorption site to increase its rate and extent of absorption. The formulation variables were optimized by employing CCD. The selected independent variables, such as ratio of polymers and concentration of polyvinyl alcohol, were measured for their resultant effect on responses such as particle size, entrapment efficiency, buoyancy, and % cumulative drug release. The hollow microspheres were characterized by X-ray diffraction study, differential scanning calorimetry (DSC), micrometric properties, and scanning electron microscopy (SEM).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

Itraconazole was procured as a gift sample from the Zydus Cadila Healthcare Ltd., Ahmedabad, India. Eudragit RS100 was obtained as a gift sample from Evonik Degussa India Pvt. Ltd., Mumbai, India. Ethyl cellulose, dichloromethane (DCM), tween 80, and polyvinyl alcohol were obtained from Thomas Baker Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi. All the remaining reagents and solvents used were of analytical grade.

Methods

Preparation of microspheres

The emulsion solvent diffusion evaporation method was used to prepare hollow microspheres (Kawashima *et al.*, 1992). The polymer composed of ethyl cellulose (EC) and Eudragit RS100. Briefly, drug and polymer were dissolved simultaneously in ethanol and DCM mixture (1:1). Then, the dispersion was added dropby-drop into 30 ml polyvinyl alcohol (PVA) solution containing tween 80 (0.2% w/v), forming oil-in-water (o/w) emulsion, and stirred on propeller-type mechanical stirrer for 2 hours at 350 rpm and at a temperature of 40°C maintained throughout the process. The hollow cavity was formed by evaporation of DCM inside the polymer droplet. The hollow microspheres were separated by filtration and then washed thrice with distilled water, followed by drying at room temperature for 24 hours and storing in a desiccator (Kawashima *et al.*, 1991; Sato *et al.*, 2003; 2004).

Experimental design

Response surface methodology is a tool to scrutinize the effect of a wide range of independent variables on response variables with the collection of statistical and mathematical techniques. The CCD was adopted to optimize the responses and to find out optimum process parameters. The ratio of polymers (X_1) and concentration of PVA (X_2) were considered as independent variables in the preparation of hollow microspheres. Each factor was studied at three levels (-1, 0, and +1) as given in Table 1. The CCD suggested 13 runs, composed of eight factorial points plus five center points to determine the optimum concentrations of independent variables.

The response variables selected were particle size (Y_1) , drug entrapment efficiency (Y_2) , percentage buoyancy (Y_3) , and percentage cumulative drug release (Y_4) . Design-Expert software[®] (version 11.0.0.5, Stat-Ease, Inc., Minneapolis, MN) was used to perform the statistical analysis. The total runs suggested by the design matrix were carried out randomly to eliminate the effects of extraneous or nuisance variables. The design of the experimental matrix and investigated responses are presented in Table 2.

CHARACTERIZATION OF HOLLOW MICROSPHERES

Particle size analysis

The particle size analyzer (Microtrac S3500, USA) equipped with a liquid handling system was used to determine the particle size distribution of the microspheres.

Morphology

The shape and surface morphology of hollow microsphere were determined using scanning electron microscope (EVO18 Zeiss, USA). The samples were prepared by sprinkling a small amount of formulation on a double-adhesive tape stuck to an aluminum stub for gold coating using a gold sputter module to a thickness of ~300 A° under an argon atmosphere in a high-vacuum evaporator. The gold-coated samples were then scanned, and micrographs were taken randomly.

Percentage yield

The percentage yield was calculated by taking the actual weight of dried hollow microspheres by total weight of all nonvolatile excipients used in the formulation of hollow

		Tuble 1. Levels	or process varia			
Cada	Duccoss vouichle			Levels		
Coue	r rocess variable	-α	-1	0	+1	$+\alpha$
X_1	Ratio of polymers (EC: Eudragit RS100)	1.68:1	2:1	4:1	6:1	8.6:1
X_2	Concentration of PVA (%w/v)	0.4	0.5	0.75	1.0	1.1

 Table 1. Levels of process variables.

Code	Polymer Ratio	Concentration of PVA (%w/v)	Particle Size (μm)	Entrapment efficiency (%)	Buoyancy (%)	Cumulative drug release (%)
F_1	0	0	305.5	90.77	56.72	70.15
F_2	-1.41421	0	231.8	80.72	58.66	82.40
F_3	0	0	284.2	87.82	52.6	71.06
F_4	0	0	308.2	88.4	55.59	68.54
F_5	1	-1	325.3	98.27	72.41	67.06
F_{6}	1	1	276.5	86.26	59.17	78.36
F_7	0	0	293.3	90.11	54.04	71.72
F_8	-1	1	202.4	79.79	38.98	79.35
F_{9}	0	1.41421	223.8	83.49	45.75	80.63
F_{10}	-1	-1	245.5	81.64	61.41	73.62
F_{11}	1.41421	0	383.5	96.43	75.05	70.38
F_{12}	0	0	278.7	86.41	48.22	72.54
F_{13}	0	-1.41421	374.2	92.11	71.0	65.14

