Development and *In vitro-In vivo* Characterization of Chronomodulated Multi-Particulate Drug Delivery System of Terbutaline Sulphate for Treatment of Nocturnal Asthma by box–Behnken Statistical Design
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**ABSTRACT**

The objective of the present study was to develop a chronotherapeutic multi-particulate drug delivery system (CMPDDS) of Terbutaline sulphate (TS) intended for treatment of nocturnal asthma attacks. The formulation is capable of simultaneously releasing drug from an immediate release component and a sustained release component. In this study capsules containing immediate release powder blend and sustained release granules containing TS were formulated. Sustained release granules were formulated using Ethyl cellulose (EC), HPMC K15M, and Carbopol 971P. Optimization of sustained release granules was done by response surface methodology employing Box Behnken design. The CMPDDS were evaluated for physical characterization and *in vitro* drug release studies. The optimized CMPDDS formulation (MP1) was able to sustain the drug release for a period of 12 h. The accelerated stability studies showed no significant changes in physicochemical properties and release behaviour. Further *in vivo* pharmacokinetic studies were performed on rabbits to determine pharmacokinetic parameters. The formulation MP1 showed $C_{\text{max}}=169.87 \pm 4.133$ ng/mL at $T_{\text{max}}$. The area under the curve for the formulation MP1 was $2079.95 \pm 41.64$ ng.h/mL. It can be concluded from the study that the CMPDDS of TS can be successfully formulated and used for the chronotherapy of nocturnal asthma.

**INTRODUCTION**

The synchronization of drug delivery with circadian rhythms of a disease is called chronotherapeutics (Reinberg, 1991, 1983). The synchronization of drug delivery with circadian rhythm of a disease can be done by administering dissimilar morning and evening doses of commonly available 12 h sustained release capsules and tablets (Smolensky, 1998). Asthma is one of the many diseases showing circadian variation. Night time worsening of asthma (nocturnal asthma) has been reported in literature from ancient times (Bajwa et al., 2017b). The National Asthma Education and Prevention Program defines asthma as a chronic disorder of the airways that is complex and characterized by variable and recurring symptoms, airflow obstruction, bronchial hyper-responsiveness and an underlying inflammation (National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute, 2007).
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The lung function of asthmatic population can have a dramatic decrease overnight than the normal population (Martin, 1997). Nocturnal asthma has a very large impact on quality of life as nocturnal asthma causes awakening at night (Chen et al., 2007).

Thus, the medication for nocturnal asthma should be given at a time when the lung function is worst i.e. to match circadian rhythm of asthma, this helps in prevention of unwanted exposure of patient to drugs.

The majority of drugs that are used now a days for chronotherapy of nocturnal asthma are delivered once at night to prevent acute asthma attacks which are caused by chronic inflammation of airways. The benefit of once-daily dosing is that it improves patient adherence and also promotes self-management of asthma (Durrington et al., 2014).

Several studies have been conducted to assess the chronotherapy of asthma with TS and all the studies had positive results. In one study TS (Bricanyl Depot®, AB Draco, Sweden) tablet formulation was assessed in chronotherapy trials. 5 mg of drug was given at 8 a.m. and 10 mg was administered in evening at 8 p.m. when the lung function starts to decline and reaches worst level in early hours of morning. This chronotherapeutic dosing significantly increased the 24 h mean PEFR (Peak expiratory flow rate) and FEV₁ (forced expiratory volume in 1 s) and stopped their characteristic nocturnal decline (Dahl et al., 1988; Postma et al., 1986; Koëter et al., 1985).

Terbutaline sulphate (TS) 5-[2-[1,1-Dimethylethyl](adrenergic agonist. It is an effective bronchodilator following peroral administration. TS is a potential candidate to be formulated in a sustained release dosage form because of its short half-life of 3.6 h and a low per-oral dose of 5 mg three times a day (Ahuja and Ashman, 1990; Moffat et al., 2005).

Multiparticulate drug delivery system is an oral drug delivery system consisting of small repetitive units of drug particles. They have many advantages over monolithic devices as they reduce the risk of dose dumping and local irritation.

