

# Antifungal activity of some medicinal plants on Soybean seed-borne *Macrophomina phaseolina*

Lakshmeesha TR, Sateesh MK, Vedashree S and Mohammad Shafi Sofi

Molecular Diagnostic Laboratory, Department of Microbiology & Biotechnology, Jnana Bharati Campus, Bangalore University, Bengaluru -560056, India.

---

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received on: 10/01/2013

Revised on: 09/02/2013

Accepted on: 21/02/2013

Available online: 27/2/2013

### Key words:

soybean seed, seed-borne fungi, botanicals, *M. phaseolina*.

---

## ABSTRACT

Soybean *Glycine max* (L.) Merr. seeds, pods and seedlings are susceptible to fungal attack due to its rich nutrient content. The most commonly isolated soybean (JS-335) seed-borne fungi were *Fusarium* spp., *Macrophomina phaseolina*, *Pythium* spp., *Aspergillus* spp., *Phoma* spp., and *Phomopsis* spp. *Macrophomina phaseolina* is an important plant pathogenic fungus that causes charcoal rot of soybean and infects more than 500 hosts. In humid climates, the fungus causes a post emergence damping-off of soybean seedlings leading to 50% of crop losses. The objective of this work was to study the efficacy of the botanicals on soybean seed-borne *Macrophomina phaseolina*. Among the 10 botanicals screened, *Datura metel* (L.) methanol leaf extract showed the most promising activity against *Macrophomina phaseolina*.

---

## INTRODUCTION

Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merill) is a versatile food plant used in its various forms. It is capable of supplying most nutrients (Potter *et al.*, 1998). Worldwide production of soybean was projected to be 250.39 million mt in 2009-10 (USDA 2009). USA stands first in the production followed by Brazil, Argentina, China and India. In India, soybean estimated yield is 9.81 mt from 9.21 m ha. In developing countries like India, most of the crop yield is reduced due to pathogenic fungi (Agrios 2005). Soybean can substitute for meat and to some extent for milk (Endres *et al.*, 2003). Fungi are significant destroyers of foodstuffs and grains during storage rendering them unfit for human consumption by retarding their nutritive value and often by producing mycotoxins (Paster and Bullerman 1988). Soybean is an important host of the *M. phaseolina* which causes charcoal rot, seedling blight, dry root rot, ashy stem blight and dry weather wilt disease (Mengistu *et al.*, 2011). The estimated average annual loss due to charcoal rot in soybean was estimated to be 30-50% (Wrather *et al.*, 2003).

The grains stored for consumption purposes cannot be treated with fungicides, pesticides and bactericides due to resistance developed by pathogens (Khater 2012). The fungicides, pesticides and bactericides are non-biodegradable in nature and are extremely toxic in nature (Yadav 2010). The main effects are genotoxicity (Bolognesi 2003), hepatotoxicity (Cecchi *et al.*, 2012), reproductive disorders (Richard *et al.*, 2005) and immunosuppression (Singh *et al.*, 2011) Wild and Gong, 2010. It is therefore necessary to search for novel antifungal agents for control measures that are cost-effective, non-toxic, eco-friendly and which eliminate or reduce the incidence of these important pathogens, so as to prevent bio-deterioration of soybean seeds. Throughout the history of mankind, plant kingdom had a rich repository of medicines. According to the WHO, more than 65-80% of the world population relies on traditional herbal medicine for their primary healthcare (Akerle, 1993). In modern times, plants reflect the validity of many traditional uses regarding the value of natural products in health care. Plants cannot escape or hide when attacked by herbivores and pathogens. Therefore, in order to protect themselves against herbivores and pathogens, they have evolved to produce secondary metabolites (Wallace, 2004).

