Formulation and evaluation of olanzapine loaded chitosan nanoparticles for nose to brain targeting an in vitro and ex vivo toxicity study
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**ARTICLE INFO**

**ABSTRACT**

Olanzapine is an atypical antipsychotic drug shows low bioavailability due to extensive first pass metabolism and results in numerous side effects due to non targeted delivery. The present study was aimed to prepare and characterize olanzapine loaded chitosan nanoparticles for nose to brain targeting. The olanzapine loaded chitosan nanoparticles were prepared by ionic gelation of chitosan with tripolyphosphate anions. The formulated nanoparticles showed mean particle size, polydispersity index and zeta potential to be 183.1±8.42 nm, 0.122±0.08, +52.1±2.4 mV respectively. The entrapment efficiency and drug loading was found to be 72.42±3.65% and 26.04±2.12.

**INTRODUCTION**

Olanzapine (OLA) is a thienobenzodiazepine class psychotropic agent used for the treatment of schizophrenia and bipolar disorders (Fig.1.) (Bhana and Perry, 2001).

OLA has poor bioavailability (40%), due to hepatic first pass metabolism and low permeability due to efflux by P-glycoprotein. Also OLA is associated with numerous dose related side effects due to non targeted dosage forms (Mattiaz et al., 1997). OLA is available as tablet, an orally-disintegrating tablet, which rapidly dissolves in saliva and as 10 mg vial of intramuscular injection. All above dosage forms show low bioavailability due to extensive first pass metabolism and consequences in numerous side effects due to non targeted delivery system.

Fig. 1: Chemical structure of olanzapine.

Brain targeting of OLA requires a desirable formulation strategy to overcome its first pass metabolism and restrict the barrier from transport of drugs from systemic circulation into the central nervous system thereby reducing the dose related side effects (Sahni et al., 2011, Haque et al., 2012).
In the recent years, intranasal drug delivery has attracted substantial interest, since it provides a non-invasive route for delivering drugs directly to the CNS and circumventing the BBB (Illum 2007). Drugs administered to the nasal cavity can travel along the olfactory and trigeminal nerves to reach many regions within the CNS and achieves brain targeting (Wang et al., 2003, Ross et al., 2004, Westin et al., 2005). Hence, drug delivery via the nasal route provides potential route for brain targeting. Although, nasal route of administration has gained considerable interest, the most important limitation factor is rapid mucociliary clearance, which is the cause of a limited contact period allowed for drug absorption through the nasal mucosa (Illum et al., 1987, Mygind and Dahl 1998, Ozsoy 2008). Nanoparticles are one such universal approach to improve the pharmacokinetic profile of poor water soluble, low bioavailable and high toxic drugs (Krishna, 2006). Biodegradable polymeric nanoparticles are of interest as vehicles for extended drug delivery and drug targeting (Jean, 1996). Polymeric drug carrier was considered as a promising solution for this problem. Chitosan is a natural polymer that has mucoadhesive properties because of its positive charges at neutral pH, which enable an ionic interaction with the negative charges of sialic acid residues on the mucus (Artursson et al., 1994). The mucoadhesive characteristics of chitosan provide a longer contact period for drug transport through nasal mucosa and prevents the clearance of the formulation via mucociliary clearance mechanism (Lo pez Leon et al., 2005, Sinha et al., 2004). Therefore, chitosan nanoparticles have been extensively evaluated as a drug delivery system (Hafner et al., 2007, Asane et al., 2008, David et al., 1991). Thus chitosan shown to increase nasal absorption due to its mucoadhesion property and thereby increase membrane permeability (Dyer et al., 2002, Yu SY et al., 2004, Al-Ghananeem et al., 2010, Al-Ghananeem et al., 2011).

The aim of the present study was to prepare and characterize OLA loaded chitosan nanoparticles and produce sustained release of OLA with in the brain. To our knowledge, this is one of the first reported studies to investigate the nasal toxicity of psychotic drug OLA loaded chitosan nanoparticles by using human nasal epithelial cell lines RPMI 2650 and on excised goat nasal mucosa which benefits in decrease the dose and frequency thereby reducing related side effects with improved therapy.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and Reagents

Olanzapine obtained from Hetero Drugs, Hyderabad. chitosan, 400 kD MW (Sigma Aldrich, Mumbai), sodium tripolyphosphate 85% deacetylated (Sigma Aldrich, Mumbai), acetic acid (S.D. Fine Chemicals). All other chemicals were of analytical grade. Ultra pure water (Milli-Q plus system, Millipore, USA) was used to prepare all stock solutions.