In this work, we aimed to formulate and optimize the CMPDDS which comprises of a hard gelatin capsule filled with immediate release powder blend and sustained release granules. The immediate release powder blend leads to quick release of the drug, so as to reach high serum concentration in a short period of time which provides quick relief from asthma attacks. The sustain portion releases the drug for prolonged period of time to maintain the effective concentration of drug within the therapeutic window to prevent any asthma attacks during sleep. Fast release powder blend was formulated using Avicel PH-101 and sustained release granules were developed using EC, HPMC K15M and Carbopol 971P as polymeric retardant materials.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Materials

TS, Avicel PH-101 (Microcrystalline cellulose) and HPMC K15M was obtained from Oscar remedies Ltd., Bajwa et al. / Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science 7 (11); 2017: 033-042

India as a gift sample. Carbopol 971 P was generously gifted by Lubrizol advanced materials, Mumbai, India. Ethyl cellulose (EC) was procured from Optica pharmaceuticals, Haryana, India. Talc and lactose were purchased from Nice Chemicals, Mumbai, India.

METHODS

Calculation of total dose (Immediate release dose + maintenance dose) of TS for formulating CMPDDS

The total dose for formulating CMPDDS for a period of 12 h was calculated assuming one compartment open model kinetics using the following pharmacokinetic data. Conventional dose (D₀) 5 mg. Time needed to achieve peak plasma concentration (T_max) = 3 h and half-life (t½) = 3.6 h (Ahuja and Ashman, 1990; Moffat et al., 2005).

Thus, the first-order elimination rate constant,

\[ k_e = 0.693/3.6 = 0.1925 \text{ mg/h.} \]

Hence, the availability rate,

\[ k' r = k_e D_b = 0.1925 \times 5 = 0.9625 \text{ mg/h.} \]

The maintenance dose,

\[ D_m = k' r (T) = 0.9625 \times 12 = 11.55 \text{ mg,} \]

where T is the number of hours for which sustained action is desired.

But amount of drug in immediate release part cannot be equal to conventional dose (D₀) because sustain release granules will also release in the time when the immediate release dose is absorbed due to which the resultant blood levels are higher. Therefore, correction for initial dose is required so that less drug is available for absorption.

Immediate release dose corrected

\[ (IRD_{corrected}) = D_b - (k'e \times T_{max}) = 5 - (0.9625 \times 3.0) = 2.1125 \text{ mg.} \]

Thus, total dose,

\[ D_t = IRD_{corrected} + D_m = 2.1125 + 11.55 = 13.66 \text{ mg.} \]

Hence, the CMPDDS should contain a total dose of 13.66 mg (2.1125 mg as immediate dose and 11.55 mg as sustained release dose). For convenience in formulation the doses were rounded to 2 and 11.5 mg (Dey et al., 2012; Robinson and Eriksen, 1966).

Pre-formulation studies

Procedure for Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) spectral analysis

The compatibility between TS and polymers to be used in this study was evaluated by obtaining spectra using FT-IR Spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer, spectrum-100, Japan). For obtaining spectra 5% of sample was mixed with potassium bromide and ground into fine powder. Then the powder was pressed into pellets at 4000 Psi for 2 min. The resolution was 1 cm⁻¹ and the range of scanning was 400–4000 cm⁻¹ (Hadi et al., 2016).
Formulation of immediate release powder blend (IR1)
Firstly, the IR1 was prepared. All the raw materials (Table 1) were passed through a #60 sieve before mixing. TS and Avicel PH-101 were blended using a laboratory mortar and pestle.

Formulation of sustained release granules
On the basis of results of preliminary trial batches, final design formulation batches were prepared using Box-Behnken designs given in Table 2. TS sustained released granules were prepared by in situ wet granulation technique. All the ingredients were separately passed through #60 sieve to break the lumps between particles. TS, EC, HPMC K15M, Carbopol 971P and lactose were weighed and mixed homogeneously in geometric proportion for 25 min in a laboratory mortar and pestle.

The powder mix was wetted with isopropyl alcohol. The wetted powder mix was then passed through #12 mesh sieve to obtain granules which were then dried at 60°C for 30–45 min. After complete drying the granules were passed through 12 mesh sieve and the granules in particle size range of 14–20 mesh were selected. The granules were lubricated with 2 % talc and 2 % magnesium stearate (Siddique et al., 2010).