---

\* Corresponding Author

Sateesh MK

Molecular Diagnostic Laboratory, Department of Microbiology & Biotechnology, Jnana Bharati Campus, Bangalore University, Bengaluru - 560056, India; Cellular phone number 09448245968

These secondary metabolites have a broad-spectrum antifungal, antibacterial, antiviral, anti-tumor and cytotoxic potential (Mackeen *et al.*, 2000; Jing *et al.*, 2012). Plants are the sources of natural pesticide that make excellent leads for new pesticide development (Tripathi and Shukla, 2010; Kuri *et al.*, 2011). The present investigation focuses on isolation and identification of soybean seed-borne *M. phaseolina* and *In vitro* studies were carried out to test the antifungal efficacy of ten plant extracts on preventing the growth of *M. phaseolina*. The finding of the present investigation is an important step towards soybean protection strategies for antifungal activity against seed-borne *M. phaseolina*.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Plant materials

Ten widely available plants (Table 1) were selected based on an ethnobotanical survey. Leaf samples were collected early morning during the flowering season in March/April 2010 and 2011 from Dhanvantrivana, Bangalore University and Gandhi Krishi Vigyan Kendra (GKVK) University of Agricultural Sciences, Bangalore). An authenticated voucher specimen of the plant was deposited in the herbarium of Molecular diagnostic laboratory, Department of Microbiology and Biotechnology, Bangalore University, Bengaluru, Karnataka, India (Table 2). The freshly collected leaves were washed with tap water, and then rinsed in de-ionized water, oven dried at 35 - 40° C for 48 hours and pulverized using a sterile electric blender to obtain a powdered form and it was sieved and stored in sterile polyethylene sample bags prior to use (Sateesh, 1998).

**Table 2:** Herbarium deposition number.

Plant name	Deposition number
<i>Azadirachta indica</i> (A. Juss.)	MBMDL 167
<i>Boerhavia chinensis</i> (L.)	MBMDL 55
<i>Delonix regia</i> (Raf.)	MBMDL 56
<i>Datura metel</i> (L.)	MBMDL 50
<i>Euphorbia pulcherrima</i> (Wild.)	MBMDL 58
<i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i> (D. Don.)	MBMDL 59
<i>Hibiscus rosa-sinensis</i> (L.)	MBMDL 44
<i>Ficus religiosa</i> (L.)	MBMDL 45
<i>Ficus benghalensis</i> (L.)	MBMDL 46
<i>Acalypha indica</i> (L.)	MBMDL 47

### Preparation of aqueous extract

The aqueous extract of each plant material was prepared by soaking 10 g of powdered samples in 200 ml of sterile distilled water in 500 ml Erlenmeyer flask at room temperature for 24 hours. The supernatant was filtered through double layered muslin cloth and centrifuged at 4000 g for 30 min. It was filtered through Whatman No. 1 filter paper. The extract was preserved aseptically in a brown bottle at 5° C prior to use.

### Preparation of Solvent extracts

The organic solvent extraction was carried out by sequential extraction of the plant material (1:10 w/v) with different solvents (hexane, chloroform and methanol) according to their increasing polarity by using Soxhlet apparatus for 48 hours at a temperature not exceeding the boiling point of the respective

solvent. The yields of positive plants are represented in the (Table 3). The extraction yield was calculated as: Yield (%) = (WE/WP) × 100 where WE and WP are the weights of the dried extract and the dried powder before extraction respectively (Ponnusamy *et al.*, 2010).

**Table 3:** The extract yield of the plants in different solvent.

Name of the Plant	Extraction solvent	Yield (%)
<i>Datura metel</i> (L.)	Water	0.6
	Methanol	3.3
	Chloroform	1.1
<i>Azadirachta indica</i> (A. Juss.)	Hexane	0.4
	Water	0.7
	Methanol	2.9
	Chloroform	1.2
	Hexane	0.3

### Test organism

Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) medium was used for isolation of seed-borne *M. phaseolina*. Soybean seed samples (JS 335) were surface disinfected with Sodium hypochlorite (1%) solution for about 2 min at room temperature and placed in Petri plates (10 seeds/plate). The plates were subjected to SBM ISTA (1996). On the seventh day incubation, seeds were observed under stereobinocular microscope. *M. phaseolina* was identified based on their growth, mycelial structure and spore morphology using standard manuals (Bressano *et al.*, 2010). *M. phaseolina* is a soil-borne/seed-borne fungus that belongs to phylum Ascomycota and class Dothideomycetes. It is highly variable by production of both pycnidia and sclerotia in culture media and host tissues. The pycnidial state of the fungus was initially named *Macrophoma phaseolina*, later renamed as *Macrophoma phaseoli* and then as *Macrophomina phaseoli* by Ashby in 1927. Finally, the name *Macrophomina phaseolina* was settled by Goidanich (Girish *et al.*, 2012). The microsclerotial state was first described as *Rhizoctonia bataticola* (Taub.) The pycnidiospores are ellipsoid to ovoidvarying form 100 µm to 250 µm. During the sclerotial formation, 50-200 individual hyphal cells aggregate to give multicellular bodies named microsclerotia.