Preparation of olanzapine loaded chitosan nanoparticles

Olanzapine loaded chitosan nanoparticles were prepared by ionotropic gelation (Calvo et al., 1997) of chitosan with tripolyphosphate (STPP) anions at the different concentration of drug polymer ratio. The chitosan was dissolved in 1% v/v aqueous acetic acid, and the pH was maintained at 4.8 with 10 N NaOH. Nanoparticles were obtained upon the addition of STPP aqueous solution (1mg/mL) to chitosan solution (2 mg/mL) under magnetic stirring at 1000rpm or 2hrs at room temperature. The association of olanzapine to chitosan was done by incubation for 20s. The STPP solution was then added into the chitosan and OLA mixture. Then the solution mixture was filtered with membrane filter (0.45μm) to remove the residual particles. The resultant nanoparticles were concentrated by ultracentrifugation at 12,000rpm at 4 °C for 20 min (Remi cooling Centrifuge C-24, Mumbai, India). The supernatant was removed and the free drug present in the supernatant was measured by UV-Visible Spectrophotometer (UV -1601, Shimadzu, Japan) at 270 nm. The nanopauspension was lyophilized by using sucrose as a cryoprotectant to form a dried powder of olanzapine loaded chitosan nanoparticles.

Particle size analysis

The particle size, particle size distribution and polydispersity index were determined by Zetasizer Nano ZS (Malvern Instrument Ltd, Malvern, UK). The sample volume used for the analysis was kept constant (1mL) the particles exhibit Brownian motion, which causes the intensity of light to scatter from particles, which is then detected as a change in intensity with suitable optics and a photo multiplier. Each sample was suitably diluted with filtered distilled water to avoid multi-scattering phenomena and placed in a disposable sizing cuvette. The polydispersity index was studied to determine the narrowness of the particle size distribution.

All the data analysis was performed in automatic mode with triplicate measurement within each run. The instrument is well equipped with appropriate software for particle size analysis and PDI.

Zeta potential

Zeta potential distribution was measured using a zetasizer (Nano ZS, Malvern Instruments, UK). Each sample was suitably diluted five times with filtered, distilled water and placed in a disposable zeta cell. Zeta limits ranged from -200 to +200 mV. The electrophoretic mobility (μm−1) was converted to zeta potential by inbuilt software using the Helmholtz-Smoluchowski equation. The average of three measurements of each sample was used to derive the zeta potential.

Scanning electron microscopy

The surface texture of the optimized nanoparticles was further confirmed by SEM (Zeiss EVO40: Carl Zeiss, Cambridge, UK). Samples were spread over a double –sided conductive tape fixed on to a metallic stub and coated under vacuum with gold in a Blazers SCD020 sputter coater unit (BAL-TEC GmbH, Witten, Germany ) in an argon atmosphere at 50 mA for 100 seconds.
Olanzapine loaded nanoparticles- encapsulation efficiency and loading capacity

The encapsulation efficiency (EE) and loading capacity (LC) of olanzapine nanoparticles were calculated as follows, while all the measurements were performed in triplicate and averaged.

\[
\text{%EE} = \frac{\text{[(A-B)]}}{\text{A}} \times 100
\]

\[
\text{%LC} = \frac{\text{[(A-B)]}}{\text{C}} \times 100
\]

Where A is the total amount of olanzapine, B is the free amount of olanzapine and C is the weight of nanoparticles.

**In vitro drug release studies**

The *in vitro* drug release study was performed using the dialysis bag diffusion technique. The release of OLA from OLANP was performed in methanolic phosphate buffered saline (pH 6.4, 30% v/v methanol) to create a perfect sink condition. The nanoparticulate suspension and drug solution each having the same quantity (5 mg) of olanzapine was taken. Nanoparticles equivalent to 5 mg of the drug was placed in a cellulose dialysis bag, (MWCO 12,000/g/mole) and to this a little amount of dissolution media was added, which was then sealed at both ends. The dialysis bag was dipped into the receptor compartment containing the dissolution medium, which was stirred continuously at 100rpm maintained at 37°C. The receptor compartment was closed to prevent evaporation of the dissolution medium. Samples were withdrawn at regular time intervals and the same volume was replaced with fresh medium. The samples were measured by UV Spectrophotometer at 258 nm. All the experiments were performed in triplicate, and the average values were taken.