Table 2. Central composite design with the effect of process variables on response values.

microspheres (Patel *et al.*, 2006). The percentage yield equation is given as follows:

%Yield =
$$\frac{\text{Weight of dried hollow microspheres}}{\text{Total weight of drug and nonvolatile}} \times 100$$
(1)
excipients

Micromeritic properties

The prepared microspheres were characterized for their micromeritic properties, such as bulk density, tapped density, Hausner's ratio, and Carr's compressibility index. The tapping method was adopted to calculate the tapped density (Agrawal *et al.*, 2017). Graduated measuring cylinder was used to measure the bulk and tapped densities. The initial volume (bulk) was noted after pouring the sample into the cylinder, and after 100 tapings, the final tapped volume was again noted.

The formula of the ratio of weight and their respective volume was used to calculate the bulk density and tapped density. Hausner's ratio and Carr's compressibility index of microspheres were computed using the following formula (Garud and Garud, 2012):

Hausner's ratio =
$$\frac{\text{Tapped density}}{\text{Bulk density}}$$
 (2)

$$\frac{\text{Carr's compressibility}}{\text{index (\%)}} = \frac{\text{Tapped density} - \text{Bulk density}}{\text{Tapped density}} \times 100$$

Solubility study

The saturation solubility of the drug in a given solvent was determined using shake-flask method. The excess amount of drug was placed in simulated gastric fluid (SGF, 50 ml, pH 1.2). The mixture was placed on a mechanical shaker at room temperature for 24 hours (Maghraby and Alomrani, 2009). The samples were then filtered through a nylon syringe filter (0.45 μ m) and analyzed using UV spectrophotometer (Cary 5000, Varian, Australia) at 258 nm.

Percentage of drug content and entrapment efficiency

The percentage of drug content and entrapment efficiency was computed by determining the proportion of the drug that got entrapped in microspheres. For this, a known amount of drug containing microspheres was dissolved in methanol by ultrasonication (Gaur *et al.*, 2014; Jagtap *et al.*, 2012; Sato *et al.*, 2004). The samples were filtered through a 0.45-µm nylon filter to remove shell debris. After appropriate dilution, the samples were assayed for drug content and entrapment efficiency at 262 nm using UV-visible spectrophotometer (Cary 5000, Varian, Australia).

% Drug Content =
$$\frac{\text{Weight of drug in microspheres}}{\text{Weight of microspheres}} \times 100$$
 (4)
% Entrapment Efficiency = $\frac{\text{Actual drug content}}{\text{Theoretical drug content}} \times 100$ (5)

In vitro Buoyancy study

The buoyancy of hollow microspheres was determined using United States Pharmacopoeia (USP) paddle-type dissolution apparatus agitated by a paddle at 100 rpm. The amount of microspheres (100 mg) were weighed accurately, and then spread over the surface of 200 ml dispersing medium consist of simulated gastric fluid (pH 1.2) containing 0.5%w/v tween 80 at $37^{\circ}C \pm 0.5^{\circ}C$ (Sato *et al.*, 2004; Umamaheswari *et al.*, 2002). After 10 hours, the floating and settled portions were collected separately, dried, and weighed. The buoyancy of the hollow microspheres was represented by the following equation:

%Buoyancy =
$$\frac{\text{Weight of hollow microspheres}}{\text{Total weight of hollow and}} \times 100$$
(6)
settled microsphere

In vitro drug release

(3)

The *in vitro* drug release from microspheres was carried out using USP paddle-type apparatus. The microspheres equivalent to 30 mg of drug were packed into dialysis membrane and immersed in dissolution medium consisting of 900 ml simulated gastric fluid (pH 1.2) containing 0.5% w/v tween 80 having a paddle rotation speed of 100 rpm at 37°C \pm 0.5°C temperature. The samples were withdrawn at suitable time intervals up to 10 hours, filtered through a 0.45 µm membrane filters, and analyzed UV spectrophotometrically at 258 nm. Sink conditions prevailed during the dissolution studies. All the experiments were carried out in triplicate.

Kinetics of drug release

The data obtained from *in vitro* analysis were fitted to various mathematical models to determine the mechanism of drug release (Costa and Lobo, 2001; Dash *et al.*, 2010) such as zeroorder kinetics (percentage release *vs.* time), first-order kinetics (log percentage release *vs.* time), Higuchi's model (percentage release *vs.* square root of time), and Korsmeyer–Peppas model (log cumulative percentage drug release *vs.* log time).

Fourier-transform infrared spectroscopy analysis

Fourier-transform infrared (FT-IR) analysis was performed to determine the possibility of any chemical interaction between drug and excipients. For this, the samples were reduced to a fine powder, mixed with KBr, and placed it over the powder sample holder. The spectrum scan was done in frequency range of 4,000–400 cm-1 using a FT-IR spectrophotometer (IR Affinity, Shimadzu, Japan).