### Determination of antifungal activity

The agar disc diffusion method was employed to determine the antifungal activity. Potato dextrose broth was inoculated with *M. phaseolina* which serves as culture inoculum. A swab of the fungal suspension was spread on to the petri plates containing PDA media (Rankovic *et al.*, 2010). Each solvent extract was dissolved in DMSO to final concentration of 10 mg/ml. Sterile filter paper discs (6mm in diameter) impregnated with 10µl of different concentration of plant extracts were placed equidistantly around the margin of the plate. Standard reference compound, Deltamethrin was used as positive control and the fungal plates were incubated at 28° C for seven days. The extracts showing higher inhibitory activity compared to the standard compound was selected for further phytochemical studies. The diameter of zone of inhibition with the corresponding positive plants was represented in (Table 4). Antimicrobial activity was indicated by the presence of clear inhibition zone around the discs.

**Table. 1:** Antifungal activity of the selected plant leaf extracts on soybean seed-borne *M. phaseolina*.

Name of the Plant	Family	Solvent Used	Result Positive	Negative
<i>Azadirachta indica</i> (A. Juss.)	Meliaceae	W, M, C & H	M, C	W, H
<i>Boerhavia chinensis</i> (L.)	Nyctaginaceae	W, M, C & H	-	W,M,C,H
<i>Delonix regia</i> (Raf.)	Cesalpiniaceae	W, M, C & H	-	W,M,C,H
<i>Datura metel</i> (L.)	Solanaceae	W, M, C & H	M, C	W, H
<i>Euphorbia pulcherrima</i> (Wild.)	Euphorbiaceae	W, M, C & H	-	W,M,C,H
<i>Jacaranda mimosifolia</i> (D. Don.)	Bignoniaceae	W, M, C & H	-	W,M,C,H
<i>Hibiscus rosa-sinensis</i> (L.)	Malvaceae	W, M, C & H	-	W,M,C,H
<i>Ficus religiosa</i> (L.)	Moraceae	W, M, C & H	-	W,M,C,H
<i>Ficus benghalensis</i> (L.)	Moraceae	W, M, C & H	-	W,M,C,H
<i>Acalypha indica</i> (L.)	Euphorbiaceae	W, M, C & H	-	W,M,C,H

W: Water, M: Methanol, C: Chloroform, H: Hexane

**Table. 4:** Diameter of inhibition zone in mm at different concentration  $\mu\text{g}/\text{disc}$ .

Name of the plant	Name of the different solvent extract	10	20	30	40	50	60	70	80	90	100
<i>Datura metel</i>	Methanol extract	-	13.03 $\pm 0.06e$	13.4 $\pm 2.6e$	14.03 $\pm 1.5d$	14.33 $\pm 2.3d$	14.96 $\pm 0.6c$	15.16 $\pm 1.5bc$	15.73 $\pm 1.1ab$	16.03 $\pm 0.6a$	16.23 $\pm 1.5a$
	Chloroform extract	-	-	-	-	10.16 $\pm 1.1kl$	10.76 $\pm 0.6jk$	11.03 $\pm 1.5ij$	11.36 $\pm 2.5ghij$	11.83 $\pm 0.6fg$	12.2 $\pm 1f$
<i>Azadirachta indica</i>	Methanol extract	-	-	-	-	-	-	11.1 $\pm 0.6hij$	11.33 $\pm 0.6ghij$	11.4 $\pm 1ghi$	11.766 $\pm 0.6fgh$
	Chloroform extract	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9.06 $\pm 0.6m$	9.76 $\pm 0.6l$	9.96 $\pm 0.6l$

Each value is mean of three replicates;  $\pm$  indicates standard error from mean value. (-) nil. Different letters within a column indicate significant differences according to Scheffe's test ( $P < 0.05$ ).

### Statistical analysis

The Antifungal assay was carried out in triplicate and the values were expressed as mean  $\pm$  Standard error (SE). Statistical analysis was done using three-way ANOVA followed by Scheffe post hoc test using SPSS software (version 20). Difference were considered significant when  $P < 0.05$ .