**In vitro cell cytotoxicity using RPMI 2650 cell lines**

*Cell lines and culture media*

RPMI 2650 (Human Nasal Septum carcinoma) cell line was procured from National Centre for Cell Sciences (NCCS), Pune, India. Stock cells were cultured in olanzapine supplemented with 10% inactivated Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS), penicillin (100 IU/mL), streptomycin (100 μg/mL) and amphotericin B (5 μg/mL) in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ at 37°C until confluent. The cells were dissociated with a TPVG solution (0.2% trypsin, 0.02% EDTA, 0.05% glucose in PBS). The stock cultures were grown in 25 cm² culture flasks and all experiments were carried out in 96 microtitre plates (Tarsons India Pvt. Ltd., Kolkata, India). For cytotoxicity studies, each weighed test drugs were separately dissolved in distilled DMSO and volume was made up with olanzapine supplemented with 2% inactivated FBS to obtain a stock solution of 1 mg/ml concentration and sterilized by filtration. Serial two fold dilutions were prepared from this for carrying out cytotoxic studies.

**MTT toxicity assay**

The monolayer cell culture was trypsinized and the cell count was adjusted to 1.0 x 10⁵ cells/ml using olanzapine containing 10% FBS. To each well of the 96 well microtitre plate, 0.1 mL of the diluted cell suspension (approximately 10,000 cells) was added. After 24 h, when a partial monolayer was formed, the supernatant was flicked off, washed the monolayer once with medium and 100 μL of different test concentrations of test drugs were added on to the partial monolayer in microtitre plates. The plates were then incubated at 37°C for 3 days in 5% CO₂ atmosphere, and microscopic examination was carried out and observations were noted every 24 h intervals. After 72h, the drug solutions in the wells were discarded and 50 μL of MTT in PBS was added to each well. The plates were gently shaken and incubated for 3 h at 37°C in 5% CO₂ atmosphere. The supernatant was removed and 100 μL of propanol was added and the plates were gently shaken to solubilize the formed formazan. The absorbance was measured using a microplate reader at a wavelength of 540 nm. The percentage growth inhibition was calculated using the following formula and concentration of test drug needed to inhibit cell growth by 50% (CTC₅₀) values is generated from the dose-response curves for each cell line (Pavan Kumar Bellamakondi et al., 2014).

\[
\text{% Growth Inhibition} = \frac{\text{Mean OD of individual test group}}{\text{Mean OD of control group}} \times 100
\]

**Ex vivo histopathological Studies on goat nasal mucosa**

Isolated goat nasal mucosa (conchae nasals) was used for the histopathological studies (Jiang et al., 1995). Freshly isolated goat nasal mucosa was obtained from the local slaughterhouse and stored in phosphate buffer solution pH 6.4 and cut into four defined pieces of uniform thickness and diameter. Each piece was treated with PBS, pH 6.4 which will be a negative control, Isopropyl alcohol a nasal mucociliary toxicity agent used as positive control, drug solution at 2mg/mL, olanzapine loaded nanofilmulation 2mg/mL respectively. Treatment was done for 2 hours; all the samples were washed and rinsed properly with PBS pH 6.4.

The samples were stored in neutral buffered formalin 10% and then sectioned, stained with hematoxylin and eosin. The sections were examined on an optical microscope to donate any toxicity by examining the damage to the tissue.

**RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**Preparation and characterization of the nanoparticles**

The olanzapine nanoparticles were prepared by ionic gelation, a gentle technique that involves the mixing of two aqueous solutions at ambient temperature without any harsh chemicals or production stress such as sonication or use of organic solvents (Vander Lubben et al., 2001). Olanzapine nanoparticles were prepared at different concentration of drug, polymer and TPP and it was optimized based on its particle size, polydispersity index and zeta potential and the results were depicted in Table I. The concentration of chitosan: STPP ratio of 4:1 it was found that the mean particle size, polydispersity index and zeta potential were 183.1±8.42 nm, 0.122±0.08, +52.1±2.4 mV respectively for
optimized formulations (Fig. 2&3). The NP prepared using optimized parameters had an entrapment efficiency and drug loading of 72.42 ± 3.65% and 26.04± 2.12. High positive values of the zeta potential indicate due to presence of positive charge of amine group of chitosan and it improves the stability of nanoparticles dispersion (Feng and Huang 2001). Nanosuspension particle size and shape was determined by SEM photomicrographs for the optimized olanzapine loaded nanoformulation shown in Fig. 4. The SEM photographs reveals that the particle size were in the range of 170nm to 200nm with spherical shape morphology.