Experimental design for formulating sustained release granules
A three-factor, three-level Box-Behnken design (BBD) was used for the optimization procedure with EC content X1, HPMC K15M content X2 and Carbopol 971P content X3 as the independent variables. The levels for these three parameters were determined from the preliminary trials. The three levels (-1, 0 and +1) for X1, X2 and X3 are 45-50-55, 8.5-11-13.5 and 10-15-20 % w/w respectively. The responses or dependent variables were Y1, percentages of the drug released at 2h and Y2 - Release rate. The factors, the levels tested, and the responses are given in Table 3. The Design Expert software (version 10.1, Stat-Ease Inc., Minneapolis, U.S.A.) was then used to construct response surfaces using the data obtained.

The equation N = 2k (k - 1) + Co can be used to determine the total number of experiments (N) which are required to develop BBD. Where k is number of factors and Co is the number of central points. Since there are three factors, three levels, and three centre points, the number of runs according to the above equation is N = 2 × 3(3 – 1) + 3 = 15 runs. The 15 experiments include the three centre runs, which are compulsory to avoid singularity and to verify any change in the estimation procedure. The number of runs is very less in comparison to normal three-level three-factor (3^3) full factorial design which has 27 runs.

The quadratic equation for the model is given as under:
Y = b0 + b1X1 + b2X2 + b3X3 + b4X1X2 + b5X1X3 + b6X2X3 + b7X1^2 + b8X2^2 + b9X3^2

where Y is the selected response, b0-b9 are the regression coefficients, X1, X2, and X3 are the factors studied (Tak et al., 2016; Cha et al., 2010).

Characterization for IR1 and sustained release granules (G1-G13)
Formulation IR1 and G1-G13 were evaluated for their flow properties such as bulk density, tapped density, Carr’s index, Hausner’s ratio and angle of repose. Tapped and bulk density were determined using tapped density tester (Rolex Scientific Eng., Ambala, India) and Carr's index (CI) and Hausner’s ratio was...
calculated. To determine the drug content in granules, an accurately weighed amount of powdered formulation (100 mg) was extracted with 0.1 N HCl. The solution was filtered through 0.45-µm membrane and absorbance was measured at 276 nm after suitable dilution (Siddique et al., 2010).

**In vitro release studies of sustained release granules and CMPDDS**

In vitro release studies were performed by filling sustained release granules in an empty hard gelatin capsule shell and for CMPDDS one unit was used. The release studies were performed using USP dissolution apparatus II (Basket type) Study was conducted in 900 mL of 0.1M HCl (pH 1.2) at 37 ± 0.5°C and at 100 rpm for a period of 2 h followed by release in phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) for another 10 h.

The medium change was effected by adding 4.32 g of sodium hydroxide and 6.08 g of potassium dihydrogen phosphate dissolved in 5 mL water to the previous dissolution medium. Aliquots of 5 mL from release medium were withdrawn and replaced with equal volumes of media to maintain sink condition. The withdrawn samples were filtered through 0.2 µm Whatman filter paper and analysed spectrophotometrically at 276 nm (Siddique et al., 2010).

The release studies were carried out in triplicate. Drug release data were appropriately corrected for loss of drug and receptor medium volume during sampling by replacement using the following equation:

\[
Ci = Ai + \left( \frac{V_s}{V_{t}} \right) \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} Ai \left( \frac{V_t}{V_{t} - V_s} \right)
\]

Where, \( Ci \) is the corrected absorbance of \( i \)th observation, \( Ai \) is the observed specific absorbance, \( V_s \) is the sample volume, and \( V_t \) is the total volume of dissolution medium (Bajwa et al., 2013; Singh et al., 1997).

**Optimization of sustained release granules based on in vitro drug release studies**

The optimization process was used to generate a model equation that provides a means of evaluating changes in response due to changes in the independent variable levels. After application of BBD design and with help of polynomial terms the optimized sustained release granules batch (OG1) were produced which were targeted to the release at 2 h- minimized and rate of release- targeted to 7.4 %/h.

**Formulation of CMPDDS (MP1)**

First the weighed amount (100 mg) of immediate release blend (IR1) was filled in the body of hard gelatin capsule (#1 size) then 100 mg of granules from optimized batch of sustained release granules (OG1) were weighed and added to the same hard gelatin capsule body and the cap was placed.