### RESULT AND DISCUSSION

Among the ten plants tested, the leaf extracts of *D. metel* and *A. indica* exhibited good antifungal activity against *M. phaseolina*. The extract of *D. metel* showed significantly higher inhibitory activity than the extract of *A. indica*. Both of the extracts exhibited antifungal activity in a dose dependent manner. Methanol extracts exhibited higher degree of antifungal activity as compared to chloroform extracts.

Methanol extract of *D. metel* showed minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of 20  $\mu\text{g}/\text{disc}$  (13.03 $\pm$ 0.06 mm) where as that of *A. indica* showed MIC of 70  $\mu\text{g}/\text{disc}$  (11.1 $\pm$ 0.06 mm). The results of the antifungal screening of the crude extracts of plants were shown in table 4.).

The remaining plant leaf extracts did not show significant inhibition on *M. phaseolina*. This result indicates that the leaf extracts of *Datura metel* and *Azadirachta indica* leaf have fungicidal properties which inhibit the growth of soybean seed-borne *M. phaseolina*.

A similar finding have had been reported recently by Javaid and Saddique (2011) and Dubey *et al.*, (2009) who found mycelial growth inhibition of *M. phaseolina* isolated from soil-borne and diseased roots of soybeantreated with *D. metel* and *A. indica*. So the extracts of *D. metel* may be utilized as phytofungicide to control the *M. phaseolina* on soybean seed.

### CONCLUSION

*Datura metel* is a weed that grows naturally and can be seen commonly in the backyards of houses and on pavements across India. The methanolic leaf extract of *D. metel* have a greater effect than that of chloroform extract. It indicates that the active plant compounds are readily extracted in methanol when compared to other solvents. The factors responsible for this high susceptibility of the fungi to the *D. metel* leaf extract are not exactly known, but may be attributed to the presence of secondary plant metabolites which is soluble in methanol. Therefore further detailed study on phytochemistry of *Datura metel* is needed for isolation and purification of secondary metabolites which may further yield significant antifungal agents against *M. phaseolina*.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors convey sincere thanks to UGC for providing RGNF and Dept. of Microbiology and Biotechnology, Bangalore University for providing lab facilities.

### REFERENCES

- Khater HF. Prospectis of botanical biopesticides in insect pest management. Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science. 2012; 2 (05): 244-259.
- Akerele O. Summary of WHO guidelines for the assessment of herbal medicines. HerbalGram .1993; 28:13-19.
- Mengistu A, Arelli PA, Bond JP, Shannon GJ, Wrather AJ, Rupe JB, Chen P, Little CR, Canaday CH, Newman MA, Pantalone VR. Evaluation of soybean genotypes for resistance to charcoal rot. Plant Health Progress. 2011; doi: 10.1094/PHP-2010-0926-01-RS.
- Agrios GN. Plant Pathology Fifth edition, Elsevier academic press, (2005) 922 pp
- Ashby SF. *Macrophomina phaseoli* (Maubl.) comb. nov. The pycnidial stage of *Rhizoctonia bataticola* (Taub.). Transaction of the British Mycological Society. 1927; 12:141-147.

Bernard MW, Patricia MG, Davy CH, David RCK, Kenneth CK. Hypolipidemic effect of substituting soybean protein isolate for all meat and dairy protein in the diets of hypercholesterolemic men. *Nutrition Reports International*. 1981; 24 (6): 1187-1198.

Bressano M, Giachero ML, Luna CM, Ducasse DA. An *in vitro* method for examining infection of soybean roots by *Macrophomina phaseolina*. *Physiology and Molecular Plant Pathology*. 2010; 74: 201-204.

Singh ND, Sharma AK, Dwivedi P, Kumar M, Patil RD. Immunosuppressive effect of combined citrinin and endosulfan toxicity in pregnant wistar rats. *Veterinarski Arhiv*. 2011; 81: 751-763.

Wild CP, Gong YY. Mycotoxins and human disease: A largely ignored global health issue. *Carcinogenesis*. 2010; 31: 71-82.

Cecchi A, Rovedatti MG, Sabino G, Magnarelli GG. Environmental exposure to organophosphate pesticides: Assessment of endocrine disruption and hepatotoxicity in pregnant women. *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*. 2012; 80: 280-287.

Dubey RC, Kunar H, Pandey RR. Fungitoxic effect of neem extracts on growth and sclerotial survival of *Macrophomina phaseolina* *in vitro*. *Journal of American Science*. 2009; 5 (5): 17-24.