Table 1: Preparation and characterization of optimized olanzapine nanoformulation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration of CS mg</th>
<th>Concentration of STPP mg</th>
<th>Drug:Polymer</th>
<th>Particle Size nm</th>
<th>PDI</th>
<th>Zeta Potential mV</th>
<th>Entrapment Efficiency %</th>
<th>Loading Capacity %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1:2</td>
<td>183.1±8.42</td>
<td>0.122±0.08</td>
<td>52.1±2.4</td>
<td>72.42 ± 3.65</td>
<td>26.04± 2.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

All values represent mean ± SEM, n=3.

Fig. 2: Particle Size and polydispersity index of OLANP.

Fig. 3: Zetapotential of OLANP.
In vitro drug release studies

The *in vitro* drug release showed a cumulative percentage release of 21.02% to 42.23% for 300 min for olanzapine nanoparticles suspension. Olanzapine loaded chitosan nanoparticles showed a biphasic release pattern. Initial burst release effect occurred within 30 min and the remaining amount of drug was released in a sustained manner for a period of 300 min (Fig. 5). The initial burst release from nanoparticles is attributed to drug molecules adsorbed on the surface of the nanoparticles which instantaneously dissolve when the particles come in contact with the release medium (Agnihotri *et al.*, 2004).

Furthermore, their morphological changes were microscopically examined and the maximum reduction in cell proliferation was observed in OLANP treated cells. Fig. 7. indicating that the OLANP shows lesser toxic effects when compared to pure OLA.

**Histopathological studies**

The histopathological studies revealed that the condition of goat nasal mucosa after treatment with PBS pH 6.4 a negative control, isopropyl alcohol a positive control, OLA drug solution and OLANP nanoparticles dispersion. The Fig. 8 shows that there is no cell necrosis or cilia detachment from the nasal mucosa was observed after treating with NP dispersion. It indicates that the microscopic structure of nasal mucosa shows no significant harmful effects (Joyza ruby *et al.*, 2015). The nasal mucosa treated with isopropyl alcohol (positive control) shows complete detachment of cilia, loss of epithelial cells and shrinkage of the mucosal layer of epithelial cells. When the nasal mucosa treated with drug solution some cilia got detached from epithelial tissues indicates the toxicity when compared with the negative control treated with PBS treated nasal mucosa.
Fig 6: Cytotoxic effect of the OLA and OLANP on RPMI Cell line.

Fig. 7: *In vitro* cytotoxicity: Microscopic observations of RPMI 2650 cells after 72 hrs of treatment a. Control, b. OLA 1000μg/mL c. OLA 500μg/mL d. OLANP 1000μg/mL e. OLANP 500μg/mL

Fig. 8: Histopathological images of goat nasal mucosa a. PBS Negative control b. IPA Positive control c. OLA, d. OLANP.
CONCLUSIONS

In this study, we formulate OLA loaded chitosan nanoparticles and to investigate feasibility of OLA nasal delivery for brain targeting. OLA-loaded chitosan nanoparticles were prepared by ionic gelation method using sodium tri polyphosphate (STPP) as the crosslinking agent. The optimized formulation were characterized for its particle size, zeta potential, morphological properties, encapsulation efficiency, drug loading, in vitro release study, in vitro toxicity by using RPMI 2650 nasal epithelial cell lines, ex vivo toxicity study by using sheep nasal mucosa. SEM analysis revealed the spherical shape morphology and the average particle size. The in vitro drug release showed a burst release with a sustained release. MTT assay on RPMI 2650 nasal epithelial cell line and ex vivo toxicity on goat nasal mucosa study reveals that there is no toxicity indicating their suitability as carriers for nasal delivery of drugs. These findings suggests that olanzapine loaded chitosan nanoparticles is suitable for nasal delivery for brain targeting.
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