**Evaluation of CMPDDS (MP1)**

Multiparticle drug delivery system were subjected to evaluation for parameters such as drug content, disintegration time test and in vitro release. Assessment of in vitro disintegration time was carried out using USP-27/NF-22 disintegration test apparatus. Disintegration time of all the batches was measured by placing one capsule in each tube and the basket assembly was positioned in 900 mL of water maintained at 37 ± 2°C. The end point of disintegration was manifested as the rupturing of capsule and removal of all its contents.

**Kinetics and Mechanism of Drug Release**

Kinetics of drug release was determined by fitting data to different equations such as-

- Zero order: \( M_t = M_0 + k_d t \)
- First order equation: \( \log M_t = \log M_0 - k_d t / 2.303 \)
- Higuchi model: \( M_t = k_H t^{1/2} \)
- Korsmeyer Peppas equation: \( \frac{M_t}{M_{\infty}} = k_{kp} t^n \)

Where, \( M_t \) is the amount of drug (%) released after time \( t \); \( M_0 \) is the amount of drug released at zero time; \( k \) is the release rate constant, and \( n \) is diffusion coefficient. Drug release following particular mechanism is judged by the linearity (R²) of plot (Sirisolla and Ramanamurthy, 2015; Korsmeyer et al., 1983; Wagner, 1969; Higuchi, 1963).

**Stability Studies**

The ICH guidelines were used to design the stability studies to determine the stability of the formulation MP1. Three replicates of MP1 formulation were sealed in a polyethylene pack with inside aluminium coating and stored at 40 ± 2°C and 75 ± 5 % RH in the humidity chamber for 3 months. The samples were taken out of storage after required sampling time. The formulation was subjected to drug assay and in vitro dissolution studies. Statistical analysis was performed using ANOVA to test the significance of difference between before and after storage data. The value of similarity factor f2 was also calculated to compare the dissolution profiles before and after storage (Costa et al., 2002; Shah et al., 1998).

**Pharmacokinetic Study**

**Study design**

The protocol of the study was approved by the Animal Ethics Committee of Himachal institute of pharmacy, Himachal Pradesh, India vide approval No. HIP/IAEC/03/17/11. Twelve healthy albino rabbits of either sex weighing between 1500 and 1700 g were used in the study. The rabbits were divided into two groups of 6 rabbits each. All the rabbits were fasted over night before drug administration and continued fasting until 4 h post dose, with free access to water. One formulation (MP1) was given to each rabbit in group I and one immediate release tablet was
given to each rabbit in group II. The formulations were administered to the rabbits with a feeding tube. The capsules were put behind the tongue to prevent crushing due to biting. At predetermined time intervals (0.5, 1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14 and 24 h post dose), 1ml of blood samples were collected from the marginal ear vein and analysis of the samples were done. Pharmacokinetic parameters including $C_{\text{max}}$, $T_{\text{max}}$, $\text{AUC}_{0\text{-}t}$, $\text{AUC}_{0\text{-}\infty}$, $t_{1/2}$ and MRT were determined from plasma profile by the using software Kinetica 5.0 (Hashem et al., 2016; Chandaa et al., 2010; Bajwa et al., 2017a).

### Chromatographic Conditions

A Shimadzu LC 20AD HPLC was used for data processing. Liquid chromatographic separations were achieved using a Hypersil 100 ODS, C18-5Sm (4.6mmI.D. x 150mm)-reverse phase column. The mobile phase consists of a mixture of ammonium acetate (0.15 M) and glacial acetic acid (of pH 4.0, 96:4 v/v) and was delivered at a flow-rate of 2.0 ml/min. The sample injection volume was 50 μl. The effluent was monitored using a UV visible detector at 270nm (Narendra et al., 2005).

### Extraction Procedure

The phosphate buffer pH 7.2 was used to buffer the thawed sample and chloroform was then used for extraction. The chloroform layer was then separated and mixed with 0.5M HCl. Then the centrifugation was done for 5 min at 7000 rpm to separate the aqueous layer. Further the analysis was done employing HPLC (Narendra et al., 2005).