Differential scanning calorimetric analysis

The thermal analysis of samples was done using differential scanning calorimeter (DSC 25, TA instruments, CA). Samples of 2.5–5 mg were placed into an aluminum pan and raised the temperature at a constant rate (10° C minute⁻¹), from 30° C to 300° C under nitrogen atmosphere.

X-ray diffraction study

The X-ray diffraction analysis was performed using X-ray diffractometer (Rigaku Miniflex-II X-ray diffractometer, Japan). The powder diffractometer sets for the continuous scan with an angle range of $10^{\circ}-70^{\circ}$ 20 and step size of 0.04° 20. The analysis was carried out on itraconazole, polymers empty the hollow microspheres, and itraconazole loaded the hollow microspheres.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Preparation and optimization of hollow microspheres

The hollow microspheres are multiple unit drug delivery systems and are the most efficient form of delivery systems as they can be extensively distributed throughout the stomach and may provide efficient release of drugs at the target site. Floating hollow microspheres were prepared by emulsion solvent diffusion evaporation method. Using above technique, the polymeric microsphere was prepared with an internal spherical hollow cavity produced by diffusion and subsequent evaporation of solvent mixture. The ethanol present in polymer droplet diffused out into water; thus, polymer-drug mixture was solidified at DCM droplet (Gupta *et al.*, 2014), which got evaporated on stirring at $40^{\circ}C \pm 5^{\circ}C$ (Sato *et al.*, 2003). The effect of independent variables such as

the ratio of polymers and concentration of surfactant was studied on the physicochemical properties of the hollow microspheres such as particle size, entrapment efficiency, buoyancy, and cumulative drug release employing experimental CCD. In response surface methodology, various empirical models are fitted to experimental data which depend on the experimental design. In this study, the CCD was selected as an experimental design, as it adequately describes the interaction between the factors with the least number of experiments. The preliminary studies were conducted to ascertain the levels of independent variables for optimization (Bezerra *et al.*, 2008, Liu *et al.*, 2014).

The Design-Expert software computed the statistical parameters, and it indicated that all responses generated using experimental design were best fitted into various polynomial models. The observed responses, i.e., particle size (Y_1) and entrapment efficiency (Y_2) , were fitted best into linear and 2FI response surface model, respectively (*p*-value 0.0003 for Y_1 and *p*-value < 0.0001 for Y_2), whereas percentage buoyancy (Y_3) and percentage cumulative drug release (Y_4) are fitted best into quadratic response surface model (*p*-value 0.0007 for Y_3 and *p*-value 0.0006 for Y_4) with no transformations of data. The polynomial equations for all dependent variables are as follows:

$$Y_1(\mu m) = 287.15 + 46.05X_1 - 38.07X_2$$
⁽⁷⁾

$$Y_2(\%) = 87.8631 + 5.66466 X_1 - 3.25632 X_2 - 2.54 X_1 X_2$$
(8)

$$Y_{3} (\%) = 53.434 + 6.79612 X_{1} + -8.92236 X_{2} + 2.2975 X_{1}X_{2} + 5.55487 X_{1}^{2} + 1.31488 X_{2}^{2}$$
(9)

$$Y_4(\%) = 70.802 - 3.06861 X_1 + 4.86702 X_2 + 1.3925 X_1 X_2 + 2.784 X_1^2 + 1.0315 X_2^2$$
(10)

The above polynomial equations indicated the correlation between process variables and responses. Table 3 represents the effect of factors on responses and their associated *p*-values. Any model was considered to be significant if the *p*-value < 0.05 at 95% confidence level. The positive and negative signs depict the synergistic effect and antagonistic effect, respectively, which is having a relative impact of each factor on the responses.

Table 4 shows the result of analysis of variance analysis on models, and the summary statistics indicated that the response surface model developed for all response variables is significant, having p < 0.05 with non-significant "lack of fit" (p > 0.05), which ensures the reliability of applied model. The reliability of models was denoted by higher R_2 values and good agreement between predicted R_2 and adjusted R_2 . In addition to the above, adequate precision measures the signal-to-noise ratio, and the higher values of adequate precision (>4) signify that models are fitted to navigate the design space.

A three-dimensional response surface model analysis graphs were generated for the optimization of hollow microspheres by response surface methodology. The three-dimensional response surface plots for all the responses are presented in Figure 1. The response surface plot of particle size illustrates the interaction and effect of investigated variables as shown in Figure 1(a). The polymer ratio and concentration of PVA had a pronounced effect on particle size. The particle size decreases with the increase in the concentration of PVA, which reduces the interfacial tension more efficiently, and polymers become more uniformly dispersed in aqueous phase by

Table 3. Summary of factor effect and associated *p*-values for all responses.