Endres J, Barter S, Theodora P, Welch P. Soybean-enhanced lunch acceptance by preschoolers. *Journal of The American Dietetic Association*. 2003; 103: 346-351.

Gupta GK, Sushil K, Sharma Ramteke R. Biology, Epidemiology and Management of the Pathogenic Fungus *Macrophomina phaseolina* (Tassi) Goid with Special Reference to Charcoal Rot of Soybean (*Glycine max* (L.) Merrill). *Journal of Phytopathology*. 2012; online reference doi: 10.1111/j.1439-0434.2012.01884.x

ISTA. International rules for seed testing. *Seed Science and Technology*. 1996; 13: 299-513.

Javaid A and Saddique A. Control of charcoal rot fungus *Macrophomina phaseolina* by extracts of *Datura metel*. *Natural Product Research*. 2011; 1-6.

Jing B, Zhiqing Ma, Feng J, Liang H, Chen Li, Zhang X. Evaluation of the antiviral of extracts from plants grown in the qinling region of China against infection by *Tobacco mosaic virus* (TMV). *Journal of Phytopathology*. 2012; 160 (4): 181-186.

Kuri SK, Islam RM, Mondal U. Antifungal potentiality of some botanical extracts against important seedborne fungal pathogen associated with brinjal seeds, *Solanum melongena* L. *Journal of Agricultural Technology*. 2011; 7 (4): 1139-1153.

Mackeen MM, Ali AM, Lajis NH, Kawazu K, Hassan Z, Amran M, Habsah M, Mooi LY, Mohamed SM. Antimicrobial, antioxidant, antitumor-promoting and cytotoxic activities of different plant part extracts of *Garcinia atroviridis* Griff. ex T. Anders, *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*. 2000; 72 (3): 395-402.

Paster N, Bullerman LB. Mould spoilage and mycotoxin formation in grains as a controlled by physical means. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*. 1988; 7: 257-265.

Ponnusamy K, Petchiammal C, Mohankumar R, Hopper W. In vitro antifungal activity of indirubin isolated from a South Indian ethnomedicinal plant *Wrightia tinctoria* R. Br. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*. 2010; 132 (1): 349-354.

Potter SM, Baum JA, Teng H, Stillman RJ, Shay NF, Erdman Jr. Soyprotein and isoflavones: their effects on blood lipids and bone density in postmenopausal women. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. 1998; 68: 13755-13795.

Rankovic B, Rankovic D, Kosanic M, Maric D. Antioxidant and antimicrobial properties of the lichens *Anaptychia ciliaris*, *Nephroma parile*, *Ochrolechia tartarea* and *Parmelia centrifuga*. *Central European Journal of Biology*. 2010; 5(5): 649-655.

Sateesh MK. Microbiological investigations on die-back disease of neem (*Azadirachta indica* A. Juss) 1998. Ph. D., thesis University of Mysore, Mysore, India

Tripathi P, Shukla AK. Management of fungal plant pathogens. *Exploitation of Botanicals*. 2010; 36-50.

Richard S, Moslemi S, Sipahutar H, Benachour N, Gilles-Eric Seraline. Differential effects of glyphosate and roundup on human placental cells and aromatase. *Environmental Health Perspectives*. 2005; 113: 716-720.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Foreign Agriculture service. *World Agriculture Production*. Circular Series. 2009; 11-9: pp19.

Wallace RJ. Antimicrobial properties of plant secondary metabolites. *Proceedings of the Nutrition Society*. 2004; 63: 627-629.

Bolognesi C. Genotoxicity of pesticides: a review of human biomonitoring studies. *Mutation Research*. 2003; 543: 251-272.

Wrather JA, Koenning SR, Anderson, TR. Effect of diseases on soybean yields in the United States and Ontario (1999-2002). Online: plant health progress. 2003; doi:10.1094/PHP-2003-0325-01-RV.

Yadav SK. Pesticide applications-Threat to ecosystems. *Journal of Human Ecology*. 2010; 32: 37-45.

#### How to cite this article:

Lakshmeesha TR, Sateesh MK, Vedashree S and Mohammad Shafi Sofi. Antifungal activity of some medicinal plants on Soybean seed-borne *Macrophomina phaseolina*. *J App Pharm Sci*. 2013; 3 (02): 084-087.