### Pharmacokinetic Data Analysis

The peak plasma concentration ($C_{\text{max}}$) and the time to reach peak plasma levels ($T_{\text{max}}$) were calculated from the time versus plasma concentration graph. Software package Kinetica 5.0 was used to compute other pharmacokinetic parameters like. The area under the concentration versus time curve (AUC), area under first moment curve (AUMC) mean residence time (MRT) ad $t_{1/2}$.

To test the significance of difference between calculated pharmacokinetic parameters of both immediate-release tablet and formulation MP1 statistical analysis using analysis of variance (ANOVA) was done and a P value of $P<0.05$ was considered statistically significant (Vemula, 2015).

### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Chronotherapeutic drug delivery system is a system of choice for treating diseases showing circadian rhythms. Chronotherapeutic drug delivery system releases the drug at required time which prevents unwanted exposure of the patients to drugs by decreasing dosing frequency and also reduces the cost of treatment.

### FT-IR studies

In order to evaluate the compatibility, the FT-IR spectra were recorded in between 400 and 4000 cm$^{-1}$ for pure drug TS and mixture of TS with polymers (HPMC K15M, EC and Carbopol 971 P) (as shown in Fig. 1).

The characteristic peaks obtained for TS are 3300 cm$^{-1}$-OH stretch, 3050 cm$^{-1}$-aromatic CH stretch, 1200 cm$^{-1}$-phenolic C-O stretch and 1610 cm$^{-1}$-aromatic ring stretch. The FTIR studies of physical mixture of the drug with different polymers showed no sign of interaction as the spectra of mixtures showed the peaks similar to pure drug spectra. Thus, it was observed that combination of pure drug TS and the used polymers can be suitable for formulating CMPDDS. The obtained spectra of TS matches spectra reported by Mahajan et al., (2010).
Characterization of sustained release granules formulation (G1-G13)

Prepared granules were evaluated for drug content and flow properties (Table 4). Drug content was found to vary between 98.25-102.17%The bulk density for the formulations G1-G13 varied from 0.290-0.302 gm/cc and tapped density varied from 0.329-0.341 gm/cc. The compressibility index varied from 9.12-14.17% and Hausner’s ratio varied between 1.100-1.165. As the value of Hausner’s ratio was found to be less than 1.25 this indicated good flow properties. The values for angle of repose varied between 24.38-26.56° which indicated good flow properties.

**In vitro release study of sustained release granules formulation (G1-G13)**

*In vitro* release studies were conducted for 2h in 900 mL of 0.1N HCl (pH 1.2) at 37 ± 0.5°C at 100 rpm followed by release study in phosphate buffer (pH 6.8) for another 10 h. From the *in vitro* release studies, it was inferred that all batches showed different release rate which depended on their respective compositions [Fig 2 (A and B)].

### Effect of polymers on drug release

The effect of independent variables (EC ($X_1$), HPMC K15M ($X_2$) and Carbopol 971P ($X_3$)) on dependent variables ($Y_{1-2}$ percentages of the drug released at 2h and $Y_2$- Release rate) was studied.

It was found that when the level of polymers HPMC K15M and Carbopol 971P was low, the gel formation was less which leads to quick release of drug as drug leached through the gel pores (in case of formulation G5). When the levels of HPMC K15M and Carbopol 971P were increased release rate decreased due to formation of viscous gel but it was found that EC also played an important role in preventing quick releasing of drug by preventing quick ingress of the dissolution fluid into the granule matrix due to its hydrophobic nature (formulation G6).

The sustained release of drug was achieved by using optimum levels of both hydrophilic (HPMC K15M, Carbopol