Factors -	J	Y_1		Y ₂		Y ₃		Y_4
ractors -	Effects	<i>p</i> -values						
X ₁	+46.05	0.0005	+5.66	< 0.0001	+6.79	0.0012	-3.068	0.0021
X_2	-38.07	0.0020	-3.25	0.0004	-8.92	0.0002	+4.86	0.0001
$X_1 X_2$			-2.54	0.0137	+2.29	0.2512	+1.39	0.1732
X_{1}^{2}					+5.55	0.0053	+2.78	0.0052
X_{2}^{2}					+1.31	0.3765	+1.03	0.1820

Table 4. Detail Summary of statistical analysis of response surface models.

Model								Lack	of fit	
Response factor	F-value	<i>p</i> -value	R^2	Adjusted R ²	Predicted R ²	Adeq. Prec.	C.V.	Std. dev.	F-value	<i>p</i> -value
Y_1	21.14	0.0003	0.8087	0.7705	0.6041	13.47	9.05	25.99	6.11	0.0507
Y_2	44.24	< 0.0001	0.9365	0.9153	0.8348	21.00	1.89	1.66	0.808	0.5977
Y_{3}	18.45	0.0007	0.9295	0.8791	0.6795	12.76	6.37	3.67	1.55	0.3327
Y_4	19.55	0.0006	0.9332	0.8854	0.6736	12.72	2.51	1.84	1.99	0.2578

stabilization of polymer droplets. The polymer ratio had a positive effect on particle size. The EC has a marked effect compared to Eudragit RS100, which could be related to the viscosity of polymer resulting in high interfacial tension and increased particle size, whereas Eudragit RS100 formed the compact structure as compared to EC (Fartyal *et al.*, 2011; Pandav *et al.*, 2014).

Figure 1(b) represents the response surface curve of entrapment efficiency. The entrapment was directly proportional to polymer concentration and inversely proportional to the concentration of PVA. The microspheres prepared with a higher ratio of EC showed high drug entrapment compared to Eudragit RS100. This may be due to hydrophobic nature of EC, which encapsulates a larger amount of drug as compared to Eudragit RS100 and increases the matrix-forming potential of polymer with drug and decreases the drug diffusion to the external phase. Due to this, the maximum amount of drug was forced to reside in the microspheres formed, resulting in high percentage entrapment (Nour *et al.*, 2015). However, the entrapment efficiency was reduced with an increase in the concentration of PVA. This is possibly due to smaller size microspheres formed at higher concentration.

The effect of process variables on buoyancy can be measured as shown in Figure 1(c). The *in vitro* buoyancy of microspheres can be correlated to low density and insolubility of polymers in the simulated gastric fluid (pH 1.2). As reported by Sato *et al.*, the buoyancy of particles depends on their density and size. The size of microspheres exhibited an inverse relationship to the microsphere density. Hence, the buoyancy of microspheres increased with an increase in particle size, which can be directly related to the increase in the ratio of polymers and inversely related to the increase in the concentration of PVA. The microspheres prepared with a high concentration of PVA were smaller in size and less dense than those prepared with a lower concentration of PVA. The density of EC is 0.4 g/cc, which is lower than Eudragit RS100 (Rowe *et al.*, 2006), so the particles having a higher ratio of EC are less dense and more buoyant compared to Eudragit RS100.

Figure 1(d) illustrates the combined effect of independent variables on the cumulative release of itraconazole. The response

surface curve inferred that on increasing the relative fraction of Eudragit RS100, the percentage cumulative drug release increases to some extent as compared to the increase in the proportion of EC. In general, an increase in polymer concentration increased the diffusion path of drug which decreases the overall drug release from the polymer matrix. In contrast with the above statement, larger droplets facilitate a rapid penetration of dissolution medium which indirectly indicates more interconnected pores that help in drug dissolution and facilitate the drug diffusion from the polymeric matrix (Raut et al., 2013; Raghuvanshi et al., 2016). The extent of drug release was predominantly dependent on PVA levels as it increases the wettability of particles and better solvent penetration (Pachuau and Mazumder, 2009). Typically, the formulation made with higher PVA and Eudragit RS100 levels and lower EC level exhibited a high percentage cumulative drug release. Hollow microspheres with desired parameters were accomplished by desirability approach using numerical optimization tool of Design-Expert software. The goal was to obtain the optimum values of the independent variables. Optimization was performed by setting constraints such as maximum particle size, buoyancy, cumulative drug release, and in range entrapment efficiency. The Design-Expert software provided four solutions; the solution with the highest desirability was selected for optimized formulation. The optimized values of parameters obtained were the ratio of polymers (X_1) of 6:1 and the concentration of PVA (X_2) of 1.0% w/v. The results for the final optimized concentration are tabulated in Table 5.