---

**Table 4: Characterization of formulation G1-G13 and OG1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Batches</th>
<th>Bulk Density α (gm/cc)</th>
<th>Tapped Density α (gm/cc)</th>
<th>Compressibility Index α (%)</th>
<th>Hausner’s Ratio α</th>
<th>Angle of Repose α (°)</th>
<th>Drug content β (% w/w)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>0.299 ± 0.012</td>
<td>0.332 ± 0.01</td>
<td>9.904 ± 6.329</td>
<td>1.114 ± 0.079</td>
<td>25.229 ± 0.585</td>
<td>99.98 ± 1.627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>0.294 ± 0.007</td>
<td>0.341 ± 0.01</td>
<td>13.885 ± 4.298</td>
<td>1.163 ± 0.058</td>
<td>25.151 ± 0.77</td>
<td>100.94 ± 1.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>0.292 ± 0.019</td>
<td>0.332 ± 0.009</td>
<td>12.095 ± 3.485</td>
<td>1.139 ± 0.045</td>
<td>25.402 ± 0.747</td>
<td>100.36 ± 1.849</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
<td>0.298 ± 0.012</td>
<td>0.332 ± 0.017</td>
<td>10.15 ± 8.252</td>
<td>1.119 ± 0.102</td>
<td>25.362 ± 0.639</td>
<td>99.42 ± 1.406</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G5</td>
<td>0.289 ± 0.018</td>
<td>0.333 ± 0.015</td>
<td>13.358 ± 1.505</td>
<td>1.154 ± 0.02</td>
<td>25.318 ± 1.059</td>
<td>98.76 ± 1.352</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G6</td>
<td>0.29 ± 0.014</td>
<td>0.329 ± 0.008</td>
<td>11.888 ± 2.113</td>
<td>1.135 ± 0.027</td>
<td>25.292 ± 0.696</td>
<td>100.46 ± 1.241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G7</td>
<td>0.287 ± 0.015</td>
<td>0.336 ± 0.011</td>
<td>14.704 ± 1.704</td>
<td>1.173 ± 0.024</td>
<td>24.282 ± 1.094</td>
<td>99.58 ± 1.452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G8</td>
<td>0.295 ± 0.014</td>
<td>0.333 ± 0.011</td>
<td>11.53 ± 1.418</td>
<td>1.131 ± 0.018</td>
<td>25.415 ± 0.963</td>
<td>99.53 ± 1.926</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G9</td>
<td>0.303 ± 0.008</td>
<td>0.337 ± 0.019</td>
<td>9.725 ± 7.334</td>
<td>1.113 ± 0.09</td>
<td>25.217 ± 0.608</td>
<td>99.66 ± 1.681</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G10</td>
<td>0.295 ± 0.014</td>
<td>0.332 ± 0.014</td>
<td>11.25 ± 0.616</td>
<td>1.127 ± 0.008</td>
<td>26.238 ± 0.844</td>
<td>100.41 ± 1.347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G11</td>
<td>0.292 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.336 ± 0.024</td>
<td>12.863 ± 3.137</td>
<td>1.149 ± 0.041</td>
<td>25.974 ± 0.246</td>
<td>99.02 ± 1.689</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G12</td>
<td>0.291 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.329 ± 0.018</td>
<td>11.581 ± 1.661</td>
<td>1.131 ± 0.021</td>
<td>24.537 ± 0.68</td>
<td>98.95 ± 1.544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G13</td>
<td>0.298 ± 0.014</td>
<td>0.328 ± 0.014</td>
<td>9.157 ± 0.391</td>
<td>1.101 ± 0.005</td>
<td>26.068 ± 0.381</td>
<td>99.79 ± 1.691</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OG1</td>
<td>0.301 ± 0.017</td>
<td>0.335 ± 0.013</td>
<td>9.844 ± 8.479</td>
<td>1.116 ± 0.109</td>
<td>25.123 ± 0.43</td>
<td>100.38 ± 1.208</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values represent mean ± standard deviation, α n=3 and β n=10.

---

**Fig 2: In vitro release profile of TS from different formulations: A (G1-G6) and B (G7-G13) (mean ± SD, n = 3).**
971P) and hydrophobic (EC) polymers. It can be hypothesised that the pores created by EC were filled by HPMC K15M and Carbopol 971P which lead to decrease in release of drug from the matrix and also the EC slowed the ingress of dissolution fluid into the matrix leading to creation of well balanced matrix.

**Response surface analysis**

Further to study the effect of independent variables on dependent variables 2-D contour plot and 3-D response surface analysis was done. 2-D contour plots and 3-D response surface plots were plotted using software Design expert 10.1. These plots provide information about effect of two independent variables on one dependent variable at a time by keeping third independent variable at middle level.

**(Release at 2 h)**

From the 2-D contour plot [Fig. 3 (A)] it can be seen that as the level of $X_1$ and $X_2$ were increased from -1 to 1 at centre level of $X_3$ the release at 2 h decreased from 39.02 to 28.65 %. Response surface plot [Fig. 3 (B)] also depicts similar antagonistic effect of $X_1$, $X_2$ and $X_3$ on release at 2 h.

**(Release rate)**

Fig.4(A) depicts that as the levels of $X_1$ and $X_2$ were increased from -1 to 1 at centre level of $X_3$ the release rate decreased from 10.86 to 7.10 %/h. 3-D response surface plot [Fig. 4(B)] shows the declining trend of release rate with increase in concentrations of HPMC K15M and Carbopol 971P.

---

**Fig. 3A** - Shows 2-D Contour plot and B shows 3-D response surface plot showing the effect of independent variables $X_1$ and $X_3$ at middle level of $X_2$ on dependent variable $Y_1$ (release at 2 h).

**Fig. 4A** - Shows 2-D Contour plot and B shows 3-D response surface plot showing the effect of independent variables $X_1$ and $X_3$ at middle level of $X_2$ on dependent variable $Y_2$ (release rate).
ANOVA and Lack-of-fit test analysis for models
ANOVA and Lack-of-fit test analysis were done for the model (Table 5). The results of the ANOVA, were applied to identify insignificant factors. Values of Probability less than 0.0500 indicate model terms are significant.

The Model F-values of 13.79 and 16.09 for the two models (Y₁ and Y₂) with a p-value of < 0.0001 were significant which implies that the models were significant. Values of Probability less than 0.0500 indicate model terms are significant. In this case X₁, X₂ and X₃ are significant model terms. Predicted Residual Sum of Squares (PRESS) is a measure to determine the fitnessness of each design point in the model. Values of PRESS for the quadratic models for responses (Y₁ and Y₂) were found to be 65.46 and 18.82 respectively which were smaller than the values for linear and 2FI models, lesser the value of PRESS, the better the model fits the data points. High R square value of 0.9613 and 0.9666 for both responses suggested that these models are significant.

Lack of fit is an unwanted characteristic for a model. A significant value of lack of fit test shows that the model does not fit the data well. In this case, the p-values for the lack of fit for both the models were 0.9434 and 0.0724 and both the values were insignificant, so the models fit the data generated. The models showed a statistically insignificant lack of fit, as shown in Table 5.

Concentration of all the three polymers had an antagonistic effect on release which can be seen from the negative sign of coefficient from quadratic equation developed from the model. The fitted equations relating the responses to the transformed factor for the three responses are as under:

(For release at 2 h) \[ Y₁ = +32.45 - 5.44X₁ - 2.26X₂ - 3.24X₃ + 0.25X₁X₂ + 0.26X₁X₃ - 1.54X₂X₃ + 0.27X₁² + 1.48X₂² + 0.56X₃² \]

(For release rate) \[ Y₂ = +9.01 - 1.23X₁ - 0.55X₂ - 1.59X₃ + 0.21X₁X₂ - 0.011X₁X₃ - 0.29X₂X₃ - 0.20X₁² + 0.16X₂² + 0.19X₃² \]

Optimization of sustain release granules
After application of BBD design and with help of polynomial terms the optimized sustained release granules were produced which were targeted to the release at 2 h- minimized and rate of release- targeted to 7.4 %/h. Equal importance was given to all responses. The global desirability value was calculated. The suggested optimized formulation was 1.0603 and 0.036 for X₁, X₂ and X₃ respectively and the corresponding desirability (D) value was 0.960. The value of predicted responses Y₁ and Y₂ were 25.99 % and 7.399 %/h respectively.

Then the optimized formulation was produced using the optimized amount of the release controlling polymers and the optimized formulation (OG1) was subjected to evaluation and all the parameters were within acceptable limits (Table 4). In vitro release studies were conducted and the results are shown in Fig.5. The value of release at 2 h and rate of release was found to be 24.09 ± 2.747 % and 7.82 ± 0.152 %/h respectively which were similar to the predicted values. As the observed values of the responses were similar to predicted values this shows that statistically the model was valid.

Characterization of CMPDDS formulation MPI
Finally, the formulation MPI was formulated by using fast release powder blend and optimized sustained release granule
formulation (OG1). The formulation was then subjected to evaluation. Drug content of the formulation was found to be 99.52 ± 0.861 % w/w which was under prescribed limits. Disintegration time was found to be 2.4 ± 0.3 min and the average weight of the formulation was found to be 277.34 ± 1.006 mg. In vitro release studies were conducted results are shown in Fig. 5. The formulation (MP1) was able to release 38.65 ± 3.42 % of the drug at 2 h and 76.68 ± 2.601 % at 8 h. From the in vitro release studies, it can be concluded that formulation was able to sustain release for a period of 12 h after an initial burst release of drug.