Characterization of hollow microspheres

The data of particle size analysis show that the average size of dried microspheres ranged from 202.4 to 383.5 μ m (Table 2). Depending on the concentration of PVA and ratio of polymers, the formulations F_8 and F_{11} showed the smallest and largest size of microspheres, respectively. SEM analysis was performed to study the surface morphological and shape of microspheres. Figure 2 shows the scanning electron microscope images of hollow microspheres. It could be concluded from the figure that the microspheres had a smooth spherical surface having



Figure 1. Response Surface Curve for (a) Particle Size, (b) Entrapment Efficiency, (c) Buoyancy, and (d) Cumulative Drug Release.

Table 5. Observed, predicted values, and percentage prediction error for the responses at optimized concentration.

V/V	Y ₁			Y ₂		Y ₃			Y_4			
Λ_1/Λ_2	Observed	Predicted	%Error	Observed	Predicted	%Error	Observed	Predicted	%Error	Observed	Predicted	%Error
6:1/1.0	285.1	295.126	-3.39	86.8	87.731	-1.06	61.1	60.475	1.2	78.66	77.808	1.095

pores on them through which dissolution medium could permeate into the drug-polymer matrix and facilitate the diffusion of the drug molecule.

The percentage yield for microspheres was found to be in the range of 46.38%–87.33% as given in Table 6. During microsphere formation, the product yield was affected by the polymers sticking to the wall of the beaker and blades of the stirrer and agglomerate formation. The percentage yield was found to be independent on the ratio of polymers. It decreased with an increase in the concentration of PVA; the reason may be loss of small particles during filtration and washing (Jagtap *et al.*, 2012). The flow properties of the microspheres were studied as Carr's compressibility index and Hausner's ratio. The Carr's compressibility index was in the range of 09.09%–20.05%, whereas the Hausner's ratio for all formulations was in the range of 1.04–1.25, which indicated good-to-excellent flow characteristics (Sinko, 2006). This would help in the handling of microspheres during processing.

The equilibrium solubility of itraconazole was carried out in distilled water and SGF (pH 1.2) at room temperature. It was recorded to be ~1 ng/ml in distilled water and 3.35 ± 0.03 µg/ml in simulated gastric fluid (pH 1.2). The solubility was significantly increased in acidic solution. As shown in Table 6, the drug content increased with the increase in the concentration of emulsifier. The highest drug content was obtained at high polymer ratio and low concentration of PVA. The *in vitro* release profile of optimized batch is shown in Figure 3. It can be observed from the plot that 78.66% of the drug was released in a dissolution medium consisting of SGF pH (1.2) within 10 hours. To determine the mechanism of release kinetics from hollow microspheres, the release rate data were subjected to various kinetic models for the goodness of fit.



Figure 2. SEM images of hollow microspheres showing (a) general appearance; (b) single spherical microsphere; and (c) surface morphology.

Formulation code	Yield (%)	Drug content (%)	Bulk density (g/cm ³)	Tapped density (g/cm ³)	Hausner's ratio	Carr's compressibility index (%)
F_1	66.66 ± 0.62	14.95 ± 0.91	0.238 ± 0.008	0.286 ± 0.010	1.20 ± 0.002	16.66 ± 0.30
F_2	70.62 ± 0.76	13.39 ± 0.44	0.366 ± 0.012	0.419 ± 0.014	1.14 ± 0.003	12.50 ± 0.22
F_{3}	62.12 ± 0.72	14.57 ± 0.48	0.22 ± 0.016	0.260 ± 0.018	1.18 ± 0.002	15.38 ± 0.17
F_4	60.6 ± 0.51	14.67 ± 0.36	0.24 ± 0.009	0.288 ± 0.014	1.2 ± 0.007	16.66 ± 0.47
F_5	84.84 ± 0.81	16.31 ± 0.57	0.355 ± 0.015	0.391 ± 0.020	1.10 ± 0.004	15.14 ± 0.38
F_6	55.55 ± 0.43	14.32 ± 0.39	0.226 ± 0.015	0.235 ± 0.016	1.04 ± 0.002	03.99 ± 0.167
F_{γ}	65.51 ± 0.68	14.34 ± 0.28	0.258 ± 0.024	0.315 ± 0.023	1.22 ± 0.018	18.09 ± 1.30
F_8	62.72 ± 0.56	13.24 ± 0.36	0.185 ± 0.017	0.219 ± 0.022	1.18 ± 0.006	15.78 ± 0.38
F_{9}	46.38 ± 0.56	13.86 ± 0.47	0.214 ± 0.021	0.275 ± 0.035	1.25 ± 0.014	20.05 ± 0.824
F_{10}	85.13 ± 0.72	13.55 ± 0.36	0.196 ± 0.010	0.234 ± 0.015	1.20 ± 0.005	16.68 ± 1.30
F_{11}	87.33 ± 0.93	16.00 ± 0.59	0.205 ± 0.008	0.227 ± 0.012	1.11 ± 0.002	09.97 ± 0.216
F_{12}	59.39 ± 0.52	14.32 ± 0.34	0.220 ± 0.010	0.260 ± 0.017	1.18 ± 0.004	15.38 ± 0.365
F_{13}	83.47 ± 0.54	15.29 ± 0.49	0.134 ± 0.008	0.147 ± 0.011	1.1 ± 0.003	09.09 ± 0.307

Table 6. Yield, drug content, and Micromeritic properties (mean ± SD).