**Fig. 5: In vitro release profile of TS from formulations MP1 and OG1 (mean ± SD, n = 3).**

**Kinetics and Mechanism of Drug Release**

To determine the release model, the release studies data was fitted to various mathematical model and the curve fitting analysis was done to determine the model of best fit. Regression analysis was done and it was found that formulation (MP1) showed a Higuchi release which is a diffusion medium based mechanism based on Fick’s first law (Table 6).

**Table 6: Fits of release of kinetics models.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation</th>
<th>Zero order R²</th>
<th>First order R²</th>
<th>Higuchi R²</th>
<th>Korsmeyer-Peppas R²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MP1</td>
<td>0.9413</td>
<td>0.6184</td>
<td>0.9851</td>
<td>0.9661</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Stability studies**

Accelerated stability studies were conducted for the optimized formulation MP1 and data revealed no significant change in the drug content and dissolution studies which is supported by ANOVA (P<0.05) test on before and after storage data. The similarity factor f2 value of 70 indicates a similarity in before and after storage dissolution profiles.

**Pharmacokinetic Study**

The values obtained for $C_{\text{max}}$, $T_{\text{max}}$ and $\text{AUC}_{0\rightarrow\infty}$ for the preparation MP1 were found to be 169.87 ± 4.133 ng/mL, 4.00 ± 0.00 h and 2079.95 ± 41.64 ng.hr/mL respectively, while the values for $C_{\text{max}}$, $T_{\text{max}}$ and $\text{AUC}_{0\rightarrow\infty}$ for the immediate release tablet were found to be 163.12 ± 6.619 ng/mL, 4.0 ± 0.00 h and 782.01 ± 50.684 ng.hr/mL. The value of MRT was found to be 9.51 ± 0.201 h for formulation MP1 and 6.26 ± 0.698 h for immediate release tablet (Table 7). In a study conducted by Hashem et al., (2016) similar results for $C_{\text{max}}$ were obtained which supports present study. From the statistical analysis of pharmacokinetic parameters, there was a significant difference in the values of $\text{AUC}_{0\rightarrow\infty}$ and MRT between immediate release tablet and MP1, demonstrating that formulation MP1 was able to sustain the release and had a longer MRT. The plasma drug concentration time profile curves for the formulation MP1 and immediate release tablet are shown in Fig.6.

**Table 7: Pharmacokinetic parameters.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Immediate release tablet</th>
<th>MP1</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_{\text{max}}$ (ng/ml)</td>
<td>163.12 ± 6.619</td>
<td>169.87 ± 4.133</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{\text{max}}$ (h)</td>
<td>4.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>4.00 ± 0.00</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{AUC}_{0\rightarrow\infty}$ (ng.hr/ml)</td>
<td>782.01 ± 50.684</td>
<td>2079.95 ± 41.64</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{AUMC}_{0\rightarrow\infty}$ (ng.hr²/ml)</td>
<td>907.48 ± 705.202</td>
<td>19919.03 ± 563.206</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_{1/2}$ (h)</td>
<td>4.8 ± 2.052</td>
<td>3.98 ± 0.247</td>
<td>&gt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRT (h)</td>
<td>6.26 ± 0.698</td>
<td>9.51 ± 0.201</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values represent mean ± standard deviation, n=6

**CONCLUSION**

From the current study it can be concluded that it is possible to formulate CMPDDS of TS that after an initial fast release can provide sustained release for a period of 12 h. Immediate release powder blend and sustained release granules can be filled in a gelatin capsule shell so that an immediate release can be obtained that allows the drug to reach $C_{\text{max}}$ quickly which is followed by release from sustained release granules that is sustained for a duration of 12 h. Sustained release can be modulated by varying concentrations of three polymers (HPMC K15M, EC and Carbopol 971P) and also these polymers have a
negative effect on release rate. In summary, the immediate release followed by sustained release of TS were obtained which may provide an effective chronotherapy for the management of nocturnal asthma.
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