Figure 3. In vitro release profile of optimized batch.

The R^2 values of release kinetic models were found to be 0.863, 0.962, 0.985, and 0.978 for zero-order, first-order, Higuchi's square root, and Korsmeyer–Peppas models, respectively. The results indicated that the *in vitro* drug release of itraconazole from hollow microspheres was best described by Higuchi's release equation, indicating the release of drug from the insoluble matrix by the diffusion-controlled process.

Figure 4 depicts the FT-IR spectra of itraconazole which showed characteristic peaks occurred at 3,127, 3,068, 2,963, 2,877, 2,822, 1,702, 1,654, 1,613, 1,462, 1,451, 1,425, and 418 cm⁻¹. The absorption bands between 2,800 and 3,200 cm⁻¹ represent the stretching of alkane, aromatic rings, and amine groups. The sharp peak occurred at 1,702 cm⁻¹ may be due to C=O group, and the peaks at 1,613 and 1,425 cm⁻¹ may be arise due to C=N and C-N bonds, respectively. All the characteristic peaks of itraconazole were present without any new peak formation in the spectrum of the physical mixture and optimized batch, thus indicating the compatibility between drug and polymers with no chemical interaction.

DSC thermograms of itraconazole, EC, Eudragit RS 100, physical mixture of drug and excipients, and optimized batch are presented in Figure 5. In the case of itraconazole, a sharp endothermic peak was observed at 167.85°C, which corresponded to the melting process. Thermograms of ethyl cellulose showed broad endothermic peaks at 56.77°C and 184.14°C and Eudragit RS100 showed peaks at 65.46°C and 184.89°C, respectively, which correspond to melting process and thermal decomposition of polymers. A physical mixture of drug and excipients showed endothermic peaks at 63.48°C, 165.98°C, and 186.5°C; a slight decrease and broadening of the peak of itraconazole by 1.8°C were



Figure 4. FTIR spectra of Itraconazole; Eudragit RS100; Ethyl cellulose; Physical mixture, and Optimized batch.



Figure 5. DSC of Eudragit RS 100; Ethyl cellulose; Itraconazole (ITR); Physical mixture (PM), and Optimized batch (Opt).

attributable to the presence of low-melting amorphous excipients, whereas a slight increase in endothermic peaks of polymers was attributed to the high-melting crystalline drug. In the case of DSC curve of optimized batch, a small blunt endothermic peak of itraconazole was observed at 160.31°C and further decreased by 5.67°C which may be due to reduction in the crystallinity of drug by its solubilization in the polymer matrix. The DSC thermogram of physical mixture signifies that drug had no interaction with polymers used in the formulation as no new peak appeared in it.

The overlay of X-ray diffraction data is listed in Figure 6. The diffractogram of itraconazole showed a peak with a maximum intensity of 10,033.3 at 20 value of 20.46°. The other important peaks are observed at 20 values of 14.58°, 17.58°, and 23.58°, and their corresponding peak intensities are 4,223, 7,466, and 6,320, respectively. The physical mixture of itraconazole and polymers showed the slight hump of polymers, whereas the peaks of itraconazole were also apparently visible. The diffractogram of drug-loaded hollow microspheres showed a characteristic peak at an angle of 20.34° with peak intensity 5,160 corresponding to itraconazole. The diffraction pattern of the pure drug showed a



Figure 6. X-ray diffractograms of Itraconazole; Eudragit RS 100; Ethyl cellulose; Physical mixture, and Optimized batch.

remarkable difference from drug-loaded microspheres (optimized batch), and the former showed relative sharp peaks due to high degree of crystallinity of itraconazole. The decrease in peak intensity of the diffraction pattern of itraconazole-loaded hollow microspheres confirmed the change of drug from crystalline to amorphous form.

CONCLUSION

The results of this study emphasized that response surface methodology with CCD is a very useful statistical technique to determine the effect of selected independent variables on the dependent variables. Gastroretentive hollow microspheres were prepared successfully using the emulsion solvent diffusion evaporation technique as per design matrix. The SEM results showed that microspheres were spherical having pores on its surface which enhance the floating ability of the particles. The method of preparation was found to be reliable and inexpensive. The results of optimization revealed that the ratio of polymers and concentration of PVA have a significant effect over response variables such as particle size, entrapment efficiency, buoyancy, and percentage cumulative drug release. The low density of microspheres showed well in vitro floating ability and drug release profile. Hence, floating microspheres of itraconazole prolonged the retention time in the stomach, which can ultimately result in improved bioavailability at a much lower dose. The feasibility of the optimization procedure in developing hollow microspheres can be demonstrated by close agreement between the observed responses and predicted values of the optimized formulation.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors express gratitude to the Department of Science and Technology, New Delhi, for providing financial assistance to Surbhi Rohilla (IF150924) under "INSPIRE" fellowship and to SAIF, AIIMS, New Delhi, for SEM analysis.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

Abuhelwa AY, Foster DJ, Mudge S, Hayes D, Upton RN. Population pharmacokinetic modelling of itraconazole and hydroxylitraconazole for oral SUBATM-itraconazole and Sporanox[®] capsule formulations in healthy subjects in fed and fasted states. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2015; 59(9):5681–96. Agrawal GR, Wakte P, Shelke S. Formulation, physicochemical characterization and in vitro evaluation of human insulin-loaded microspheres as potential oral carrier. Prog Biomater, 2017; 6(3):125–36.

Bezerra MA, Santelli RE, Oliveira EP, Villar LS, Escaleira LA. Response surface methodology (RSM) as a tool for optimization in analytical chemistry. Talanta, 2008; 76(5):965–77.

Boogaerts MA, Maertens J, Van Der Geest R, Bosly A, Michaux JL, Van Hoof A, Cleeren M, Wostenborghs R, De Beule K. Pharmacokinetics and safety of a 7-day administration of intravenous itraconazole followed by a 14-day administration of itraconazole oral solution in patients with hematologic malignancy. Antimicrob Agents Chemother, 2001; 45(3):981–5.

Chaibva FA, Walker RB. The use of response surface methodology for the formulation and optimization of salbutamol sulfate hydrophilic matrix sustained release tablets. Pharm Dev Technol, 2012;17(5):594–606.

Costa P, Lobo JM. Modeling and comparison of dissolution profiles. Eur J Pharm Sci, 2001; 13(2):123–33.

Dash S, Murthy PN, Nath L, Chowdhury P. Kinetic modeling on drug release from controlled drug delivery systems. Acta Pol Pharm, 2010; 67(3):217–3.

De Beule K, Van Gestel J. Pharmacology of itraconazole. Drugs. 2001; 61(1):27–37.

Domínguez-Gil Hurlé A, Sánchez Navarro A, Garcia Sanchez MJ. Therapeutic drug monitoring of itraconazole and the relevance of pharmacokinetic interactions. Clin Microbiol Infect, 2006; 12:97–106.

Farooq U, Khan S, Nawaz S, Ranjha NM, Haider MS, Khan MM, Dar E, Nawaz A. Enhanced gastric retention and drug release via development of novel floating microspheres based on Eudragit E100 and polycaprolactone: synthesis and in vitro evaluation. Des Monomers Polym, 2017; 20(1):419–33.

Fartyal S, Jha SK, Karchuli MS, Gupta R, Vajpayee A. Formulation and evaluation of floating microspheres of boswellic acid. Int J Pharm Tech Res, 2011; 3:76–81.

Gajra B, Dalwadi C, Patel R. Formulation and optimization of itraconazole polymeric lipid hybrid nanoparticles (Lipomer) using box behnken design. DARU J Pharm Sci, 2015; 23(1):3.

Garud N, Garud A. Preparation and in-vitro evaluation of metformin microspheres using non-aqueous solvent evaporation technique. Trop J Pharm Res, 2012; 11(4):577–83.

Gaur PK, Mishra S, Bajpai M. Formulation and evaluation of controlled-release of telmisartan microspheres: in vitro/in vivo study. J Food and Drug Anal, 2014; 22(4):542–8.

Gupta R, Prajapati SK, Pattnaik S, Bhardwaj P. Formulation and evaluation of novel stomach specific floating microspheres bearing famotidine for treatment of gastric ulcer and their radiographic study. Asian Pac J Trop Biomed, 2014; 4(9):729–35.

Hao J, Wang F, Wang X, Zhang D, Bi Y, Gao Y, Zhao X, Zhang Q. Development and optimization of baicalin-loaded solid lipid nanoparticles prepared by coacervation method using central composite design. Eur J Pharm Sci, 2012; 47(2):497–505.

Jagtap YM, Bhujbal RK, Ranade AN, Ranpise NS. Effect of various polymers concentrations on physicochemical properties of floating microspheres. Indian J Pharm Sci, 2012; 74(6):512.

Kawashima Y, Niwa T, Takeuchi H, Hino T, Itoh Y. Hollow microspheres for use as a floating controlled drug delivery system in the stomach. J Pharm Sci, 1992; 81(2):135–40.

Kawashima Y, Niwa T, Takeuchi H, Hino T, Ito Y. Preparation of multiple unit hollow microspheres (microballoons) with acrylic resin containing tranilast and their drug release characteristics (in vitro) and floating behavior (in vivo). J Control Release, 1991; 16(3):279–89.

Liu R, Wang S, Fang S, Wang J, Chen J, Huang X, He X, Liu C. Liquid crystalline nanoparticles as an ophthalmic delivery system for tetrandrine: development, characterization, and in vitro and in vivo evaluation. Nanoscale Res Lett, 2016; 11(1):254.

Maghraby GM, Alomrani AH. Synergistic enhancement of itraconazole dissolution by ternary system formation with pluronic F68 and hydroxypropylmethylcellulose. Sci Pharm, 2009; 77(2):401–18.

Mishra B, Reddy KH, Manikanta A, Anand A, Raju MSK. Formulation and optimization of clarithromycin loaded with pullulan acetate microsphere for sustained release by response surface methodology. Int J Drug Dev Res, 2016; 8:011–5.

Nila MV, Sudhir MR, Cinu TA, Aleykutty NA, Jose S. Floating microspheres of carvedilol as gastro retentive drug delivery system: 32 full factorial design and in vitro evaluation. Drug Deliv, 2014; 21(2):110–7.

Nour SA, Shawky Abdelmalak N, Naguib MJ. Bumadizone calcium dihydrate microspheres compressed tablets for colon targeting: formulation, optimization and in vivo evaluation in rabbits. Drug Deliv, 2015; 22(3):286–97.

Pachuau L, Mazumder B. A study on the effects of different surfactants on Ethylcellulose microspheres. Int J Pharm Tech Res, 2009; 1(4):966–71.

Patel A, Ray S, Thakur RS. Invitro evaluation and optimization of controlled release floating drug delivery system of metformin hydrochloride. DARU J Pharm Sci, 2006; 14(2):57–64.

Pandav S, Naik J. Preparation and in vitro evaluation of ethylcellulose and polymethacrylate resins loaded microparticles containing hydrophilic drug. J Pharm, 2014; 2014.

Raut NS, Somvanshi S, Jumde AB, Khandelwal HM, Umekar MJ, Kotagale NR. Ethyl cellulose and hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose buoyant microspheres of metoprolol succinate: Influence of pH modifiers. Int J Pharma Investig, 2013; 3:163–70.

Raghuvanshi S, Pathak K. Bioadhesive floating microsponges of cinnarizine as novel gastroretentive delivery: Capmul GMO bioadhesive coating versus acconon MC 8-2 EP/NF with intrinsic bioadhesive property. Int J Pharma Investig, 2016; 6:181–93.

Rohilla S, Bhatt DC, Gupta A. Therapeutic potential of phytomedicines and novel polymeric strategies for significant management of candidiasis. Curr Pharm Design, 2018; 24(16):1748–65.

Rowe RC, Sheskey PJ, Owen SC. Handbook of pharmaceutical excipients. Pharmaceutical Press, London, UK, 2006.

Sato Y, Kawashima Y, Takeuchi H, Yamamoto H. Physicochemical properties to determine the buoyancy of hollow microspheres (microballoons) prepared by the emulsion solvent diffusion method. Eur J Pharm Biopharm, 2003; 55(3):297–304.

Sato Y, Kawashima Y, Takeuchi H, Yamamoto H. In vitro evaluation of floating and drug releasing behaviors of hollow microspheres (microballoons) prepared by the emulsion solvent diffusion method. Eur J Pharm Biopharm, 2004; 57(2):235–43.

Shah M, Pathak K. Development and statistical optimization of solid lipid nanoparticles of simvastatin by using 2 3 full-factorial design. AAPS Pharmscitech, 2010; 11(2):489–96.

Sinko PJ. Micrometrics. In: Martin A (ed). Martin's physical pharmacy and pharmaceutical science. 5th edition, Lippincott Williams and Wilkins, Baltimore, MD, 2006.

Tadros MI. Controlled-release effervescent floating matrix tablets of ciprofloxacin hydrochloride: Development, optimization and *in vitro–in vivo* evaluation in healthy human volunteers. Eur J Pharm Biopharm, 2010; 74(2):332–9.

Umamaheswari RB, Jain S, Tripathi PK, Agrawal GP, Jain NK. Floating-bioadhesive microspheres containing acetohydroxamic acid for clearance of Helicobacter pylori. Drug Deliv, 2002; 9(4):223–31.

Wang AJ, Lu YP, Sun RX. Recent progress on the fabrication of hollow microspheres. Mater Sci Eng A, 2007; 460:1–6.

How to cite this article:

Rohilla S, Bhatt DC, Ahalwat S. Fabrication of potential gastroretentive microspheres of itraconazole for stomach-specific delivery: Statistical optimization and *in vitro* evaluation. J Appl Pharm Sci, 2020; 10(03):119–127.